United States Senate

	GRADE	SCORE
ALABAMA		
Sessions	В	73%
Shelby	C+	63% *
State Average		68%
ALASKA		
Murkowski	C-	50%
Sullivan	C	60%
State Average		55%
ARIZONA		
Flake	Α	89%
McCain	В	75%
State Average		82%
ARKANSAS		
Boozman	С	59%
Cotton	B-	69%
State Average		64%
CALIFORNIA		
Boxer	F	13% *
Feinstein	F	27%
State Average		20%
COLORADO		
Bennet	F	19%
Gardner	C	59%
State Average		39%
CONNECTICUT		
Blumenthal	F	18%
Murphy	F	18%
State Average		18%
DELAWARE		
Carper	D	36%
Coons	F	25%
State Average	•	31%
FLORIDA		
Nelson	F	24%
Rubio	Ċ	56% **
State Average		40%
GEORGIA		70 /0
Isakson	C+	66%
Perdue	B-	69%
State Average	D-	68%
		JU /0
HAWAII Lirono	Е	100/
Hirono	F	19%
Schatz State Average	F	14% 17%
State Average		17%
<u>IDAHO</u>		74.0/
Crapo	B-	71%
Risch	B-	72%
State Average		72%
ILLINOIS		40-1
Durbin	F	18%
Kirk	С	58%
State Average		36%
INDIANA		
Coats	В	74%
Donnelly	F	30%
State Average		52%

	UNITE	d Sta
	GRADE	SCORE
IOWA		
Ernst	C+	65%
Grassley	C+	63%
State Average		64%
KANSAS		
Moran	С	59%
Roberts	С	61%
State Average		60%
KENTUCKY		
McConnell	n/a	n/a
Paul	B+	80%
State Average		80%
LOUISIANA		
Cassidy	C-	52%
Vitter	С	57%*
State Average		55%
MAINE		250/
Collins	D	35%
King	F	20%
State Average		28%
MARYLAND	-	100/
Cardin	F	18%
Mikulski State Average	F	15% 17%
	FTC	1770
MASSACHUSE Markey	F F	17%
Warren	F	17%
State Average	r	17%
MICHIGAN		
Peters	F	20%
Stabenow	F	17%
State Average	•	19%
MINNESOTA		
Franken	F	21%
Klobuchar	F	19%
State Average		20%
MISSISSIPPI		
Cochran	С	61%
Wicker	С	56%
State Average		59%
MISSOURI		
Blunt	C-	55%
McCaskill	F	26%
State Average		41%
MONTANA		
Daines	C+	67%
Tester	F	29%
State Average		48%
NEBRASKA		
Fisher	C+	67%
Sasse	Α	84%
State Average		76%
NEVADA		
Heller	B-	69%
Reid	F	20% 45%
State Average		45%

	GRADE	SCORE
NEW HAMPSH	IRE	
Ayotte	D	45%
Shaheen	F	24%
State Average		35%
NEW JERSEY		240/
Booker	F	21%
Menendez State Average	F	17% 19 %
		13/0
NEW MEXICO Heinrich	F	11%
Udall	F	17%
State Average	'	14%
NEW YORK		
Gillibrand	F	19%
Schumer	F	21%
State Average		20%
NORTH CAROL	INA	
Burr	С	57%
Tillis	C+	63%
State Average		60%
NORTH DAKOT	ΓA	
Heitkamp	D	37%
Hoeven	C+	62%
State Average		50%
OHIO		
Brown	F	12%
Portman	D	40%
State Average		26%
OKLAHOMA	<u> </u>	C 40/
Inhofe Lankford	C+	64%
State Average	B+	80% 72 %
OREGON		72 /0
Merkley	F	22%
Wyden	F	29%
State Average	•	26%
PENNSYLVANI	Δ	
Casey	F	21%
Toomey	B-	72% *
State Average		47%
RHODE ISLANI)	
Reed	F	21%
Whitehouse	F	20%
State Average		21%
SOUTH CAROL	INA	
Graham	C-	51% *
Scott	C+	67%
State Average		59%
SOUTH DAKOT		1051
Rounds	D	49%
Thune	С	61%
State Average		55%
TENNESSEE		F4.0/
Alexander	C-	51%
Corker	В	75% 63 %
State Average		63%

