

**Key:**

- \* -- Postage Totals Probably Misreported by the House
- \*\* -- Postage Totals Misreported by the House
- # -- Mass Mailing Totals Probably Understated by the House

**Total Office Spending Data, 2001**

Name	Postage Spending Data								Total Office Spending	Lowest Office Spending Rank	Total Office Spending Rank	Amount Higher or Lower Than Average	If All Members Spent As Much, Spending Would Change By:	% of Member's Allowance Spent		
	Mass Mailing Data				All Postage											
	Number of Mass Mail Pieces Sent	Pieces Sent Per Address	Postage of Mass Mailings	Cost Per Address	Mass Mail Postage Rank	Total Postage Spending	Cost Per Address	All Postage Spending Rank								
NY	3	King	911,009	4.26	\$164,305	\$0.768	1	\$178,299	\$0.833	1	\$1,034,747	407	29	\$66,383	\$30,153,744.69	99.7%
NY	12	Velazquez	606,460	3.06	\$121,804	\$0.615	2##	\$82,628	\$0.417	22##	\$1,051,306	273	163	\$43,843	\$19,142,356.89	97.3%
IL	10	Kirk	846,836	3.56	\$131,565	\$0.554	3##	\$126,044	\$0.530	4##	\$1,072,115	353	83	\$61,551	\$26,791,290.81	98.9%
CA	13	Stark	767,400	3.29	\$127,711	\$0.547	4\$	\$162,812	\$0.697	2\$	\$1,085,144	247	189	\$34,454	\$14,423,908.10	96.3%
IN	7	Kerns	1,008,736	3.74	\$137,098	\$0.509	5#	\$138,707	\$0.515	5#	\$1,002,084	204	232	\$20,743	\$9,297,721.01	95.2%
CA	36	Harman	837,008	3.06	\$135,616	\$0.495	6##	\$116,920	\$0.427	20##	\$1,102,780	294	142	\$50,268	\$21,007,982.41	97.7%
NY	2	Israel	590,661	2.96	\$97,865	\$0.491	7##	\$90,646	\$0.454	13##	\$999,201	257	179	\$36,601	\$16,725,280.05	96.8%
UT	2	Matheson	898,890	3.42	\$128,819	\$0.489	8	\$151,819	\$0.577	3	\$979,960	111	325	-\$26,134	-\$11,426,005.66	90.8%
VA	8	Moran	1,114,123	3.69	\$143,779	\$0.477	9##	\$100,666	\$0.334	51##	\$1,002,962	130	306	-\$15,634	-\$6,751,131.05	91.8%
MN	5	Sabo	805,008	3.10	\$122,830	\$0.473	10##	\$121,294	\$0.467	11##	\$975,774	117	319	-\$22,751	-\$10,022,020.58	91.1%
NY	1	Grucci	857,194	3.09	\$130,850	\$0.472	11	\$136,573	\$0.492	8	\$1,016,757	196	240	\$18,889	\$8,326,397.67	95.0%
CA	39	Royce	526,367	2.41	\$102,144	\$0.468	12	\$107,062	\$0.491	9	\$1,043,011	184	252	\$14,645	\$6,264,057.15	94.6%
MN	3	Ramstad	844,177	3.19	\$121,830	\$0.461	13##	\$93,089	\$0.352	42##	\$1,034,649	250	186	\$33,191	\$14,578,226.06	96.4%
PA	4	Hart	665,706	2.57	\$116,418	\$0.449	14##	\$93,304	\$0.360	38##	\$1,051,602	395	41	\$66,549	\$29,716,763.50	99.6%
NY	28	Slaughter	751,479	2.79	\$120,076	\$0.446	15	\$128,303	\$0.476	10	\$940,757	91	345	-\$42,810	-\$19,145,353.77	89.2%
MI	8	Rogers	752,385	2.67	\$122,137	\$0.434	16	\$138,882	\$0.493	7	\$1,071,990	368	68	\$64,840	\$28,318,422.55	99.3%
WV	2	Capito	933,746	3.00	\$132,024	\$0.424	17#	\$133,818	\$0.430	19#	\$986,254	138	298	-\$10,399	-\$4,589,551.40	92.3%
NY	16	Serrano	734,863	3.27	\$94,376	\$0.421	18	\$98,317	\$0.438	16	\$1,089,208	412	24	\$70,539	\$30,459,198.59	99.7%
PA	13	Hoeffel	714,273	2.67	\$110,793	\$0.414	19	\$122,596	\$0.458	12	\$993,937	180	256	\$12,871	\$5,770,892.16	94.5%
FL	6	Stearns	1,099,700	2.86	\$159,288	\$0.414	20	\$173,911	\$0.452	14	\$1,091,628	299	137	\$50,897	\$21,511,653.41	97.8%
NY	27	Reynolds	680,555	2.65	\$105,569	\$0.410	21	\$128,280	\$0.499	6	\$969,198	134	302	-\$14,238	-\$6,368,434.26	91.9%
WI	4	Klecza	840,452	3.32	\$103,549	\$0.409	22##	\$81,776	\$0.323	58##	\$933,908	76	360	-\$62,492	-\$27,587,381.76	87.4%
IA	4	Ganske	616,677	2.24	\$112,347	\$0.408	23	\$118,627	\$0.431	18	\$1,066,422	435	1	\$71,818	\$31,761,734.45	100.0%
IL	13	Biggert	924,632	3.23	\$116,551	\$0.408	24##	\$89,364	\$0.313	68##	\$1,028,124	152	284	-\$2,396	-\$1,022,724.71	93.0%
TN	5	Clement	943,903	3.26	\$115,301	\$0.398	25	\$127,756	\$0.441	15	\$1,061,360	367	69	\$64,148	\$28,295,669.01	99.3%
FL	20	Deutsch	801,979	2.32	\$135,584	\$0.392	26	\$140,144	\$0.405	24	\$1,060,275	188	248	\$15,756	\$6,635,053.80	94.7%
NC	8	Hayes	563,955	2.22	\$97,281	\$0.383	27##	\$83,351	\$0.328	57##	\$1,005,682	244	192	\$31,668	\$14,301,159.56	96.3%
NE	2	Terry	581,055	2.29	\$96,893	\$0.382	28	\$101,413	\$0.400	26	\$949,882	95	341	-\$39,449	-\$17,539,645.05	89.5%
NY	4	McCarthy	403,868	1.93	\$78,675	\$0.376	29	\$88,020	\$0.421	21	\$1,022,318	336	100	\$56,150	\$25,563,519.57	98.7%
TX	24	Frost	537,800	2.01	\$99,542	\$0.371	30##	\$63,082	\$0.235	125##	\$1,033,688	206	230	\$21,865	\$9,505,147.90	95.3%
TX	11	Edwards	605,081	2.17	\$102,978	\$0.370	31##	\$87,879	\$0.315	66##	\$1,067,956	415	21	\$69,759	\$30,740,165.75	99.8%
KY	3	Northup	650,074	2.21	\$106,479	\$0.361	32##	\$56,156	\$0.191	162##	\$955,621	100	336	-\$35,034	-\$15,555,567.35	90.0%
NJ	13	Menendez	666,793	2.52	\$92,161	\$0.349	33	\$96,904	\$0.367	34	\$1,068,867	383	53	\$66,424	\$29,146,478.06	99.4%
VA	9	Boucher	512,340	1.90	\$93,459	\$0.348	34	\$107,417	\$0.399	27	\$1,023,007	298	138	\$47,326	\$21,335,900.37	97.8%
HI	1	Abercrombie	505,270	2.19	\$79,979	\$0.346	35	\$100,420	\$0.435	17	\$1,091,910	265	171	\$43,022	\$18,041,917.38	97.1%
IL	7	Davis	675,842	2.70	\$86,627	\$0.346	36	\$91,123	\$0.364	36	\$1,082,167	382	54	\$67,243	\$29,142,981.07	99.4%
CA	24	Sherman	562,899	2.14	\$89,600	\$0.341	37	\$95,969	\$0.365	35	\$1,119,521	401	35	\$71,320	\$29,928,920.90	99.6%
TX	2	Turner	661,164	2.39	\$92,714	\$0.336	38##	\$59,489	\$0.215	141##	\$1,012,517	140	296	-\$8,631	-\$3,717,931.44	92.5%
PA	10	Sherwood	587,335	2.02	\$97,575	\$0.335	39	\$110,653	\$0.380	33	\$1,021,019	295	141	\$46,599	\$21,035,409.94	97.7%
CA	2	Heger	545,123	1.83	\$99,313	\$0.333	40	\$113,984	\$0.383	32	\$1,101,981	332	104	\$59,114	\$24,933,369.13	98.5%
AZ	5	Kolbe	928,558	2.50	\$123,797	\$0.333	41	\$133,288	\$0.358	40	\$1,123,973	348	88	\$63,541	\$26,356,745.33	98.9%
CA	7	Miller	563,178	2.29	\$79,763	\$0.325	42	\$85,548	\$0.348	43	\$1,117,255	318	118	\$56,883	\$23,596,535.62	98.3%

