

Key: * -- Postage Totals Probably Misreported by the House
 ** -- Postage Totals Misreported by the House
 # -- Mass Mailing Totals Probably Understated by the House

Total Office Spending Data, 2001

Name	Postage Spending Data								Total Office Spending	Lowest Office Spending Rank	Total Office Spending Rank	Amount Higher or Lower Than Average	If All Members Spent As Much, Spending Would Change By:	% of Member's Allowance Spent
	Mass Mailing Data				All Postage									
	Number of Mass Mail Pieces Sent	Pieces Sent Per Address	Postage of Mass Mailings	Cost Per Address	Mass Mail Postage Rank	Total Postage Spending	Cost Per Address	All Postage Spending Rank						
ALABAMA														
1 Callahan	1,389	0.00	\$472	\$0.002	357	\$19,566	\$0.066	315	\$915,833	60	376	-\$84,258	-\$37,058,905.66	85.4%
2 Everett	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$8,748	n.c.	n.c.	\$1,018,005	219	217	\$26,304	\$11,667,035.81	95.7%
3 Rilev	276,879	0.96	\$49,558	\$0.172	155##	\$51,888	\$0.180	172#	\$992,475	163	273	\$4,228	\$1,881,702.89	93.7%
4 Aderholt	172,429	0.59	\$32,438	\$0.112	249	\$41,561	\$0.143	245	\$992,639	148	288	-\$4,964	\$2,188,587.93	92.8%
5 Cramer	53,971	0.17	\$12,220	\$0.039	307	\$22,331	\$0.072	308	\$1,059,955	326	110	\$55,287	-\$24,206,153.84	98.4%
6 Bachus	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$4,760	n.c.	n.c.	\$966,272	71	365	-\$68,632	-\$29,170,921.88	87.1%
7 Hilliard	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.#	\$2,039	n.c.	n.c.#	\$1,005,810	404	32	\$64,403	\$30,091,859.78	99.6%
ALASKA														
1 Young	334,566	1.25	\$53,159	\$0.198	138	\$57,250	\$0.214	143	\$1,111,533	121	315	-\$23,310	-\$9,034,985.79	91.3%
ARIZONA														
1 Flake	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$4,516	n.c.	n.c.	\$813,683	4	432	-\$273,807	-\$110,749,117.34	69.8%
2 Pastor	393,274	1.34	\$66,312	\$0.225	121##	\$65,970	\$0.224	132##	\$999,673	82	354	-\$58,328	-\$24,249,887.66	88.1%
3 Stump	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$9,383	n.c.	n.c.	\$818,490	3	433	-\$315,472	-\$122,372,566.69	67.3%
4 Shadegg	32,733	0.10	\$5,669	\$0.018	323	\$11,729	\$0.037	336	\$1,026,562	102	334	-\$37,484	-\$15,495,436.21	90.0%
5 Kolbe	928,558	2.50	\$123,797	\$0.333	41	\$133,288	\$0.358	40	\$1,123,973	348	88	\$63,541	\$26,356,745.33	98.9%
6 Hayworth	394,266	0.97	\$61,008	\$0.150	185	\$67,656	\$0.166	198	\$1,112,056	169	267	\$9,274	\$3,699,126.71	94.0%
ARKANSAS														
1 Berry	8,830	0.03	\$2,345	\$0.008	339	\$10,934	\$0.038	335	\$1,048,062	355	81	\$60,568	\$26,979,285.24	99.0%
2 Snyder	738,031	2.40	\$88,880	\$0.289	62	\$95,992	\$0.312	69	\$1,050,579	277	159	\$44,721	\$19,556,608.07	97.4%
3 Boozman	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$15	n.c.	n.c.	\$131,067	77	359	-\$8,458	-\$26,665,293.16	87.6%
4 Ross	228,039	0.81	\$40,480	\$0.144	193##	\$38,729	\$0.138	250##	\$1,061,361	374	62	\$65,279	\$28,827,103.46	99.4%
CALIFORNIA														
1 Thompson	198,107	0.72	\$29,716	\$0.108	253##	\$22,406	\$0.081	302##	\$1,078,304	317	119	\$54,686	\$23,499,658.34	98.2%
2 Hergert	545,123	1.83	\$99,313	\$0.333	40	\$113,984	\$0.383	32	\$1,101,981	332	104	\$59,114	\$24,933,369.13	98.5%
3 Ose	441,404	1.64	\$71,586	\$0.266	79##	\$61,876	\$0.230	129##	\$904,791	38	398	-\$128,843	-\$54,829,748.46	81.6%
4 Doolittle	147,813	0.46	\$23,561	\$0.073	285	\$38,963	\$0.121	271	\$1,112,035	320	116	\$57,033	\$23,779,078.41	98.3%
5 Matsui	277,587	1.01	\$42,130	\$0.153	182	\$45,075	\$0.164	202	\$1,103,525	384	52	\$68,671	\$29,188,652.30	99.5%
6 Woolsev	412,146	1.48	\$55,460	\$0.199	136	\$66,155	\$0.237	123	\$1,127,593	296	140	\$51,474	\$21,040,086.41	97.7%
7 Miller	563,178	2.29	\$79,763	\$0.325	42	\$85,548	\$0.348	43	\$1,117,255	318	118	\$56,883	\$23,596,535.62	98.3%
8 Pelosi	326,304	1.14	\$47,388	\$0.166	161	\$61,716	\$0.216	140	\$1,128,722	289	147	\$50,674	\$20,676,218.38	97.6%
9 Lee	215,032	0.83	\$41,654	\$0.160	168#	\$43,844	\$0.168	193#	\$1,143,932	433	3	\$76,953	\$31,724,093.69	100.0%
10 Tauscher	177,000	0.61	\$27,500	\$0.096	266	\$33,138	\$0.115	276	\$1,067,099	137	299	-\$11,788	-\$4,806,005.14	92.2%
11 Pombo	358,746	1.42	\$53,064	\$0.210	130##	\$44,305	\$0.175	178##	\$1,020,901	147	289	-\$5,730	-\$2,455,149.56	92.7%
12 Lantos	250,073	1.04	\$39,017	\$0.163	166##	\$34,270	\$0.143	243##	\$1,112,790	308	128	\$54,594	\$22,693,252.61	98.1%
13 Stark	767,400	3.29	\$127,711	\$0.547	48	\$162,812	\$0.697	25	\$1,085,144	247	189	\$34,544	\$14,423,908.10	96.3%
14 Eshoo	463,370	1.79	\$66,133	\$0.255	88	\$79,747	\$0.307	71	\$1,137,542	376	60	\$70,293	\$28,971,440.94	99.4%
15 Honda	388,772	1.58	\$57,053	\$0.231	110	\$67,740	\$0.274	94	\$1,092,774	316	120	\$55,394	\$23,488,119.42	98.2%
16 Lofgren	919	0.00	\$1,158	\$0.006	346	\$3,917	\$0.019	359	\$1,071,498	303	133	\$51,481	\$22,200,289.68	98.0%
17 Farr	13,515	0.06	\$3,083	\$0.013	3335	\$46,646	\$0.194	1595	\$1,067,699	313	123	\$53,022	\$22,985,342.58	98.1%
18 Condit	40,321	0.17	\$13,709	\$0.057	298##	\$12,016	\$0.050	325##	\$1,079,188	341	95	\$60,045	\$25,915,561.55	98.8%
19 Radanovich	103,808	0.36	\$16,674	\$0.062	294	\$26,333	\$0.097	290	\$976,340	98	338	-\$38,300	-\$16,603,793.79	89.7%
20 Dooley	140,271	0.67	\$21,514	\$0.102	259	\$25,298	\$0.120	273	\$1,076,467	434	2	\$72,436	\$31,734,288.07	100.0%
21 Thomas	85,011	0.32	\$14,133	\$0.053	300	\$21,661	\$0.081	303	\$1,054,544	226	210	\$28,731	\$12,319,808.25	95.9%
22 Capps	226,363	0.86	\$46,579	\$0.177	151	\$57,906	\$0.220	137	\$1,112,748	399	37	\$70,833	\$29,903,737.23	99.6%
23 Gallegly	286,495	1.28	\$51,165	\$0.229	114	\$64,760	\$0.290	84	\$969,996	86	350	-\$53,205	-\$22,872,525.06	88.4%
24 Sherrman	562,899	2.14	\$89,600	\$0.341	37	\$95,969	\$0.365	35	\$1,119,521	401	35	\$71,320	\$29,928,920.90	99.6%
25 McKeon	7,500	0.03	\$3,740	\$0.015	3285	\$38,696	\$0.155	2185	\$1,051,002	240	196	\$31,894	\$13,766,027.55	96.2%
26 Berman	90,081	0.45	\$16,897	\$0.085	273##	\$5,613	\$0.028	346##	\$1,043,808	211	225	\$23,292	\$10,039,284.63	95.4%
27 Schiff	373,833	1.47	\$64,323	\$0.253	90#	\$66,046	\$0.259	107#	\$1,118,501	427	9	\$74,322	\$31,308,576.93	99.9%
28 Dreier	362,519	1.75	\$56,929	\$0.274	70	\$72,194	\$0.348	44	\$924,439	50	386	-\$99,594	-\$42,780,146.22	84.2%
29 Waxman	402,611	1.23	\$63,257	\$0.194	140	\$80,786	\$0.247	114	\$1,143,096	364	72	\$68,155	\$27,888,981.50	99.2%
30 Becerra	350,167	1.74	\$55,416	\$0.276	68#	\$55,916	\$0.279	91#	\$1,082,642	350	86	\$61,595	\$26,535,156.35	98.9%
31 Solis	246,289	1.41	\$28,721	\$0.165	163	\$37,412	\$0.215	142	\$1,039,568	231	205	\$29,766	\$12,965,805.97	96.0%
32 Watson	257,728	1.08	\$43,575	\$0.183	144##	\$41,225	\$0.173	181##	\$635,782	351	85	\$36,220	\$26,573,001.00	98.9%
33 Roybal-Allard	132,128	0.83	\$24,634	\$0.154	178	\$33,358	\$0.209	148	\$1,073,204	413	23	\$69,805	\$30,600,945.50	99.8%
34 Napolitano	266,926	1.50	\$47,252	\$0.265	81	\$61,585	\$0.346	45	\$1,080,108	398	38	\$68,733	\$29,893,325.06	99.6%
35 Waters	162,000	0.80	\$14,024	\$0.070	288	\$43,644	\$0.217	139	\$971,926	89	347	-\$49,416	-\$21,282,184.98	88.7%
36 Harman	837,008	3.06	\$135,616	\$0.495	6##	\$116,920	\$0.427	20##	\$1,102,780	294	142	\$50,268	\$21,007,982.41	97.7%
37 Millender-McDonald	381,448	2.28	\$47,647	\$0.285	63	\$50,627	\$0.303	74	\$1,073,262	380	56	\$66,628	\$29,114,332.14	99.4%
38 Horn	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$9,318	n.c.	n.c.	\$923,007	42	394	-\$11,049	-\$49,502,931.37	82.8%
39 Rovee	526,367	2.41	\$102,144	\$0.468	12	\$107,062	\$0.491	9	\$1,043,011	184	252	\$14,645	\$6,264,057.15	94.6%
40 Lewis	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$7,930	n.c.	n.c.	\$1,041,401	185	251	\$14,700	\$6,298,043.08	94.6%
41 Miller	164,467	0.80	\$27,778	\$0.135	212	\$31,439	\$0.153	225	\$866,665	27	409	-\$156,216	-\$67,177,330.34	79.0%
42 Baca	433,141	1.90	\$50,762	\$0.222	122	\$73,797	\$0.323	60	\$940,512	74	362	-\$64,599	-\$28,270,593.71	87.3%
43 Calvert	306,481	1.23	\$47,698	\$0.191	142##	\$21,288	\$0.085	298##	\$1,036,434	205	231	\$21,842	\$9,469,282.69	95.3%
44 Bono	297,464	0.89	\$47,162	\$0.142	198	\$54,801	\$0.165	199	\$1,070,616	201	235	\$21,053	\$8,823,324.81	95.1%
45 Rohrabacher	427,790	1.74	\$68,779	\$0.280	66	\$77,767	\$0.316	65	\$1,001,609	99	337	-\$38,379	-\$16,232,428.14	89.8%
46 Sanchez	206,155	1.20	\$31,313	\$0.182	146	\$40,224	\$0.234	127	\$1,053,950	279	157	\$45,729	\$19,950,562.59	97.5%
47 Cox	612,743	2.12	\$81,471	\$0.282	64	\$99,124	\$0.342	47	\$1,074,735	234	202	\$31,069	\$13,094,621.10	96.0%
48 Issa	265,906	0.91	\$45,813	\$0.157	172	\$50,477	\$0.173	180	\$1,062,128	192	244	\$17,940	\$7,557,162.05	94.9%
49 Davis	473,046	1.66	\$91,417	\$0.320	43##	\$90,579	\$0.317	63##	\$1,087,548	269	167	\$44,882	\$18,934,386.22	97.3%
50 Filner	254,489	1.21	\$45,196	\$0.215	125	\$60,572	\$0.288	86	\$1,050,560	260	176	\$40,177	\$17,490,757.67	97.0%</