	GRADE	SCORE
TEXAS		
Cornyn	C+	67%
Cruz	n/a	n/a
State Average		67 %
UTAH		
Hatch	C+	65%
Lee	Α	92%
State Average		79 %
VERMONT		
Leahy	F	22%
Sanders	n/a	n/a
State Average		22%
VIRGINIA		
Kaine	F	21%
Warner	F	24% *
State Average		23%
WASHINGTON		
Cantwell	F	23%
Murray	F	23%
State Average		23%
WEST VIRGINIA		
Capito	C-	50%
Manchin	D	45%
State Average		47 %
WISCONSIN		
Baldwin	F	16%
Johnson	B-	68%
State Average		42%
WYOMING		
Barrasso	C+	67%
Enzi	B-	68%
State Average		68%

SENAIE NEY			
SCORE	GRADE	COMMENTS	
84% or mor	e A	Taxpayers' Friend	
79%-83%	B+		
73%-78%	В	Good	
68%-72%	B-		
62%-67%	C+		
56%-61%	C	Satisfactory	
50%-55%	C-		
33%-49%	D	Poor	
32% or less	F	Big Spender	
* Score based on less than 90%, but more than 75%, of weighted total of votes cast.			

- Score based on less than 75%, but more than 50%, of weighted total of votes cast.
- Voted on 50% or less of weighted total of votes cast; score and grade not issued.
- Based on every roll call vote affecting fiscal policy; see back page for methodology.

	GRADE	SCORE
ALABAMA		760/
Aderholt Brooks, M.	B B+	76% 84%
Byrne	в+ В+	64% 79%
Palmer	A	86%
Roby	В	77%
Rogers, M.	В	78%
Sewell	F	22%
State Average		72%
ALASKA		
Young, Don	B-	73%
ARIZONA		
Franks	B+	79%
Gallego Gosar	F A	16% 87%
Grijalva	F	20%
Kirkpatrick	F	19% *
McSally	В	76%
Salmon	Α	85%
Schweikert	B+	84%
Sinema	D	35%
State Average		56%
ARKANSAS		752
Crawford	В	75%
Hill Wasterman	B B	77% 78%
Westerman Womack	В	76% 76%
State Average	U	76%
CALIFORNIA		
Aguilar	F	19%
Bass	F	14%
Becerra	F	18%
Bera	F	22%
Brownley	F	18%
Calvert	В	76%
Capps Cárdenas	F	17% 22% *
Chu	F	18%
Cook	В	74%
Costa	D	38%
Davis, S.	F	14%
Denham	В	74%
DeSaulnier	F	19%
Eshoo	F	17%
Farr	F	18% *
Garamendi Hahn	F F	18% 19%
нанн Honda	F	19%
Huffman	F	20%
Hunter	В	76%
Issa	В	75%
Knight	B+	79%
LaMalfa	В	77%
Lee	F	19%
Lieu	F F	21% * 21%
Lofgren Lowenthal	F	21% 19%
Matsui	F	18%
McCarthy	В	77%
McClintock	A	87%
McNerney	F	17%
Napolitano	F	15%
Nunes	В	74%
Pelosi	F	14% *
Peters Rohrabacher	F D.	27% 83%
Roybal-Allard	B+ F	83% 15%
Royce	r B+	81%
Ruiz	F	17%

	GRADE	SCORE
Sánchez, Linda	F	16%
Sanchez, Loretta Schiff	F F	13% ** 16%
Sherman	F	17%
Speier	F	19%
Swalwell	F	16%
Takano	F	16%
Thompson, M.	F	19%
Torres Valadao	F B-	16% 72%
Vargas	F F	17%
Walters	В	78%
Waters	F	16% *
State Average		34%
COLORADO		2.07.4
Buck Coffman	B+	84%*
DeGette	B F	78% 15%
Lamborn	В	78%
Perlmutter	F	16%
Polis	F	26%
Tipton	B+	79%
State Average		54%
CONNECTICUT		4.40/
Courtney	F	14% 16%
DeLauro Esty	F F	15%
Himes	Ė	18%
Larson	F	14%
State Average		16%
DELAWARE		
Carney	F	22%
FLORIDA		700/
Bilirakis Brown	B F	78% 11% *
Buchanan	r B-	73%
Castor	F	17%
Clawson	В	78%
Crenshaw	B-	73%
Curbelo	C+	65%
DeSantis	B+ F	80%
Deutch Diaz-Balart	r B-	15% 71%
Frankel	F	14%
Graham	F	23%
Grayson	F	18%
Hastings	F	20% **
Jolly	B-	69% *
Mica Miller, J.	B B+	78% 79%
Murphy, P.	F	19% *
Nugent	B-	69%
Posey	B+	81%
Rooney	В	74%
Ros-Lehtinen	C+	65%
Ross Wasserman Schultz	B F	77% 13%
Webster	В	78%
Wilson, F.	F	13% *
Yoho	B+	82%
State Average		53%
GEORGIA		
Allen	B+	79%
Bishop, S.	F B	26% 77%
Carter, B. Collins, D.		
Graves, T.	B+ B	81% 78%