**Key:**

- \* -- Postage Totals Probably Misreported by the House
- \*\* -- Postage Totals Misreported by the House
- # -- Mass Mailing Totals Probably Understated by the House

										<b>Total Office Spending Data, 2001</b>						
<b>Postage Spending Data</b>										Total Office Spending	Lowest Office Spending Rank	Total Office Spending Rank	Amount Higher or Lower Than Average	If All Members Spent As Much, Spending Would Change By:	% of Member's Allowance Spent	
<b>Mass Mailing Data</b>					<b>All Postage</b>											
Name	Number of Mass Mail Pieces Sent	Pieces Sent Per Address	Postage of Mass Mailings	Cost Per Address	Mass Mail Postage Rank	Total Postage Spending	Cost Per Address	All Postage Spending Rank								
CA	49	Davis	473,046	1.66	\$91,417	\$0.320	43##	\$90,579	\$0.317	63##	\$1,087,548	269	167	\$44,882	\$18,934,386.22	97.3%
OK	6	Lucas	559,032	2.23	\$79,803	\$0.318	44##	\$69,504	\$0.277	92##	\$1,026,102	213	223	\$24,269	\$10,655,714.75	95.5%
MN	6	Luther	630,171	2.28	\$87,759	\$0.318	45	\$94,935	\$0.344	46	\$1,071,565	371	65	\$65,226	\$28,510,166.77	99.3%
OR	2	Walden	575,681	1.81	\$100,716	\$0.317	46	\$105,629	\$0.333	53	\$1,086,989	276	160	\$46,058	\$19,462,674.54	97.4%
NJ	11	Frelinghuysen	643,981	2.42	\$83,561	\$0.315	47	\$95,739	\$0.360	37	\$877,201	41	395	-\$112,212	-\$49,886,566.67	82.7%
VA	1	Davis	714,921	2.38	\$94,317	\$0.314	48	\$100,972	\$0.336	49	\$993,212	164	272	\$5,440	\$2,422,349.76	93.8%
FL	22	Shaw	492,809	1.20	\$128,010	\$0.311	49##	\$46,418	\$0.113	278##	\$1,053,733	133	303	-\$15,565	-\$6,402,691.16	91.9%
GA	4	McKinney	694,372	2.24	\$93,909	\$0.303	50	\$121,664	\$0.393	29	\$1,072,191	400	36	\$68,302	\$29,927,602.68	99.6%