Key: * -- Postage Totals Probably Misreported by the House
 ** -- Postage Totals Misreported by the House
 # -- Mass Mailing Totals Probably Understated by the House

Total Office Spending Data, 2001

Name	Postage Spending Data								Total Office Spending	Lowest Office Spending Rank	Total Office Spending Rank	Amount Higher or Lower Than Average	If All Members Spent As Much, Spending Would Change By:	% of Member's Allowance Spent
	Mass Mailing Data				All Postage									
	Number of Mass Mail Pieces Sent	Pieces Sent Per Address	Postage of Mass Mailings	Cost Per Address	Mass Mail Postage Rank	Total Postage Spending	Cost Per Address	All Postage Spending Rank						
2 Udall	293,848	0.94	\$37,810	\$0.121	235	\$46,095	\$0.148	235	\$1,047,959	142	294	-\$7,983	-\$3,325,571.05	92.6%
3 McInnis	120,211	0.34	\$12,797	\$0.036	311	\$31,847	\$0.090	295	\$1,051,948	123	313	-\$20,810	-\$8,532,955.91	91.5%
4 Schaffer	245,000	0.81	\$37,975	\$0.125	228	\$48,528	\$0.160	208	\$969,065	64	372	-\$80,734	-\$33,827,519.30	86.1%
5 Heflev	200,000	0.58	\$28,000	\$0.081	279	\$44,705	\$0.129	264	\$896,939	24	412	-\$171,631	-\$70,650,236.11	78.3%
6 Tancredo	393,358	1.37	\$69,500	\$0.243	102#	\$71,736	\$0.251	111#	\$939,146	49	387	-\$102,437	-\$43,259,923.97	84.1%
CONNECTICUT														
1 Larson	205,742	0.85	\$31,628	\$0.131	221	\$45,072	\$0.187	166	\$1,031,594	290	146	\$46,748	\$20,879,309.26	97.7%
2 Simmons	180,000	0.70	\$30,255	\$0.118	237##	\$15,102	\$0.059	317##	\$1,024,819	249	187	\$32,845	\$14,564,236.75	96.4%
3 DeLauro	399,458	1.60	\$53,120	\$0.213	127	\$65,616	\$0.264	103	\$1,048,507	357	79	\$60,680	\$27,020,021.42	99.0%
4 Shavs	257,815	1.09	\$31,196	\$0.132	220	\$36,391	\$0.154	220	\$1,040,644	360	76	\$60,686	\$27,239,724.83	99.0%
5 Maloney	219,804	0.91	\$34,721	\$0.144	194	\$39,736	\$0.165	200	\$1,045,910	365	71	\$62,382	\$27,899,264.46	99.2%
6 Johnson	398,698	1.57	\$63,522	\$0.250	93	\$70,103	\$0.276	93	\$982,915	141	295	-\$8,160	-\$3,621,770.26	92.5%
DELAWARE														
1 Castle	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$5,674	n.c	n.c	\$956,473	83	353	-\$55,413	-\$24,087,899.92	88.2%
FLORIDA														
1 Miller	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.#	\$524	n.c	n.c#	\$163,857	5	431	-\$54,644	-\$110,004,917.54	69.9%
2 Boyd	221,068	0.72	\$27,392	\$0.089	271#	\$69,322	\$0.225	131#	\$1,068,779	361	75	\$62,574	\$27,354,658.84	99.1%
3 Brown	88,437	0.34	\$17,957	\$0.069	291	\$23,185	\$0.089	296	\$1,055,300	411	25	\$68,291	\$30,434,563.71	99.7%
4 Crenshaw	334,697	0.94	\$42,172	\$0.119	236	\$48,794	\$0.137	252	\$1,034,923	170	266	\$8,662	\$3,712,488.12	94.0%
5 Thurman	241,755	0.70	\$37,630	\$0.109	252	\$50,740	\$0.146	237	\$1,093,260	409	27	\$70,633	\$30,381,851.60	99.7%
6 Stearns	1,099,700	2.86	\$159,288	\$0.414	20	\$173,911	\$0.452	14	\$1,091,628	299	137	\$50,897	\$21,511,653.41	97.8%
7 Mica	348,591	1.04	\$55,342	\$0.164	164	\$62,994	\$0.187	165	\$1,064,015	264	172	\$41,287	\$17,757,361.47	97.0%
8 Keller	469,274	1.31	\$80,968	\$0.227	117	\$84,145	\$0.236	124	\$1,103,924	420	16	\$72,480	\$30,909,597.03	99.8%
9 Biharakis	65,076	0.18	\$9,661	\$0.026	316	\$20,109	\$0.054	319	\$1,032,758	154	282	-\$1,727	-\$734,371.60	93.1%
10 Young	561	0.00	\$191	\$0.001	361	\$9,177	\$0.028	345	\$716,939	2	434	-\$298,520	-\$129,310,046.30	65.8%
11 Davis	202,132	0.67	\$36,811	\$0.123	233	\$41,657	\$0.139	248	\$817,880	14	422	-\$185,198	-\$81,212,664.85	76.0%
12 Putnam	150,400	0.47	\$8,119	\$0.025	318	\$16,923	\$0.053	321	\$1,036,896	191	245	\$16,584	\$7,149,700.16	94.8%
13 Miller	411,555	1.05	\$48,975	\$0.125	230	\$54,018	\$0.138	251	\$928,585	44	392	-\$116,111	-\$48,888,237.64	82.9%
14 Goss	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$4,687	n.c	n.c	\$833,058	6	430	-\$252,818	-\$102,411,674.93	71.5%
15 Weldon	502,448	1.40	\$81,264	\$0.226	118##	\$50,798	\$0.141	247##	\$970,806	73	363	-\$66,998	-\$28,396,750.37	87.2%
16 Foley	113,070	0.28	\$21,818	\$0.055	299	\$34,044	\$0.085	299	\$1,067,225	174	262	\$10,663	\$4,439,869.09	94.2%
17 Meek	233,126	1.11	\$30,259	\$0.144	195	\$41,967	\$0.199	155	\$1,032,310	302	134	\$48,969	\$21,904,932.28	97.9%
18 Ros-Lehtinen	13,216	0.05	\$5,280	\$0.021	321	\$15,989	\$0.063	316	\$1,069,901	391	45	\$67,296	\$29,524,450.15	99.5%
19 Wexler	280,000	0.71	\$48,162	\$0.122	234	\$58,898	\$0.149	233	\$1,129,056	418	18	\$74,045	\$30,871,626.27	99.8%
20 Deutsch	801,979	2.32	\$135,584	\$0.392	26	\$140,144	\$0.405	24	\$1,060,275	188	248	\$15,756	\$6,635,053.80	94.7%
21 Diaz-Balart	129,197	0.47	\$16,731	\$0.061	296	\$26,514	\$0.096	291	\$1,007,974	150	286	-\$4,298	-\$1,867,636.47	92.9%
22 Shaw	492,809	1.20	\$128,010	\$0.311	49##	\$46,418	\$0.113	278##	\$1,053,733	133	303	-\$15,565	-\$6,402,691.16	91.9%
23 Hastings	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.#	\$1,811	n.c	n.c#	\$1,063,135	347	89	\$59,981	\$26,300,490.16	98.8%
GEORGIA														
1 Kingston	381,208	1.28	\$71,337	\$0.239	106##	\$62,208	\$0.209	149##	\$1,001,789	172	264	\$8,941	\$3,961,341.53	94.1%
2 Bishop	339,255	1.23	\$41,309	\$0.150	184#	\$42,003	\$0.153	226#	\$1,058,342	431	5	\$71,020	\$31,640,327.42	100.0%
3 Collins	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$6,951	n.c	n.c	\$1,000,938	129	307	-\$16,174	-\$6,994,593.47	91.8%
4 McKinney	694,372	2.24	\$93,909	\$0.303	50	\$121,664	\$0.393	29	\$1,072,191	400	36	\$68,302	\$29,927,602.68	99.6%
5 Lewis	265,454	0.88	\$40,880	\$0.136	210	\$49,641	\$0.165	201	\$825,419	19	417	-\$175,670	-\$77,187,541.61	76.9%
6 Isakson	10,000	0.03	\$590	\$0.002	357	\$7,488	\$0.020	356	\$945,834	61	375	-\$86,682	-\$36,927,688.63	85.4%
7 Barr	35,000	0.11	\$6,000	\$0.018	322#	\$7,119	\$0.022	353#	\$1,079,746	372	64	\$65,906	\$28,594,271.00	99.3%
8 Chambliss	39,880	0.15	\$7,948	\$0.030	315	\$11,069	\$0.041	330	\$924,749	78	358	-\$59,404	-\$26,550,689.35	87.6%
9 Deal	93,738	0.26	\$25,778	\$0.071	286##	\$24,657	\$0.068	313##	\$1,001,105	114	322	-\$25,669	-\$10,996,625.44	90.9%
10 Norwood	196,599	0.70	\$42,653	\$0.151	183	\$48,345	\$0.171	186	\$1,033,309	268	168	\$42,516	\$18,875,303.05	97.3%
11 Linder	243,532	0.65	\$42,197	\$0.112	248	\$50,226	\$0.134	261	\$847,148	17	419	-\$184,346	-\$78,611,953.11	76.6%
HAWAII														
1 Abercrombie	505,270	2.19	\$79,979	\$0.346	35	\$100,420	\$0.435	17	\$1,091,910	265	171	\$43,022	\$18,041,917.38	97.1%
2 Mink	281,013	1.15	\$37,631	\$0.154	180	\$58,256	\$0.238	120	\$1,046,916	125	311	-\$19,956	-\$8,227,702.94	91.5%