	GRADE	SCORE
Lewis	F	19%
Loudermilk	B+	79%
Price, T.	B+	82%
Scott, A.	В	78%
Scott, D.	F	20%
Westmoreland	B-	73% **
Woodall	B+	80%
State Average		62%
HAWAII		4.60/
Gabbard	F	16%
Hanabusa State Average	n/a	n/a 16%
IDAHO		1070
Labrador	A	90%
Simpson	B-	70%
State Average		80%
ILLINOIS		
Bost	B-	70% *
Bustos	F	16%
Davis, D.	F	14%
Davis, R.	B-	73%
Dold	C	59%
Duckworth	F	16%
Foster	F	17%
Gutiérrez Hultgren	F B	16% * 77%
Kelly, R.	F	77% 17%
Keny, N. Kinzinger	B-	72%
LaHood	В	75%
Lipinski	F	25%
Quigley	F	16%
Roskam	В	74%
Rush	F	19% **
Schakowsky	F	13%
Shimkus	B-	73%
State Average		41%
INDIANA Dreaks C	D	770/
Brooks, S. Bucshon	B B	77% 75%
Carson	F	17%
Messer	B+	79%
Rokita	B+	82%
Stutzman	B+	84% *
Visclosky	F	15%
Walorski	В	77%
Young, T.	В	78%
State Average		65%
IOWA		700/
Blum	В	78%
King, S. Loebsack	B F	74% 15%
Young, David	В	74%
State Average		60%
KANSAS		
Huelskamp	B+	84%
Jenkins, L.	B+	81%
Pompeo	B+	80%
Yoder	В	78%
State Average		81%
KENTUCKY		
Barr	В	76%
Guthrie	B+	79%
Massie	A	88%
Rogers, H.	B	75% 70% **
Whitfield Yarmouth	B+ F	79% ** 18%
State Average	Г	69%
LOUISIANA		
Abraham	В	76%
. wrandiii	5	, 5 /0

United States Housi

ONITED	UIAI	E9 HUC
	CDADE	SCORE
Boustany	GRADE B	77%
Fleming	B+	81%
Graves, G.	B+	79%
Richmond	F	16%
Scalise	В	76%
State Average		68%
MAINE		
Pingree	F	13%
Poliquin	B-	69%
State Average		41%
MARYLAND	F	150/
Cummings	F	15% 22% *
Delaney Edwards	F	15%
Harris	B+	81%
Hoyer	F	17%
Ruppersberger	F	18%
Sarbanes	F	17%
Van Hollen	F	14%
State Average		25%
MASSACHUSET	TS	
Capuano	F	15%
Clark	F	18%
Keating	F	20%
Kennedy Lynch	F	21% 16%
McGovern	F	20%
Moulton	F	15%
Neal	F	17%
Tsongas	F	17%
State Average		18%
MICHIGAN		
Amash	Α	93%
Benishek	В	75%
Bishop, M.	В	77%
Conyers Dingell	F F	18% 14%
Huizenga	r B	78%
Kildee	F	17%
Lawrence	Ē	12%
Levin	F	14%
Miller, C.	В	74% *
Moolenaar	В	76%
Trott	В	76%
Upton	B-	73%
Walberg	В	77%
State Average		55%
MINNESOTA Ellison	F	18%
Emmer	r B	77%
Kline	В	75%
McCollum	F	17%
Nolan	F	17%
Paulsen	В	76%
Peterson	C	57%
Walz	F	19%
State Average		44%
MISSISSIPPI	P	750/
Harper	В	75%
Kelly, T. Palazzo	B B	76% 74%
Thompson, B.	F	17%
State Average		61%
MISSOURI		
Clay	F	15%
Cleaver	F	18%
		·

17%

Johnson, H.