Key: * -- Postage Totals Probably Misreported by the House
 ** -- Postage Totals Misreported by the House
 # -- Mass Mailing Totals Probably Understated by the House

										Total Office Spending Data, 2001					
Name	Postage Spending Data								Total Office Spending	Lowest Office Spending Rank	Total Office Spending Rank	Amount Higher or Lower Than Average	If All Members Spent As Much, Spending Would Change By:	% of Member's Allowance Spent	
	Mass Mailing Data				All Postage										
	Number of Mass Mail Pieces Sent	Pieces Sent Per Address	Postage of Mass Mailings	Cost Per Address	Mass Mail Postage Rank	Total Postage Spending	Cost Per Address	All Postage Spending Rank							
IDAHO															
1 Otter	301,017	1.01	\$53,645	\$0.180	148	\$57,954	\$0.194	157	\$993,516	109	327	-\$30,236	-\$12,991,259.83	90.5%	
2 Simpson	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$4,830	n.c.	n.c.	\$1,018,013	198	238	\$19,483	\$8,582,717.63	95.1%	
ILLINOIS															
1 Rush	236,303	0.98	\$29,827	\$0.123	232	\$32,586	\$0.135	258	\$1,080,485	405	31	\$69,228	\$30,111,948.22	99.6%	
2 Jackson	2,000	0.01	\$320	\$0.002	356	\$5,094	\$0.028	344	\$930,573	80	356	-\$54,711	-\$24,424,939.06	88.1%	
3 Lipinski	144,993	0.62	\$22,199	\$0.096	266	\$26,237	\$0.113	277	\$950,639	79	357	-\$56,561	-\$24,701,579.83	88.0%	
4 Gutierrez	150,529	0.63	\$23,286	\$0.098	264##	\$10,251	\$0.043	328##	\$1,007,657	153	283	-\$2,027	-\$882,885.48	93.1%	
5 Blagojevich	505,727	1.95	\$64,138	\$0.247	95	\$74,551	\$0.287	87	\$1,071,104	307	129	\$52,271	\$22,567,351.28	98.0%	
6 Hyde	477,761	2.00	\$57,312	\$0.240	105	\$69,704	\$0.292	81	\$1,032,199	207	229	\$21,993	\$9,576,315.51	95.3%	
7 Davis	675,842	2.70	\$86,627	\$0.346	36	\$91,123	\$0.364	36	\$1,082,167	382	54	\$67,243	\$29,142,981.07	99.4%	
8 Crane	257,965	0.89	\$41,290	\$0.143	197	\$44,845	\$0.156	216	\$1,104,539	414	22	\$72,090	\$30,713,389.29	99.8%	
9 Schakowsky	653,497	2.41	\$81,434	\$0.300	54	\$87,724	\$0.323	59	\$1,096,198	423	13	\$72,206	\$31,016,681.56	99.8%	
10 Kirk	846,836	3.56	\$131,565	\$0.554	3##	\$126,044	\$0.530	4##	\$1,072,115	353	83	\$61,551	\$26,791,290.81	98.9%	
11 Weller	339,854	1.43	\$63,075	\$0.266	805	\$93,296	\$0.393	305	\$1,079,739	408	28	\$69,431	\$30,228,792.06	99.7%	
12 Costello	71,902	0.28	\$13,071	\$0.050	302	\$28,633	\$0.110	280	\$1,007,378	253	183	\$34,672	\$15,679,173.56	96.6%	
13 Biggart	924,632	3.23	\$116,551	\$0.408	24##	\$89,364	\$0.313	68##	\$1,028,124	152	284	-\$2,396	-\$1,022,724.71	93.0%	
14 Hastert	218,725	0.86	\$34,560	\$0.135	211	\$42,934	\$0.168	195	\$931,646	59	377	-\$86,801	-\$37,489,419.63	85.3%	
15 Johnson	424,776	1.58	\$65,944	\$0.245	98	\$73,179	\$0.272	97	\$987,976	166	270	\$5,782	\$2,589,505.39	93.8%	
16 Manzullo	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$11,404	n.c.	n.c.	\$1,062,484	230	206	\$30,230	\$12,881,780.21	96.0%	
17 Evans	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$9,856	n.c.	n.c.	\$1,048,213	406	30	\$67,172	\$30,117,910.69	99.6%	
18 LaHood	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$9,007	n.c.	n.c.	\$988,777	193	243	\$16,973	\$7,682,599.29	94.9%	
19 Phelps	119,854	0.54	\$2,306	\$0.011	335	\$27,658	\$0.126	265	\$991,999	256	180	\$35,481	\$16,316,352.72	96.7%	
20 Shimkus	119,797	0.38	\$24,644	\$0.078	282	\$49,520	\$0.157	214	\$1,068,799	344	92	\$59,778	\$26,059,456.46	98.8%	
INDIANA															
1 Viselovsky	134,335	0.56	\$22,819	\$0.095	268	\$43,456	\$0.180	173	\$1,044,494	385	51	\$65,043	\$29,210,374.62	99.5%	
2 Pence	118,987	0.47	\$19,734	\$0.077	284	\$23,741	\$0.093	293	\$1,038,623	386	50	\$64,706	\$29,224,394.87	99.5%	
3 Roemer	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$4,889	n.c.	n.c.	\$1,039,614	321	115	\$53,461	\$23,845,893.07	98.3%	
4 Souder	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$3,235	n.c.	n.c.	\$1,015,301	263	173	\$39,332	\$17,726,678.14	97.0%	
5 Buyer	8,848	0.03	\$1,764	\$0.007	344	\$9,901	\$0.039	332	\$1,044,172	343	93	\$58,162	\$25,946,300.73	98.8%	
6 Burton	301,647	0.97	\$47,857	\$0.154	179	\$54,410	\$0.175	177	\$1,050,541	314	122	\$52,509	\$23,142,448.66	98.2%	
7 Kerns	1,008,736	3.74	\$137,098	\$0.509	5#	\$138,707	\$0.515	5#	\$1,002,084	204	232	\$20,743	\$9,297,721.01	95.2%	
8 Hostettler	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$8,237	n.c.	n.c.	\$1,010,470	239	197	\$30,407	\$13,647,024.07	96.2%	
9 Hill	167,562	0.64	\$29,861	\$0.114	244	\$40,343	\$0.153	222	\$992,910	202	234	\$19,718	\$8,912,420.67	95.2%	
10 Carson	308,210	1.11	\$37,491	\$0.135	214	\$41,768	\$0.150	231	\$807,754	18	418	-\$175,010	-\$78,331,432.44	76.7%	
IOWA															
1 Leach	600,735	2.26	\$70,689	\$0.266	78	\$78,902	\$0.297	77	\$962,714	113	323	-\$24,755	-\$11,027,116.35	90.9%	
2 Nussle	304,546	1.21	\$74,818	\$0.297	55##	\$71,556	\$0.284	88##	\$931,255	88	348	-\$50,419	-\$22,591,830.55	88.5%	
3 Boswell	167,505	0.65	\$26,633	\$0.103	257	\$35,084	\$0.135	256	\$1,008,704	225	211	\$27,358	\$12,262,688.34	95.9%	
4 Ganske	616,677	2.24	\$112,347	\$0.408	23	\$118,627	\$0.431	18	\$1,066,422	435	1	\$71,818	\$31,761,734.45	100.0%	
5 Latham	119,914	0.47	\$15,694	\$0.062	293	\$22,952	\$0.090	294	\$843,744	30	406	-\$144,367	-\$64,266,562.60	79.6%	
KANSAS															
1 Moran	18,879	0.07	\$4,387	\$0.015	327	\$14,657	\$0.051	324	\$989,956	122	314	-\$19,981	-\$8,702,380.56	91.4%	
2 Ryan	258,573	0.93	\$40,638	\$0.146	189	\$44,450	\$0.160	209	\$952,751	92	344	-\$42,445	-\$18,760,310.44	89.3%	
3 Moore	338,189	1.08	\$53,438	\$0.171	158	\$81,741	\$0.261	106	\$1,026,989	160	276	\$2,401	\$1,030,702.98	93.5%	
4 Tiahrt	5,375	0.02	\$1,828	\$0.006	345	\$8,763	\$0.029	343	\$860,125	26	410	-\$155,585	-\$67,378,223.45	79.0%	
KENTUCKY															
1 Whitfield	403,138	1.34	\$68,422	\$0.227	116	\$79,444	\$0.264	104	\$1,022,660	229	207	\$28,315	\$12,525,845.26	95.9%	
2 Lewis	284,844	0.91	\$40,882	\$0.131	223	\$45,894	\$0.147	236	\$983,354	126	310	-\$17,325	-\$7,615,343.66	91.6%	
3 Northup	650,074	2.21	\$106,479	\$0.361	32##	\$56,156	\$0.191	162##	\$955,621	100	336	-\$35,034	-\$15,555,567.35	90.0%	
4 Lucas	419,589	1.40	\$69,039	\$0.231	111##	\$50,865	\$0.170	190##	\$842,944	25	411	-\$153,583	-\$67,791,480.40	78.9%	
5 Rogers	333,480	1.27	\$42,903	\$0.163	165##	\$27,683	\$0.105	283##	\$989,206	144	292	-\$7,125	-\$3,145,464.29	92.6%	
6 Fletcher	6,917	0.02	\$1,557	\$0.005	347\$	\$48,576	\$0.153	224\$	\$1,042,490	233	203	\$30,055	\$13,057,991.84	96.0%	
LOUISIANA															
1 Vitter	515,632	1.69	\$91,964	\$0.301	51\$	\$123,112	\$0.403	25\$	\$1,082,737	369	67	\$65,502	\$28,323,982.73	99.3%	
2 Jefferson	242,656	0.91	\$35,185	\$0.132	219	\$38,032	\$0.143	244	\$1,062,559	362	74	\$62,511	\$27,495,389.59	99.1%	
3 Tauzin	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$5,125	n.c.	n.c.	\$987,336	165	271	\$5,437	\$2,435,627.21	93.8%	
4 McCrery	124,971	0.45	\$22,132	\$0.079	280	\$32,404	\$0.116	275	\$962,222	85	351	-\$52,800	-\$22,881,362.42	88.4%	
5 Cooksey	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$2,554	n.c.	n.c.	\$1,056,076	356	80	\$61,056	\$26,991,030.02	99.0%	
6 Baker	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$8,290	n.c.	n.c.	\$1,074,225	304	132	\$51,757	\$22,265,977.19	98.0%	
7 John	204,903	0.71	\$34,231	\$0.118	238	\$42,095	\$0.145	238	\$1,070,513	311	125	\$52,987	\$22,905,799.68	98.1%	
MAINE															
1 Allen	2,776	0.01	\$916	\$0.003	352	\$6,548	\$0.020	357	\$1,050,866	237	199	\$31,265	\$13,488,279.14	96.1%	
2 Baldacci	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$7,305	n.c.	n.c.	\$1,031,576	292	144	\$46,915	\$20,957,777.44	97.7%	
MARYLAND															
1 Gilchrest	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$8,913	n.c.	n.c.	\$979,081	107	329	-\$31,103	-\$13,543,237.94	90.4%	
2 Ehrlich	444	0.00	\$559	\$0.002	355	\$5,955	\$0.021	355	\$951,364	97	339	-\$38,471	-\$17,095,987.24	89.6%	
3 Cardin	262,833	0.96	\$31,277	\$0.115	242	\$39,214	\$0.144	241	\$990,779	159	277	\$1,882	\$837,135.49	93.4%	
4 Wynn	62,025	0.24	\$11,264	\$0.043	306	\$17,867	\$0.068	312	\$919,280	70	366	-\$66,148	-\$29,526,537.68	87.0%	
5 Hoyer	59,779	0.21	\$7,009	\$0.025	319	\$11,828	\$0.042	329	\$1,018,652	212	224	\$23,521	\$10,396,709.73	95.5%	
6 Bartlett	1,889	0.01	\$2,380	\$0.008	340\$	\$45,584	\$0.152	228\$	\$1,021,053	197	239	\$19,233	\$8,444,564.71	95.1%	
7 Cummings	7,000	0.03	\$2,380	\$0.010	337	\$7,419	\$0.031	342	\$1,040,908	392	44	\$65,577	\$29,574,933.56	99.5%	
8 Morella	598,214	2.16	\$75,028	\$0.271	73	\$84,183	\$0.304	73	\$981,035	136	300	-\$11,103	-\$4,922,356.27	92.2%	