OF REPRESENTATIVES †

1	62425	
Graves, S.	GRADE B	SCORE 74%
Hartzler	В	74 %
Long	В	77%
Luetkemeyer	В	77%
Smith, J.	В	78%
Wagner	B+	79%
State Average		62%
MONTANA		
Zinke	В	76%
NEBRASKA		
Ashford	D	36%
Fortenberry	В	75%
Smith, Adrian	В	77%
State Average		63%
NEVADA		
Amodei	В	74%
Hardy	B-	73%
Heck, J.	В	75%
Titus	F	19%
State Average		60%
NEW HAMPSH	IRE	
Guinta	В	77%
Kuster	F	20%
State Average		48%
NEW JERSEY		
Frelinghuysen	В	74%
Garrett	Α	85%
Lance	В	75%
LoBiondo	C+	65%
MacArthur	C+	67%
Norcross	F	14%
Pallone	F	18%
Pascrell	F	16%
Payne	F	19% *
Sires	F	19% *
Smith, C.	C+	65% 18%
Watson Coleman State Average	F	18% 45%
l		45%
NEW MEXICO		4.50/
Luján	F	15%
Lujan Grisham	F	14%
Pearce	В	76% 35%
State Average		50%
NEW YORK		4.00/
Clarke	F	18%
Collins, C.	B F	74% 15%
Crowley	F B-	15% 71%
Donovan Engel	B- F	71% 16%
Gibson	C	61%
Hanna	C+	64% *
Higgins	F	17%
Israel	F	18%
Jeffries	F	18%
Katko	C+	66%
King, P.	B-	72%
Lowey	F	14%
Maloney, C.	F	15%
Maloney, S.	F	16%
Meeks	F	17%
Meng	F	15%
Nadler	F	21% *
Rangel	F	16%
Reed	B-	70%
Rice, K.	F	17%
Serrano	F	15%
NT 1 FF		_

	GRADE	SCORE
Slaughter Stefanik	F	16%
Tonko	B- F	68% 18%
Valázguez	F	17%
Zeldin	В	75%
State Average		34%
NORTH CAROL		
Adams	F	13%
Butterfield	F	16% 76% *
Ellmers Foxx	B B+	76% 79%
Holding	B+	80%
Hudson	В	78%
Jones	В	77%
McHenry	В	77%
Meadows	B+	82%
Price D	B F	77% 15%
Price, D. Rouzer	r B+	80%
Walker	B+	80%
State Average		64%
NORTH DAKOT	Α	
Cramer	В	76%
OHIO	-	1.00/
Beatty Chabot	F B+	16% 80%
Davidson	B+	80% **
Fudge	F	16%
Gibbs	B+	79%
Johnson, B.	В	74%
Jordan	B+	84%
Joyce Kantur	B- F	72% 17%
Kaptur Latta	r B+	79%
Renacci	B-	73%
Ryan, T.	F	12%
Stivers	B-	73%
Tiberi	В	76%
Turner	B-	71% *
Wenstrup State Average	B+	79% 61%
OKLAHOMA		
Bridenstine	B+	81%
Cole	B-	73%
Lucas	В	77%
Mullin	В	78%
Russell State Average	В	78% 78%
OREGON		7070
Blumenauer	F	24%
Bonamici	F	21%
DeFazio	F	24%
Schrader	F	32%
Walden	В	76% 36%
State Average PENNSYLVANIA	^	3070
Barletta	<u>н</u> В-	73%
Boyle	F	17%
Brady, R.	F	13%
Cartwright	F	15%
Costello	C+	66%
Dent Doyle	B- F	72% 16%
Fitzpatrick	г В-	68%
Kelly, M.	В	74%
Marino	В	75% *
Meehan	B-	68%
Murphy, T.	В	74%

	CDADE	CCORE
Pitts	GRADE B+	SCORE 80%
Rothfus	В	78%
Shuster	В	74%
Thompson, G.	B-	73%
State Average		60%
RHODE ISLAND		
Cicilline	F	20%
Langevin	F	14%
State Average		17%
SOUTH CAROL	INA F	14%
Clyburn Duncan, Jeff	r B+	14% 80%
Gowdy	B+	79%
Mulvaney	A.	86%
Rice, T.	B+	84%
Sanford	B+	84%
Wilson, J.	В	78%
State Average		72%
SOUTH DAKOT		====
Noem	В	76%
TENNESSEE		700/
Black	В	78%
Blackburn	B+ F	79% 22%
Cohen Cooper	F	22%
DesJarlais	B+	82%
Duncan, John	A	85%
Fincher	Α	86% **
Fleischmann	В	74%
Roe	B+	81%
State Average		69%
TEXAS		
Babin	B+	80%
Barton Brady, K.	B B+	77% 79%
Burgess	A	85%
Carter, J.	В	74%
Castro	F	18% *
Conaway	В	77%
Cuellar	D	44%
Culberson	B+	79%
Doggett	F	19%
Farenthold Flores	B+ B	80% 78%
Gohmert	B+	83%
Granger	В	78%
Green, A.	F	18%
Green, G.	F	21%
Hensarling	B+	80%
Hinojosa	F	16% *
Hurd	В	77%
Jackson Lee Johnson, E.	F F	17% * 13% *
Johnson, S.	B+	83% *
Marchant	В	77%
McCaul	В	74%
Neugebauer	B+	81%
O'Rourke	F	20%
Olson	B+	79%
Poe	В	78% **
Ratcliffe	B+	81%
Sessions Smith, L.	B+ B	79% 76%
Thornberry	В	76% 75%
Veasey	F	19%
Vela	F	22%
Weber	B+	81%
Williams	В	78%
State Average		61%

	GRADE	SCORE
UTAH		===/
Bishop, R.	В	77%
Chaffetz	B+	80%
Love	B+	80%
Stewart	B+	80%
State Average		79%
VERMONT		
Welch	F	20%
VIRGINIA		
Beyer	F	16%
Brat	Α	85%
Comstock	B-	73%
Connolly	F	17%
Forbes	B+	83% *
Goodlatte	В	76%
Griffith	B+	80%
Hurt	В	78%
Rigell	B-	72%
Scott, R.	F	16%
Wittman	B+	80%
State Average	-	61%
WASHINGTON		
DelBene	F	16%
Heck, D.	F	13%
Herrera Beutler	A	85% **
Kilmer	F	14%
Larsen	Ė	15%
McDermott	F	18%
McMorris Rodgers	В	76%
Newhouse	В	76%
Reichert	C+	66%
Smith, A.	F	17% *
State Average		40%
WEST VIRGINIA	۸	
Jenkins, E.	<u>ч</u> В-	72%
McKinley	B	72 % 75%
Mooney	В	73% 77%
•	D	77% 75%
State Average		7570
WISCONSIN	D.	740/ *
Duffy	В	74% *
Grothman	B+	82%
Kind	F	27%
Moore	F	20% *
Pocan	F	19%
Ribble	B+	84%
Ryan, P.	n/a	n/a
Sensenbrenner	Α	87%
State Average		56%
WYOMING		
Lummis	B+	81%

HOUSE KEY				
SCORE	GRADE	COMMENTS		
85% or more	Α	Taxpayers' Friend		
79%-84%	B+			
74%-78%	В	Good		
68%-73%	B-			
62%-67%	C+			
56%-61%	C	Satisfactory		
50%-55%	C-			

31% or less F Big Spender

* Score based on less than 90%, but more than 75% , of weighted total of votes cast.

Poor

D

32%-49%

75% , of weighted total of votes cast.

** Score based on less than 75%, but more than 50%, of weighted total of votes cast.

N/A Voted on 50% or less of weighted total of votes caste; score and grade not issued.

Based on every roll call vote affecting fiscal policy; see back page for methodology.

Perry

82%



Methodology

very year National Taxpayers
Union (NTU) rates U.S.
Representatives and Senators on
their actual votes — every vote
that significantly affects taxes, spending,
debt, and regulatory burdens on consumers
and taxpayers. Unlike most organizations
that publish ratings, we refuse to play
the "rating game" of focusing on only a
handful of Congressional votes on selected
issues. The NTU voting study is the fairest and most accurate guide available on
Congressional fiscal policies. It is a completely unbiased accounting of votes.*

NTU has no partisan ax to grind. All Members of Congress are treated the same regardless of political affiliation. Our only constituency is the overburdened American taxpayer. Grades are given impartially, based on the Taxpayer Score.