Key: * -- Postage Totals Probably Misreported by the House
 ** -- Postage Totals Misreported by the House
 # -- Mass Mailing Totals Probably Understated by the House

Total Office Spending Data, 2001

Name	Postage Spending Data								Total Office Spending	Lowest Office Spending Rank	Total Office Spending Rank	Amount Higher or Lower Than Average	If All Members Spent As Much, Spending Would Change By:	% of Member's Allowance Spent
	Mass Mailing Data				All Postage									
	Number of Mass Mail Pieces Sent	Pieces Sent Per Address	Postage of Mass Mailings	Cost Per Address	Mass Mail Postage Rank	Total Postage Spending	Cost Per Address	All Postage Spending Rank						
MASSACHUSETTS														
1 Oliver	358,379	1.29	\$55,342	\$0.199	135	\$66,069	\$0.238	121	\$1,037,148	280	156	\$45,443	\$20,155,893.19	97.5%
2 Neal	297,504	1.14	\$36,644	\$0.141	201	\$44,970	\$0.172	183	\$961,561	116	320	-\$23,620	-\$10,546,137.84	91.0%
3 McGovern	138,994	0.50	\$18,213	\$0.065	292	\$24,847	\$0.089	297	\$1,087,925	417	19	\$71,181	\$30,794,380.25	99.8%
4 Frank	624	0.00	\$212	\$0.001	360	\$7,061	\$0.027	350	\$1,059,703	305	131	\$51,064	\$22,269,136.27	98.0%
5 Meehan	143,214	0.56	\$29,817	\$0.117	239	\$38,342	\$0.150	230	\$1,065,588	338	98	\$58,842	\$25,709,236.85	98.7%
6 Tierney	208,109	0.75	\$36,731	\$0.133	218#	\$38,439	\$0.139	249#	\$885,556	36	400	-\$130,887	-\$56,641,476.39	81.3%
7 Markey	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$3,944	n.c.	n.c.	\$1,024,261	186	250	\$14,871	\$6,480,616.24	94.6%
8 Capuano	523,808	1.88	\$63,667	\$0.229	115	\$67,330	\$0.242	117	\$1,033,082	190	246	\$16,413	\$7,100,980.84	94.8%
9 Lynch	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$22	n.c.	n.c.	\$180,197	21	415	-\$36,151	-\$73,500,933.38	77.7%
10 Delahunt	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$7,436	n.c.	n.c.	\$1,096,034	309	127	\$53,932	\$22,764,496.88	98.1%
MICHIGAN														
1 Stupak	650,375	2.04	\$84,330	\$0.264	84	\$97,179	\$0.305	72	\$1,053,419	278	158	\$45,306	\$19,768,130.25	97.5%
2 Hoekstra	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$8,229	n.c.	n.c.	\$1,034,122	223	213	\$27,872	\$12,183,609.44	95.8%
3 Ehlers	294,232	1.11	\$41,743	\$0.157	172	\$45,569	\$0.172	184	\$956,857	101	335	-\$34,986	-\$15,515,916.23	90.0%
4 Camp	307,420	1.06	\$38,121	\$0.131	224	\$43,200	\$0.148	234	\$1,006,914	189	247	\$15,281	\$6,778,102.81	94.7%
5 Barcia	495,277	1.88	\$62,595	\$0.238	107	\$87,154	\$0.331	54	\$972,932	143	293	-\$7,310	-\$3,280,310.85	92.6%
6 Upton	512,915	1.86	\$63,927	\$0.232	109	\$70,925	\$0.257	109	\$1,034,026	340	96	\$57,158	\$25,737,233.28	98.7%
7 Smith	363,736	1.36	\$53,816	\$0.201	134##	\$46,184	\$0.173	182##	\$850,792	33	403	-\$139,836	-\$62,091,231.90	80.1%
8 Rogers	752,385	2.67	\$122,137	\$0.434	16	\$138,882	\$0.493	7	\$1,071,990	368	68	\$64,840	\$28,318,422.55	99.3%
9 Kildee	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$5,157	n.c.	n.c.	\$1,010,167	168	268	\$8,388	\$3,683,164.84	94.0%
10 Bonior	14,188	0.05	\$3,680	\$0.013	332	\$15,329	\$0.054	320	\$1,068,618	346	90	\$60,190	\$26,254,137.13	98.8%
11 Knollenberg	286,522	1.04	\$40,502	\$0.147	186	\$44,497	\$0.162	205	\$956,468	90	346	-\$47,436	-\$20,784,299.40	88.9%
12 Levin	347,144	1.41	\$60,624	\$0.246	97	\$66,511	\$0.270	98	\$1,036,691	284	152	\$45,614	\$20,244,805.45	97.6%
13 Rivers	556,500	2.08	\$74,045	\$0.277	67	\$83,824	\$0.314	67	\$837,099	23	413	-\$162,567	-\$71,531,795.32	78.1%
14 Conyers	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.#	\$1,555	n.c.	n.c.#	\$1,040,661	428	8	\$69,311	\$31,386,831.16	99.9%
15 Kilpatrick	194,925	0.83	\$23,586	\$0.101	262#	\$26,013	\$0.111	279#	\$1,049,876	375	61	\$64,634	\$28,856,341.20	99.4%
16 Dingell	102,755	0.42	\$26,463	\$0.107	254	\$30,495	\$0.123	268	\$1,024,514	245	191	\$32,358	\$14,345,598.71	96.3%
MINNESOTA														
1 Gutknecht	38,007	0.16	\$7,809	\$0.032	313#	\$7,926	\$0.032	339#	\$924,811	69	367	-\$68,096	-\$30,167,177.94	86.9%
2 Kennedy	337,413	1.22	\$39,759	\$0.143	196	\$58,306	\$0.210	145	\$1,037,216	218	218	\$26,668	\$11,607,919.84	95.7%
3 Ramstad	844,177	3.19	\$121,830	\$0.461	13##	\$93,089	\$0.352	42##	\$1,034,649	250	186	\$33,191	\$14,578,226.06	96.4%
4 McCollum	390,464	1.64	\$64,041	\$0.268	76	\$70,568	\$0.296	78	\$1,018,619	232	204	\$29,288	\$13,021,972.77	96.0%
5 Sabo	805,008	3.10	\$122,830	\$0.473	10##	\$121,294	\$0.467	11##	\$975,774	117	319	-\$22,751	-\$10,022,020.58	91.1%
6 Luther	630,171	2.28	\$87,759	\$0.318	45	\$94,935	\$0.344	46	\$1,071,565	371	65	\$65,226	\$28,510,166.77	99.3%
7 Peterson	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$4,079	n.c.	n.c.	\$1,023,093	258	178	\$38,260	\$17,088,671.74	96.9%
8 Oberstar	258,732	0.86	\$11,464	\$0.038	310	\$36,611	\$0.121	270	\$1,084,350	396	40	\$68,822	\$29,809,633.39	99.6%
MISSISSIPPI														
1 Wicker	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$5,788	n.c.	n.c.	\$956,289	118	318	-\$21,162	-\$9,523,418.06	91.2%
2 Thompson	5,522	0.03	\$2,173	\$0.010	336	\$14,619	\$0.069	311	\$1,034,287	416	20	\$67,640	\$30,779,211.04	99.8%
3 Pickering	219,166	0.86	\$29,033	\$0.114	243	\$33,347	\$0.131	262	\$1,028,358	285	151	\$45,342	\$20,288,957.39	97.6%
4 Shows	503,781	2.16	\$67,580	\$0.289	61	\$78,228	\$0.335	50	\$1,041,424	381	55	\$64,711	\$29,142,937.30	99.4%
5 Taylor	681	0.00	\$155	\$0.001	361	\$9,596	\$0.036	337	\$1,052,550	335	101	\$57,338	\$25,342,256.14	98.6%
MISSOURI														
1 Clay	278,902	1.13	\$33,747	\$0.136	208	\$39,003	\$0.158	211	\$1,021,424	222	214	\$27,220	\$12,042,863.26	95.8%
2 Akin	247,910	0.97	\$35,947	\$0.140	202##	\$34,366	\$0.134	259##	\$989,929	139	297	-\$8,584	-\$3,781,252.25	92.5%
3 Gephardt	548,180	2.06	\$72,697	\$0.273	71	\$78,519	\$0.294	79	\$1,058,667	327	109	\$55,243	\$24,216,622.96	98.4%
4 Skelton	53,636	0.17	\$11,894	\$0.039	309	\$25,908	\$0.084	300	\$1,057,835	297	139	\$48,702	\$21,228,439.30	97.8%
5 McCarthy	304,671	1.14	\$36,865	\$0.138	206	\$41,074	\$0.153	223	\$904,409	56	380	-\$86,764	-\$38,504,573.62	85.1%
6 Graves	312,281	1.18	\$48,848	\$0.185	143	\$74,662	\$0.283	89	\$879,850	43	393	-\$110,299	-\$48,999,721.45	82.9%
7 Blunt	5,975	0.02	\$953	\$0.003	351	\$5,617	\$0.018	361	\$1,036,653	238	198	\$31,058	\$13,585,296.11	96.1%
8 Emerson	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$9,942	n.c.	n.c.	\$1,038,305	323	113	\$53,786	\$24,030,917.87	98.4%
9 Hulshof	61,110	0.20	\$9,842	\$0.033	312	\$15,522	\$0.052	322	\$931,396	58	378	-\$88,200	-\$38,050,736.55	85.2%
MONTANA														
1 Rehberg	263,154	0.61	\$44,833	\$0.103	256	\$53,946	\$0.124	266	\$1,158,485	390	46	\$72,599	\$29,408,093.90	99.5%
NEBRASKA														
1 Bereuter	584,950	2.25	\$76,306	\$0.294	57	\$82,140	\$0.317	64	\$1,049,101	378	58	\$65,007	\$29,056,829.54	99.4%
2 Terry	581,055	2.29	\$96,893	\$0.382	28	\$101,413	\$0.400	26	\$949,882	95	341	-\$39,449	-\$17,539,645.05	89.5%
3 Osborne	819	0.00	\$1,220	\$0.005	347	\$6,047	\$0.024	352	\$1,018,306	227	209	\$27,852	\$12,369,212.13	95.9%
NEVADA														
1 Berkley	298,662	0.78	\$60,277	\$0.157	174	\$62,999	\$0.164	203	\$1,092,935	210	226	\$24,216	\$9,967,084.56	95.4%
2 Gibbons	391,883	0.81	\$70,646	\$0.146	190	\$75,343	\$0.155	217	\$1,035,024	65	371	-\$80,432	-\$31,717,473.52	86.5%
NEW HAMPSHIRE														
1 Sununu	341,628	1.21	\$43,553	\$0.154	181	\$49,223	\$0.174	179	\$971,848	124	312	-\$18,533	-\$8,231,185.78	91.5%
2 Bass	460,484	1.72	\$67,394	\$0.252	91##	\$47,211	\$0.177	175##	\$902,890	62	374	-\$81,903	-\$36,582,883.50	85.5%
NEW JERSEY														
1 Andrews	219,908	0.87	\$40,423	\$0.159	169	\$48,373	\$0.191	163	\$1,025,681	324	112	\$53,196	\$24,061,436.96	98.4%
2 LoBiondo	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$3,718	n.c.	n.c.	\$837,929	22	414	-\$165,393	-\$72,509,904.18	77.9%
3 Saxton	347,669	1.17	\$51,596	\$0.173	153	\$62,417	\$0.209	147	\$916,447	63	373	-\$76,655	-\$33,952,345.66	86.1%