TAXPAYER SCORE

The Taxpayer Score measures the strength of support for reducing spending and regulation and opposing higher taxes. In general, a higher score is better because it means a Member of Congress voted to lessen or limit the burden on taxpayers. The Taxpayer Score can range between 0 and 100. We do not expect anyone to score a 100, nor has any legislator ever achieved a 100 in the multi-year history of the comprehensive NTU scoring system. A high score does not mean that the Member of Congress was opposed to all spending or all programs. High-scoring Members have indicated that they would vote for many programs if the amount of spending were lower. A Member who wants to increase spending on some programs can achieve a high score if he or she votes for offsetting cuts in other programs. A zero score would indicate that the Member of Congress approved every spending proposal and opposed every pro-taxpayer reform.

NTU believes a score qualifying for a grade of "A" indicates the Member is one of the strongest supporters of responsible tax and spending policies. We are pleased to give these Members of Congress our "Taxpayers' Friend Award" (subject to minimum attendance criteria).

A score qualifying for a grade of "B" represents a "good" voting record on controlling spending and taxes. A "B" grade indicates that the Member voted for taxpayers most of the time, but slightly less than those who attained the grade of "A."

A score qualifying for a grade of "C" represents a minimally acceptable voting record on controlling taxes and spending. To qualify for a grade of "C" a Member must have a Taxpayer Score of at least 50 percent. While such a score may be "satisfactory," there is clearly room for improvement.

We are also issuing pluses and minuses for the grades of "B" and "C" in order to better recognize the differences in the voting records of Members with these grades.

A score qualifying for a grade of "D" indicates the Member has a "poor" voting record on controlling taxes and spending.

A score significantly below average qualifies for a grade of "F." This failing grade places the Member into the "Big Spender" category.

Vote Selection

We analyzed every roll call vote taken in the Second Session of the 114th Congress and selected all votes that could significantly affect the amounts of federal taxes, spending, debt, or regulatory impact. A total of 56 Senate and 236 House votes were selected. We included votes cast on appropriations bills, authorization bills, budget target resolutions, tax bills, amendments, and certain procedural votes that could affect the burden on taxpayers. Votes that simply shifted equal amounts of spending from one area to another were excluded. Also excluded were votes where there was a significant difference of opinion on how to vote to reduce or control government and unanimous votes.

We believe the number of votes used in the analysis, the objective and nonpartisan weighting of the votes, computerized calculations, and many error checks all combine to ensure the highest possible standards of accuracy.

OTHER FACTORS

Although we believe this voting analysis is the most accurate guide available on Congressional fiscal performance, no study of roll call votes can fully evaluate a Member's overall record. A Member's committee work, leadership, and effectiveness with other Members also affect his or her influence on the amount of federal spending, taxes, debt, and regulatory impact. Because of the complexity of the calculations and the number of votes involved, we do not have space to reprint the votes of each Representative and Senator here. A list of votes used in the study, including the weight assigned to each, is available on our website at ntu.org.

* Computation

NTU's federal budget experts assigned a weight to each vote ranging from 0 to 100. A low weight was assigned to votes that had relatively little effect, while a high weight was assigned to votes with the most significant effect on federal spending, taxes, debt, and regulation.

Weights were based solely on the relative effect of each vote on the total amount of federal spending, taxes, debt, or regulatory impact. Consideration was given to the long-term effect of a vote, even though relatively little might be immediately at issue. A vote with average importance should have a weight close to 10.

Scores were computed by dividing the weighted total of votes cast against higher spending, taxes, or regulation or for lower spending, taxes, or regulation, by the weighted total number of fiscal issues on which the Member of Congress voted. Average state scores were also computed, using the weighted total of votes cast by each delegation.

In computing these scores, we included only those votes on which the Member actually voted for or against a bill, resolution, or amendment. Paired votes, announced positions, and absences were excluded. Because some Members were absent frequently, cast certain votes to permit the usage of procedural tools, or otherwise failed to vote yes or no, their scores and grades (based on relatively few votes) may not accurately reflect fiscal attitudes. The Members falling into this category are noted.

TAXPAYER SCORES

SENATE		HOUSE
44%	Average	52%
49%	Median	73%
92%	High	93%
11%	Low	11%
F	PARTY SCORE	S
Senate Democrats Avg.		22%
House Democrats Avg.		18%
Senate Republicans Avg.		64%
House Republic	ans Avg.	77%