Key: * -- Postage Totals Probably Misreported by the House
 ** -- Postage Totals Misreported by the House
 # -- Mass Mailing Totals Probably Understated by the House

Total Office Spending Data, 2001

Name	Postage Spending Data								Total Office Spending	Lowest Office Spending Rank	Total Office Spending Rank	Amount Higher or Lower Than Average	If All Members Spent As Much, Spending Would Change By:	% of Member's Allowance Spent
	Mass Mailing Data				All Postage									
	Number of Mass Mail Pieces Sent	Pieces Sent Per Address	Postage of Mass Mailings	Cost Per Address	Mass Mail Postage Rank	Total Postage Spending	Cost Per Address	All Postage Spending Rank						
4 Smith	555,340	1.93	\$86,622	\$0.300	53	\$95,397	\$0.331	55	\$1,043,944	319	117	\$53,251	\$23,643,517.68	98.3%
5 Roukema	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$6,289	n.c.	n.c.	\$814,719	16	420	-\$181,304	-\$80,068,079.10	76.3%
6 Pallone	480,568	1.83	\$69,809	\$0.265	81	\$76,585	\$0.291	82	\$1,031,328	216	220	\$25,968	\$11,361,625.32	95.7%
7 Fergusson	442,668	1.77	\$68,128	\$0.272	72	\$75,114	\$0.300	75	\$1,042,774	354	82	\$60,191	\$26,945,130.74	99.0%
8 Pascrell	242,361	1.00	\$37,826	\$0.156	175	\$52,714	\$0.217	138	\$1,041,172	363	73	\$61,465	\$27,596,393.60	99.1%
9 Rothman	256,860	0.94	\$39,876	\$0.145	191	\$46,189	\$0.168	194	\$1,053,728	287	149	\$46,801	\$20,444,440.14	97.6%
10 Payne	304,842	1.27	\$42,127	\$0.175	152##	\$40,254	\$0.167	196##	\$897,377	51	385	-\$94,777	-\$42,018,789.55	84.4%
11 Frelinghuysen	643,981	2.42	\$83,561	\$0.315	47	\$95,739	\$0.360	37	\$877,201	41	395	-\$112,212	-\$49,886,566.67	82.7%
12 Holt	569,638	1.99	\$83,526	\$0.292	58	\$102,739	\$0.359	39	\$1,062,505	286	150	\$47,124	\$20,414,162.59	97.6%
13 Menendez	666,793	2.52	\$92,161	\$0.349	33	\$96,904	\$0.367	34	\$1,068,867	383	53	\$66,424	\$29,146,478.06	99.4%
NEW MEXICO														
1 Wilson	307,383	1.18	\$47,493	\$0.183	145	\$53,657	\$0.206	152	\$1,039,163	199	237	\$20,315	\$8,770,524.74	95.1%
2 Skeen	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$6,614	n.c.	n.c.	\$1,013,528	155	281	-\$561	-\$243,161.05	93.2%
3 Udall	460,137	1.80	\$68,957	\$0.269	75##	\$53,653	\$0.209	146##	\$1,069,728	352	84	\$61,309	\$26,742,624.19	98.9%
NEW YORK														
1 Grucci	857,194	3.09	\$130,850	\$0.472	11	\$136,573	\$0.492	8	\$1,016,757	196	240	\$18,889	\$8,326,397.67	95.0%
2 Israel	590,661	2.96	\$97,865	\$0.491	7##	\$90,646	\$0.454	13##	\$999,201	257	179	\$36,601	\$16,725,280.05	96.8%
3 King	911,009	4.26	\$164,305	\$0.768	1	\$178,299	\$0.833	1	\$1,034,747	407	29	\$66,383	\$30,153,744.69	99.7%
4 McCarthy	403,868	1.93	\$78,675	\$0.376	29	\$88,020	\$0.421	21	\$1,022,318	336	100	\$56,150	\$25,563,519.57	98.7%
5 Ackerman	126,031	0.54	\$23,797	\$0.102	260	\$29,025	\$0.124	267	\$1,088,978	370	66	\$66,182	\$28,462,530.46	99.3%
6 Meeks	223,086	1.14	\$26,993	\$0.138	205	\$31,039	\$0.158	210	\$1,078,493	430	6	\$71,982	\$31,457,876.12	99.9%
7 Crowley	284,201	1.16	\$52,578	\$0.215	124	\$59,114	\$0.242	118	\$1,074,502	283	153	\$47,195	\$20,207,667.00	97.5%
8 Nadler	444,692	1.32	\$69,171	\$0.205	131	\$74,827	\$0.222	135	\$1,142,624	426	10	\$75,773	\$31,241,374.05	99.9%
9 Weiner	350,082	1.28	\$70,528	\$0.258	87#	\$72,864	\$0.267	100#	\$1,098,439	331	105	\$58,848	\$24,899,665.46	98.5%
10 Towns	112,396	0.44	\$21,246	\$0.083	275	\$28,053	\$0.109	281	\$1,085,523	306	130	\$52,714	\$22,450,701.87	98.0%
11 Owens	60,191	0.27	\$10,862	\$0.049	304	\$17,063	\$0.078	305	\$1,085,573	397	39	\$68,933	\$29,824,880.75	99.6%
12 Velazquez	606,460	3.06	\$121,804	\$0.615	2##	\$82,628	\$0.417	22##	\$1,051,306	273	163	\$43,843	\$19,142,356.89	97.3%
13 Fossella	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$4,903	n.c.	n.c.	\$1,045,270	182	254	\$13,882	\$5,920,253.26	94.5%
14 Maloney	535,564	1.46	\$89,717	\$0.245	99	\$96,152	\$0.262	105	\$1,126,858	275	161	\$47,244	\$19,248,702.51	97.3%
15 Rangel	233,160	2.20	\$62,781	\$0.264	85	\$74,117	\$0.311	70	\$1,073,495	293	143	\$48,874	\$20,981,703.46	97.7%
16 Serrano	734,863	3.27	\$94,376	\$0.421	18	\$98,317	\$0.438	16	\$1,089,208	412	24	\$70,539	\$30,459,198.59	99.7%
17 Engel	227,801	0.98	\$38,780	\$0.167	160##	\$37,718	\$0.162	204##	\$1,079,450	328	108	\$57,219	\$24,621,318.96	98.5%
18 Loveny	335,020	1.40	\$55,821	\$0.233	108S	\$93,808	\$0.391	31S	\$1,053,873	224	212	\$28,476	\$12,215,310.28	95.9%
19 Kelly	172,365	0.69	\$24,169	\$0.097	265	\$33,558	\$0.135	257	\$1,018,964	135	301	-\$12,143	-\$5,180,136.50	92.2%
20 Gilman	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$10,481	n.c.	n.c.	\$1,086,015	301	135	\$51,314	\$21,814,431.37	97.9%
21 McNulty	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$10,364	n.c.	n.c.	\$867,579	40	396	-\$116,682	-\$52,145,237.62	82.2%
22 Sweeney	100,768	0.36	\$16,812	\$0.061	295	\$26,148	\$0.095	292	\$1,034,270	281	155	\$45,353	\$20,173,034.67	97.5%
23 Boehlert	238,725	0.97	\$33,557	\$0.137	207	\$42,164	\$0.172	185	\$1,011,829	254	182	\$35,162	\$15,836,111.31	96.6%
24 McHugh	70,800	0.28	\$9,912	\$0.039	308	\$17,288	\$0.067	314	\$943,445	105	331	-\$31,821	-\$14,352,073.81	90.2%
25 Walsh	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$6,942	n.c.	n.c.	\$855,216	37	399	-\$122,282	-\$55,026,109.52	81.6%
26 Hinchey	50,525	0.19	\$8,090	\$0.031	314	\$13,553	\$0.051	323	\$1,018,421	288	148	\$45,542	\$20,591,024.45	97.6%
27 Reynolds	680,555	2.65	\$105,569	\$0.410	21	\$128,280	\$0.499	6	\$969,198	134	302	-\$14,238	-\$6,368,434.26	91.9%
28 Slaughter	751,479	2.79	\$120,076	\$0.446	15	\$128,303	\$0.476	10	\$940,757	91	345	-\$42,810	-\$19,145,353.77	89.2%
29 LaFalce	461,082	1.74	\$70,731	\$0.267	77##	\$70,715	\$0.267	99##	\$1,029,736	270	166	\$42,542	\$18,955,543.03	97.3%
30 Quinn	276,000	1.07	\$30,084	\$0.116	241	\$48,005	\$0.186	168	\$997,433	183	253	\$13,528	\$6,048,059.20	94.5%
31 Houghton	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$5,312	n.c.	n.c.	\$1,012,089	272	164	\$41,926	\$19,009,118.19	97.3%
NORTH CAROLINA														
1 Clavton	450,765	1.72	\$56,117	\$0.214	126##	\$34,392	\$0.131	263##	\$1,040,330	403	33	\$66,361	\$29,970,383.32	99.6%
2 Etheridge	336,674	1.02	\$48,454	\$0.147	188	\$66,595	\$0.201	153	\$1,026,009	195	241	\$18,398	\$8,031,507.02	95.0%
3 Jones	234,798	0.85	\$34,256	\$0.124	231	\$41,542	\$0.150	232	\$991,616	179	257	\$12,091	\$5,429,829.44	94.4%
4 Price	353,399	0.99	\$48,426	\$0.135	212	\$54,199	\$0.151	229	\$1,059,869	261	175	\$40,554	\$17,500,239.33	97.0%
5 Burr	9,500	0.03	\$2,150	\$0.007	342	\$11,698	\$0.039	333	\$914,346	55	381	-\$88,187	-\$38,692,534.35	85.1%
6 Coble	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$7,546	n.c.	n.c.	\$999,326	149	287	-\$4,585	-\$2,009,013.10	92.8%
7 McIntyre	8,145	0.02	\$4,211	\$0.013	334	\$12,728	\$0.038	334	\$962,540	96	340	-\$39,783	-\$17,458,555.34	89.6%
8 Hayes	563,955	2.22	\$97,281	\$0.383	27##	\$83,351	\$0.328	57##	\$1,005,682	244	192	\$31,668	\$14,301,159.56	96.3%
9 Myrick	168,320	0.52	\$26,385	\$0.081	277	\$31,827	\$0.098	289	\$1,041,480	255	181	\$36,507	\$15,978,642.22	96.7%
10 Ballenger	1,243	0.00	\$423	\$0.002	359	\$5,190	\$0.018	360	\$933,624	72	364	-\$65,900	-\$29,001,100.55	87.1%
11 T aylor	339,753	0.99	\$59,221	\$0.173	154	\$66,403	\$0.194	160	\$1,064,051	329	107	\$56,588	\$24,706,689.86	98.5%
12 Watt	250,685	0.80	\$32,797	\$0.104	255	\$38,397	\$0.122	269	\$1,033,869	217	219	\$26,138	\$11,408,829.09	95.7%
NORTH DAKOTA														
1 Pomeroy	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$5,990	n.c.	n.c.	\$1,025,340	194	242	\$17,689	\$7,721,701.61	94.9%

Key: * -- Postage Totals Probably Misreported by the House
 ** -- Postage Totals Misreported by the House
 # -- Mass Mailing Totals Probably Understated by the House

Total Office Spending Data, 2001

Name	Postage Spending Data								Total Office Spending	Lowest Office Spending Rank	Total Office Spending Rank	Amount Higher or Lower Than Average	If All Members Spent As Much, Spending Would Change By:	% of Member's Allowance Spent
	Mass Mailing Data				All Postage									
	Number of Mass Mail Pieces Sent	Pieces Sent Per Address	Postage of Mass Mailings	Cost Per Address	Mass Mail Postage Rank	Total Postage Spending	Cost Per Address	All Postage Spending Rank						
OHIO														
1 Chabot	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$4,430	n.c	n.c	\$753,184	7	429	-\$227,927	-\$102,187,859.77	71.6%
2 Portman	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$4,342	n.c	n.c	\$1,045,225	388	48	\$65,255	\$29,290,315.06	99.5%
3 Hall	324,964	1.28	\$40,018	\$0.158	171	\$42,682	\$0.169	192	\$1,002,486	252	184	\$34,115	\$15,496,425.22	96.5%
4 Oxley	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$8,456	n.c	n.c	\$946,319	110	326	-\$25,593	-\$11,582,941.16	90.8%
5 Gillmor	312,525	1.21	\$51,301	\$0.199	137	\$62,539	\$0.242	116	\$1,024,888	310	126	\$50,620	\$22,854,300.14	98.1%
6 Strickland	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$8,703	n.c	n.c	\$967,434	132	304	-\$14,677	-\$6,573,380.05	91.9%
7 Hobson	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$7,689	n.c	n.c	\$1,045,048	359	77	\$60,729	\$27,138,221.51	99.0%
8 Boehner	5,271	0.02	\$3,387	\$0.013	330	\$6,865	\$0.027	348	\$999,149	241	195	\$30,396	\$13,801,343.19	96.2%
9 Kaptur	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$44,048	n.c	n.c	\$1,033,874	402	34	\$65,942	\$29,966,469.37	99.6%
10 Kucinich	45,308	0.17	\$6,633	\$0.026	317	\$18,907	\$0.073	307	\$1,012,211	251	185	\$32,720	\$14,693,753.95	96.4%
11 Jones	125,385	0.50	\$20,594	\$0.083	274	\$25,320	\$0.102	284	\$1,030,259	334	102	\$55,987	\$25,277,244.20	98.6%
12 Tiberi	291,908	0.97	\$42,633	\$0.142	198	\$46,935	\$0.156	215	\$811,720	12	424	-\$188,920	-\$83,046,665.33	75.7%
13 Brown	414,182	1.59	\$54,903	\$0.210	129	\$58,252	\$0.223	133	\$882,749	48	388	-\$97,726	-\$43,842,397.14	84.0%
14 Sawyer	547,018	2.03	\$67,655	\$0.251	92	\$71,020	\$0.264	102	\$962,819	119	317	-\$20,205	-\$9,040,895.53	91.3%
15 Prvce	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$6,080	n.c	n.c	\$947,225	81	355	-\$55,324	-\$24,273,506.29	88.1%
16 Regula	501,676	1.97	\$62,962	\$0.247	96	\$75,099	\$0.294	80	\$947,569	120	316	-\$19,875	-\$9,036,345.90	91.3%
17 Traficant	1,690	0.01	\$2,129	\$0.008	338	\$5,089	\$0.020	358	\$972,346	158	278	\$1,774	\$804,025.59	93.4%
18 Ney	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$7,165	n.c	n.c	\$1,026,345	337	99	\$56,645	\$25,694,747.60	98.7%
19 LaTourette	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$6,312	n.c	n.c	\$848,610	34	402	-\$133,276	-\$59,705,301.63	80.6%
OKLAHOMA														
1 Largent	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$6,618	n.c	n.c	\$977,055	108	328	-\$30,486	-\$13,309,292.02	90.4%
2 Carson	295,786	1.16	\$54,001	\$0.212	128	\$60,806	\$0.239	119	\$1,071,202	419	17	\$70,315	\$30,901,721.88	99.8%
3 Watkins	228,780	0.92	\$35,237	\$0.141	200	\$40,191	\$0.161	207	\$906,137	54	382	-\$90,544	-\$39,960,118.82	84.8%
4 Watts	109,877	0.43	\$19,778	\$0.078	283	\$25,232	\$0.099	288	\$1,033,196	243	193	\$32,271	\$14,181,648.09	96.3%
5 Istook	425,661	1.55	\$52,505	\$0.191	141#	\$54,668	\$0.199	154#	\$986,012	115	321	-\$24,565	-\$10,692,069.65	91.0%
6 Lucas	559,032	2.23	\$79,803	\$0.318	44##	\$69,504	\$0.277	92##	\$1,026,102	213	223	\$24,269	\$10,655,714.75	95.5%
OREGON														
1 Wu	391,354	1.16	\$66,051	\$0.196	139	\$69,787	\$0.207	151	\$1,138,777	424	12	\$75,041	\$31,030,497.33	99.8%
2 Walden	575,681	1.81	\$100,716	\$0.317	46	\$105,629	\$0.333	53	\$1,086,989	276	160	\$46,058	\$19,462,674.54	97.4%
3 Blumenauer	128,132	0.45	\$19,869	\$0.070	287	\$28,706	\$0.101	285	\$1,033,016	146	290	-\$6,320	-\$2,674,818.63	92.7%
4 DeFazio	438,451	1.48	\$88,155	\$0.297	56	\$97,772	\$0.329	56	\$1,047,224	177	259	\$12,174	\$5,173,686.58	94.4%
5 Hooley	521,816	1.73	\$87,569	\$0.290	60##	\$75,373	\$0.250	112##	\$1,074,146	214	222	\$25,663	\$10,766,149.21	95.5%
PENNSYLVANIA														
1 Brady	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$3,451	n.c	n.c	\$1,001,242	312	124	\$49,653	\$22,951,900.35	98.1%
2 Fattah	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$2,737	n.c	n.c	\$1,050,009	394	42	\$66,241	\$29,617,924.74	99.5%
3 Borski	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$4,946	n.c	n.c	\$1,024,107	322	114	\$52,963	\$23,989,021.90	98.3%
4 Hart	665,706	2.57	\$116,418	\$0.449	14##	\$93,304	\$0.360	38##	\$1,051,602	395	41	\$66,549	\$29,716,763.50	99.6%
5 Peterson	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$7,556	n.c	n.c	\$946,364	131	305	-\$14,679	-\$6,718,347.32	91.8%
6 Holden	351,980	1.41	\$56,429	\$0.226	120	\$70,241	\$0.281	90	\$973,147	156	280	-\$437	-\$197,449.10	93.2%
7 Weldon	211,891	0.81	\$35,054	\$0.134	215	\$46,541	\$0.178	174	\$998,226	203	233	\$19,978	\$8,982,834.47	95.2%
8 Greenwood	2,295	0.01	\$660	\$0.003	353	\$6,855	\$0.027	349	\$1,044,299	429	7	\$69,617	\$31,417,522.64	99.9%
9 Shuster Bill	174,061	0.69	\$29,501	\$0.117	239##	\$3,963	\$0.016	362##	\$536,080	39	397	-\$75,832	-\$54,510,812.39	81.7%
10 Sherwood	587,335	2.02	\$97,575	\$0.335	39	\$110,653	\$0.380	33	\$1,021,019	295	141	\$46,599	\$21,035,409.94	97.7%
11 Kanjorski	294,536	1.09	\$36,589	\$0.136	209	\$45,586	\$0.169	191	\$1,025,966	345	91	\$57,772	\$26,247,014.44	98.8%
12 Murtha	182,525	0.74	\$34,309	\$0.139	204	\$48,051	\$0.194	156	\$1,001,271	209	227	\$21,640	\$9,716,718.87	95.3%
13 Hoeffel	714,273	2.67	\$110,793	\$0.414	19	\$122,596	\$0.458	12	\$993,937	180	256	\$12,871	\$5,770,892.16	94.5%
14 Coyne	179,357	0.66	\$27,664	\$0.101	261	\$32,891	\$0.121	272	\$844,746	29	407	-\$145,365	-\$64,579,854.81	79.6%
15 Toomey	203,822	0.78	\$34,102	\$0.131	221	\$40,774	\$0.157	213	\$833,539	35	401	-\$130,369	-\$59,492,107.47	80.6%
16 Pitts	169,530	0.66	\$26,264	\$0.103	257	\$36,484	\$0.142	246	\$1,031,351	330	106	\$55,151	\$24,850,742.57	98.5%
17 Gekas	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$3,887	n.c	n.c	\$788,967	11	425	-\$186,794	-\$84,205,457.46	75.4%
18 Doyle	113,350	0.44	\$34,142	\$0.134	217	\$49,380	\$0.193	161	\$878,921	47	389	-\$103,859	-\$46,484,823.09	83.4%
19 Platts	283,840	1.07	\$47,296	\$0.178	149	\$55,853	\$0.210	144	\$997,915	235	201	\$29,413	\$13,358,428.39	96.1%
20 Mascara	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$5,232	n.c	n.c	\$926,309	75	361	-\$63,406	-\$28,179,882.82	87.3%
21 English	264,208	1.05	\$34,986	\$0.139	203	\$48,684	\$0.194	158	\$1,014,109	274	162	\$42,338	\$19,163,860.70	97.3%
RHODE ISLAND														
1 Kennedy	216,000	0.95	\$25,828	\$0.114	244	\$32,844	\$0.144	239	\$1,037,362	300	136	\$48,696	\$21,665,395.60	97.9%
2 Langevin	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$4,419	n.c	n.c	\$1,055,738	373	63	\$64,641	\$28,688,779.38	99.3%

Key: * -- Postage Totals Probably Misreported by the House
 ** -- Postage Totals Misreported by the House
 # -- Mass Mailing Totals Probably Understated by the House

Total Office Spending Data, 2001

Name	Postage Spending Data								Total Office Spending	Lowest Office Spending Rank	Total Office Spending Rank	Amount Higher or Lower Than Average	If All Members Spent As Much, Spending Would Change By:	% of Member's Allowance Spent
	Mass Mailing Data				All Postage									
	Number of Mass Mail Pieces Sent	Pieces Sent Per Address	Postage of Mass Mailings	Cost Per Address	Mass Mail Postage Rank	Total Postage Spending	Cost Per Address	All Postage Spending Rank						
SOUTH CAROLINA														
1 Brown	745,677	2.22	\$86,605	\$0.258	865	\$137,745	\$0.410	235	\$1,057,894	266	170	\$41,737	\$18,066,850.27	97.1%
2 Wilson	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$0	n.c	n.c	\$37,563	57	379	-\$3,558	-\$38,055,246.90	85.2%
3 Graham	321,646	1.06	\$38,919	\$0.129	226	\$46,559	\$0.154	221	\$967,806	104	332	-\$32,786	-\$14,412,945.40	90.2%
4 DeMint	76,439	0.25	\$14,275	\$0.047	305	\$17,427	\$0.057	318	\$967,037	103	333	-\$34,183	-\$15,017,776.20	90.1%
5 Spratt	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$5,016	n.c	n.c	\$1,001,370	208	228	\$21,610	\$9,701,769.58	95.3%
6 Clyburn	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$8,068	n.c	n.c	\$1,051,480	422	14	\$69,230	\$31,002,518.94	99.8%
SOUTH DAKOTA														
1 Thune	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$5,789	n.c	n.c	\$1,057,241	221	215	\$27,835	\$11,893,730.53	95.8%
TENNESSEE														
1 Jenkins	8,423	0.03	\$4,712	\$0.016	326	\$9,450	\$0.032	340	\$768,993	9	427	-\$217,164	-\$96,864,308.24	72.7%
2 Duncan	269,884	0.92	\$39,133	\$0.134	216	\$49,775	\$0.170	187	\$943,661	84	352	-\$53,122	-\$23,442,105.19	88.3%
3 Wamp	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$3,857	n.c	n.c	\$1,025,781	267	169	\$41,409	\$18,503,732.36	97.2%
4 Hilleary	2,874	0.01	\$977	\$0.003	350	\$7,820	\$0.027	347	\$1,057,238	379	57	\$65,532	\$29,066,519.70	99.4%
5 Clement	943,903	3.26	\$115,301	\$0.398	25	\$127,756	\$0.441	15	\$1,061,360	367	69	\$64,148	\$28,295,669.01	99.3%
6 Gordon	381,839	1.23	\$63,275	\$0.204	132	\$79,154	\$0.255	110	\$1,075,240	410	26	\$69,518	\$30,404,857.38	99.7%
7 Bryant	357,132	1.15	\$49,673	\$0.160	167	\$57,385	\$0.185	169	\$826,063	15	421	-\$184,613	-\$80,347,433.11	76.2%
8 Tanner	16,896	0.06	\$5,745	\$0.022	320	\$18,605	\$0.070	309	\$1,030,417	262	174	\$39,444	\$17,508,183.97	97.0%
9 Ford	4,627	0.02	\$1,573	\$0.007	343	\$4,807	\$0.021	354	\$1,043,118	425	11	\$68,844	\$31,081,902.06	99.9%
TEXAS														
1 Sandlin	237,204	0.84	\$31,123	\$0.110	251	\$38,614	\$0.137	253	\$1,061,574	342	94	\$59,107	\$25,935,258.93	98.8%
2 Turner	661,164	2.39	\$92,714	\$0.336	38##	\$59,489	\$0.215	141##	\$1,012,517	140	296	-\$8,631	-\$3,717,931.44	92.5%
3 Johnson	360,300	1.07	\$56,175	\$0.167	159	\$60,919	\$0.181	171	\$1,089,953	291	145	\$49,405	\$20,884,742.30	97.7%
4 Hall	4,993	0.02	\$5,520	\$0.017	325	\$9,854	\$0.031	341	\$1,015,807	128	308	-\$16,928	-\$7,209,905.15	91.7%
5 Sessions	103,000	0.37	\$19,420	\$0.069	289	\$23,341	\$0.083	301	\$1,064,444	282	154	\$46,737	\$20,200,194.32	97.5%
6 Barton	260,250	0.80	\$29,886	\$0.092	269	\$50,947	\$0.158	212	\$961,363	67	369	-\$74,028	-\$31,449,610.25	86.6%
7 Culberson	539,798	1.62	\$76,997	\$0.230	112##	\$78,574	\$0.235	126##	\$971,099	66	370	-\$74,859	-\$31,481,319.19	86.6%
8 Brady	185,000	0.57	\$29,805	\$0.091	270	\$32,815	\$0.100	287	\$813,409	8	428	-\$230,398	-\$97,091,095.38	72.7%
9 Lampson	16,350	0.06	\$4,335	\$0.015	328	\$13,967	\$0.048	326	\$1,095,954	389	47	\$68,599	\$29,371,180.93	99.5%
10 Doggett	339,615	0.97	\$44,251	\$0.127	227	\$59,457	\$0.170	189	\$1,010,297	106	330	-\$32,983	-\$13,906,466.32	90.3%
11 Edwards	605,081	2.17	\$102,978	\$0.370	31##	\$87,879	\$0.315	66##	\$1,067,956	415	21	\$69,759	\$30,740,165.75	99.8%
12 Granger	301,950	1.10	\$48,588	\$0.177	150	\$57,113	\$0.208	150	\$1,069,058	315	121	\$53,815	\$23,315,899.10	98.2%
13 Thornberry	12,937	0.05	\$2,100	\$0.008	340	\$10,954	\$0.041	331	\$1,046,758	242	194	\$32,254	\$13,984,499.65	96.2%
14 Paul	234,069	0.78	\$33,940	\$0.113	246	\$40,951	\$0.137	254	\$887,146	31	405	-\$147,600	-\$62,744,255.33	80.0%
15 Hinojosa	147,704	0.56	\$22,850	\$0.086	272	\$31,859	\$0.120	274	\$1,026,697	178	258	\$12,351	\$5,356,107.16	94.4%
16 Reyes	355,600	1.47	\$27,252	\$0.113	247	\$44,299	\$0.184	170	\$1,065,221	349	87	\$60,308	\$26,397,722.61	98.9%
17 Stenholm	54,203	0.19	\$14,012	\$0.050	302	\$21,110	\$0.076	306	\$1,059,771	358	78	\$61,392	\$27,048,033.78	99.0%
18 Jackson-Lee	277,044	1.07	\$33,522	\$0.129	225##	\$34,733	\$0.134	260##	\$1,039,709	215	221	\$25,841	\$11,211,150.31	95.6%
19 Combust	114,722	0.43	\$18,360	\$0.069	290	\$26,737	\$0.101	286	\$1,032,382	220	216	\$26,780	\$11,713,830.92	95.7%
20 Gonzalez	195,191	0.82	\$34,890	\$0.147	187	\$40,454	\$0.170	188	\$1,070,633	421	15	\$70,355	\$30,938,227.71	99.8%
21 Smith	380,602	1.00	\$65,114	\$0.172	156	\$86,502	\$0.228	130	\$1,130,903	377	59	\$70,069	\$29,053,783.77	99.4%
22 DeLay	288,806	0.96	\$51,319	\$0.171	157	\$66,961	\$0.223	134	\$1,036,685	162	274	\$4,283	\$1,824,686.23	93.6%
23 Bonilla	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$4,795	n.c	n.c	\$1,047,558	248	188	\$33,399	\$14,486,192.12	96.3%
24 Frost	537,800	2.01	\$99,542	\$0.371	30##	\$63,082	\$0.235	125##	\$1,033,688	206	230	\$21,865	\$9,505,147.90	95.3%
25 Bentsen	490,829	1.79	\$77,274	\$0.282	64	\$91,414	\$0.333	52	\$1,031,477	175	261	\$11,001	\$4,741,939.10	94.3%
26 Arvey	378,075	1.00	\$58,402	\$0.155	177	\$66,227	\$0.175	176	\$899,060	28	408	-\$159,293	-\$66,204,484.56	79.2%
27 Ortiz	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$6,415	n.c	n.c	\$1,007,410	167	269	\$7,786	\$3,426,294.00	94.0%
28 Rodriguez	150,474	0.69	\$21,860	\$0.100	263	\$31,509	\$0.144	242	\$1,064,853	432	4	\$71,536	\$31,677,858.97	100.0%
29 Green	392,097	1.72	\$60,152	\$0.265	83	\$68,001	\$0.299	76	\$958,603	93	343	-\$41,295	-\$18,166,190.93	89.4%
30 Johnson	480,682	1.82	\$67,246	\$0.254	89	\$76,558	\$0.289	85	\$1,065,061	325	111	\$55,403	\$24,136,702.04	98.4%
UTAH														
1 Hansen	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$6,727	n.c	n.c	\$825,425	13	423	-\$188,756	-\$81,866,635.85	75.9%
2 Matheson	898,890	3.42	\$128,819	\$0.489	8	\$151,819	\$0.577	3	\$979,960	111	325	-\$26,134	-\$11,426,005.66	90.8%
3 Cannon	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a#	\$2,446	n.c	n.c#	\$1,004,147	151	285	-\$4,203	-\$1,833,548.77	92.9%
VERMONT														
1 Sanders	161,415	0.57	\$31,345	\$0.111	250	\$38,265	\$0.135	255	\$1,046,459	339	97	\$57,824	\$25,727,334.95	98.7%
VIRGINIA														
1 Davis	714,921	2.38	\$94,317	\$0.314	48	\$100,972	\$0.336	49	\$993,212	164	272	\$5,440	\$2,422,349.76	93.8%
2 Schrock	288,353	1.27	\$46,009	\$0.202	1335	\$80,814	\$0.355	415	\$998,126	271	165	\$41,262	\$18,968,003.02	97.3%
3 Scott	128,592	0.50	\$15,302	\$0.059	297	\$20,753	\$0.080	304	\$832,673	32	404	-\$137,073	-\$62,175,067.22	80.1%
4 Forbes	75,759	0.29	\$13,959	\$0.053	3015	\$62,171	\$0.234	1285	\$521,402	145	291	-\$3,745	-\$3,136,888.67	92.6%
5 Goode	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$9,456	n.c	n.c	\$523,937	1	435	-\$461,669	-\$206,038,676.33	49.6%
6 Goodlatte	482,305	1.69	\$69,617	\$0.243	101##	\$46,246	\$0.162	206##	\$810,400	20	416	-\$167,614	-\$75,385,322.59	77.3%
7 Cantor	538,717	1.75	\$85,001	\$0.276	695	\$122,246	\$0.397	285	\$1,023,170	246	190	\$32,408	\$14,388,136.06	96.3%
8 Moran	1,114,123	3.69	\$143,779	\$0.477	9##	\$100,666	\$0.334	51##	\$1,002,962	130	306	-\$15,634	-\$6,751,131.05	91.8%
9 Boucher	512,340	1.90	\$93,459	\$0.348	34	\$107,417	\$0.399	27	\$1,023,007	298	138	\$47,326	\$21,335,900.37	97.8%
10 Wolf	463,358	1.41	\$79,869	\$0.242	104	\$87,742	\$0.266	101	\$1,099,932	393	43	\$69,319	\$29,585,407.15	99.5%
11 Davis	402,809	1.71	\$70,722	\$0.301	52	\$79,430	\$0.338	48	\$1,028,872	259	177	\$38,687	\$17,185,973.73	96.9%
WASHINGTON														
1 Insee	400,563	1.45	\$68,656	\$0.249	94	\$75,297	\$0.273	96	\$1,051,569	176	260	\$11,894	\$5,032,339.62	94.3%
2 Larsen	471,244	1.45	\$74,808	\$0.229	113	\$77,475	\$0.238	122	\$1,077,726	187	249	\$15,890	\$6,582,375.41	94.7%
3 Baird	378,523	1.22	\$66,934	\$0.216	123##	\$48,015	\$0.155	219##	\$1,048,232	181	255	\$13,704	\$5,826,562.88	94.5%
4 Hastings	16,410	0.06	\$4,882	\$0.018	324	\$12,481	\$0.045	327	\$922,006	52	384	-\$95,855	-\$41,423,722.83	84.5%
5 Nethercutt	314,240	1.11	\$51,268	\$0.182	147	\$62,398	\$0.221	136	\$1,030,771	157	279	-\$453	-\$193,170.15	93.2%

Key: * -- Postage Totals Probably Misreported by the House
 ** -- Postage Totals Misreported by the House
 # -- Mass Mailing Totals Probably Understated by the House

Total Office Spending Data, 2001																	
Name	Postage Spending Data				Mass Mailing Data				All Postage			Total Office Spending	Lowest Office Spending Rank	Total Office Spending Rank	Amount Higher or Lower Than Average	If All Members Spent As Much, Spending Would Change By:	% of Member's Allowance Spent
	Number of Mass Mail Pieces Sent	Pieces Sent Per Address	Postage of Mass Mailings	Cost Per Address	Mass Mail Postage Rank	Total Postage Spending	Cost Per Address	All Postage Spending Rank									
	6 Dicks	619,919	2.15	\$45,776	\$0.159	170\$	\$92,139	\$0.320	61\$	\$1,056,386	228						
7 McDermott	280,411	0.95	\$36,744	\$0.125	229	\$42,289	\$0.144	240	\$1,106,222	333	103	\$59,560	\$25,030,284.51	98.6%			
8 Dunn	8,803	0.03	\$1,065	\$0.004	349	\$6,714	\$0.025	351	\$926,197	46	390	-\$111,691	-\$47,335,529.44	83.2%			
9 Smith	126,903	0.45	\$21,877	\$0.078	281\$	\$68,190	\$0.244	115\$	\$1,029,585	171	265	\$9,117	\$3,930,020.80	94.1%			
WEST VIRGINIA																	
1 Mollohan	2,500	0.01	\$3,725	\$0.013	331	\$9,987	\$0.035	338	\$989,570	173	263	\$8,910	\$3,996,345.91	94.1%			
2 Capito	933,746	3.00	\$132,024	\$0.424	17#	\$133,818	\$0.430	19#	\$986,254	138	298	-\$10,399	-\$4,589,551.40	92.3%			
3 Rahall	2,222	0.01	\$735	\$0.003	353	\$19,608	\$0.069	310	\$882,876	45	391	-\$106,630	-\$47,400,350.16	83.2%			
WISCONSIN																	
1 Ryan	269,735	1.02	\$38,307	\$0.145	192	\$49,376	\$0.186	167	\$1,049,746	387	49	\$65,421	\$29,234,886.18	99.5%			
2 Baldwin	319,985	1.12	\$64,792	\$0.226	119	\$78,646	\$0.274	95	\$983,936	127	309	-\$17,050	-\$7,492,498.70	91.7%			
3 Kind	278,028	1.07	\$40,481	\$0.156	176	\$43,372	\$0.167	197	\$958,392	112	324	-\$24,775	-\$11,084,431.47	90.9%			
4 Kleczka	840,452	3.32	\$103,549	\$0.409	22##	\$81,776	\$0.323	58##	\$933,908	76	360	-\$62,492	-\$27,587,381.76	87.4%			
5 Barrett	450,951	2.00	\$54,748	\$0.243	100#	\$56,029	\$0.249	113#	\$892,964	53	383	-\$91,720	-\$40,971,988.51	84.6%			
6 Petri	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$8,288	n.c	n.c	\$1,012,278	236	200	\$30,029	\$13,447,630.43	96.1%			
7 Obey	527,202	1.96	\$65,109	\$0.243	103	\$69,560	\$0.259	108	\$948,628	94	342	-\$40,411	-\$17,972,469.54	89.5%			
8 Green	165,030	0.59	\$23,084	\$0.082	276	\$30,227	\$0.107	282	\$923,488	68	368	-\$69,355	-\$30,727,080.74	86.7%			
9 Sensenbrenner	472,491	1.83	\$69,767	\$0.270	74	\$82,394	\$0.319	62	\$795,918	10	426	-\$203,950	-\$89,722,743.37	74.2%			
WYOMING																	
1 Cubin	0	0.00	\$0	\$0.000	n.a.	\$6,866	n.c	n.c	\$1,031,323	200	236	\$20,237	\$8,803,959.11	95.1%			