



**NATIONAL TAXPAYERS UNION**

# **Rates Congress**

**119th Congress**

**First Session**

**2025**



## About NTU Rates Congress

Every year, National Taxpayers Union (NTU) rates U.S. representatives and senators on their votes. Unlike most organizations that publish ratings, we refuse to play the “rating game” of focusing on only a handful of House or Senate votes on a few selected issues. Our scorecard includes every single vote that affects taxes, spending, debt, and major regulations. NTU’s voting analysis is the fairest, most accurate, and most comprehensive guide available on congressional members’ positions on issues important to taxpayers.

NTU has no partisan ax to grind. All members of Congress are treated the same regardless of political affiliation. Our only constituency is the overburdened American taxpayer. Grades are given impartially, based on the Taxpayer Score.

## Taxpayer Score

The Taxpayer Score measures the strength of support for reducing wasteful government spending, opposing higher taxes, and often opposing burdensome regulations that can stifle U.S. economic growth. In general, a higher score is better because it means a member of Congress voted to spend less money.

The Taxpayer Score can range between 0 and 100. We do not expect anyone to score a 100, nor has any legislator ever scored a perfect 100—without missing a single vote—in the decades-long history of the comprehensive NTU scoring system. A high score does not mean that the member of Congress was opposed to all spending or all programs. High-scoring members have indicated that they would vote for many programs if the amount of spending were lower or if the spending were offset by cuts elsewhere in the budget. Indeed, a member who still wants to increase spending on some programs can achieve a high score if he or she votes for offsetting cuts. A 0 score would indicate that the member of Congress approved every spending increase and opposed every pro-taxpayer reform.

NTU believes a score qualifying for a grade of “A” indicates the member is one of the strongest supporters of responsible tax and spending policies. We are pleased to give these members of Congress our “Taxpayers’ Friend Award.” To receive a Taxpayers’ Friend Award, a member of Congress must have not only achieved a grade of an A, but also voted on a minimum percentage of weighted total votes in the House or in the Senate.

A score qualifying for a grade of “B” represents a “good” voting record on controlling spending and taxes. A “B” grade indicates that the member voted for taxpayers most of the time, but slightly less than those who attained the grade of “A.”

A score qualifying for a grade of “C” represents a minimally acceptable voting record on controlling taxes and spending. While such a score may be “satisfactory,” there is clearly room for improvement.

We also issue pluses and minuses for the grades of “B” and “C” to better recognize the differences in the voting records of members with these grades.

A score qualifying for a grade of “D” indicates the member has a “poor” voting record on controlling taxes and spending.

Taxpayer Scores		
Senate		House
47%	Average	48%
63%	Median	77%
83%	High	97%
14%	Low	3%
Party Scores		
Senate Democrats Average		20%
House Democrats Average		9%
Senate Republicans Average		72%
House Republicans Average		86%
Senate Independents Average		22%

A score significantly below average qualifies for a grade of “F.”

Our scores and grades are not meant to offer definitive value judgments on any particular member of Congress, nor are they calculated with any favoritism or malice in mind. Rather, NTU Rates Congress is designed to offer a quantitative value that demonstrates a member’s voting record on fiscal and regulatory matters, large and small.

## Computation

NTU’s federal budget experts assigned a weight to each roll call vote in the House and Senate. A lower weight was assigned to votes that had relatively smaller effects on the size of the federal budget and federal deficits, the scale and scope of federal tax revenues, or the size and scope of the regulatory state. A higher weight, on the other hand, was assigned to votes with the most significant effect on federal spending, deficits, taxes, or the size and scope of the regulatory state.

Consideration was given to the longer-term effects of a vote on future fiscal policy, even if relatively few tax or deficit-financed dollars might be immediately at stake.

Scores were computed by dividing the weighted total of votes cast against higher spending, higher taxes, or more regulation (or for lower spending, lower taxes, or less regulation) by the weighted total number of spending, tax, and regulatory issues on which the member of Congress voted. Average scores for each state were also computed using the weighted total of votes cast by each state delegation.

In computing these scores, we included only those votes on which the member actually voted for or against a bill, resolution, or amendment. Announced positions and absences were excluded.

Additionally, because some members either frequently miss votes, cast certain votes to permit the usage of procedural tools, or otherwise abstain from voting yes or no, their scores may not accurately reflect their stance on fiscal policies. The members falling into this category are noted. This can include the Speaker of the House and the Senate Majority Leader, who sometimes refrain from voting.

We analyzed every roll call vote taken in the First Session of the 119th Congress and selected all votes with a significant impact on federal taxes, spending, debt, or regulatory impact. A total of 123 Senate and 97 House votes met this standard.

We included votes cast on appropriations bills, authorization bills, budget resolutions, tax bills, amendments, regulatory proposals, and certain procedural and policy votes that could affect the burden on taxpayers. Votes that simply shifted equal amounts of spending from one area to another were excluded. Also excluded were unanimous votes and certain votes where there was a significant difference of opinion on how to vote to reduce or control the size of government.



# 2025 Taxpayers' Friend Award Winners

## Senate

Name	State	Score	Grade
Rand Paul	KY	83%	A
Mitch McConnell	KY	82%	A
John Kennedy	LA	79%	A
Eric Schmitt	MO	79%	A
Mike Lee	UT	78%	A
Rick Scott	FL	78%	A

## House

Name	State	Score	Grade
Ben Cline	VA	91%	A
Mary Miller	IL	91%	A
Sheri Biggs	SC	91%	A
Ronny Jackson	TX	91%	A
Cory Mills	FL	91%	A
Brandon Gill	TX	91%	A
Wesley Hunt	TX	91%	A
Clay Higgins	LA	91%	A
Andy Ogles	TN	91%	A
Greg Steube	FL	91%	A
Aaron Bean	FL	91%	A
Andrew Clyde	GA	91%	A
Kat Cammack	FL	90%	A
Harriet Hageman	WY	90%	A
Kevin Hern	OK	90%	A
Diana Harshbarger	TN	90%	A
Bob Onder	MO	90%	A
Julie Fedorchak	ND	90%	A
William Timmons	SC	90%	A
James Comer	KY	90%	A
Rich McCormick	GA	90%	A
Doug LaMalfa	CA	90%	A
Jim Jordan	OH	90%	A
Pat Fallon	TX	90%	A
Lance Gooden	TX	90%	A
John Joyce	PA	90%	A
Marlin Stutzman	IN	90%	A
Craig Goldman	TX	90%	A
Beth Van Duyne	TX	90%	A
Abe Hamadeh	AZ	90%	A
Mike Collins	GA	90%	A

## House

Name	State	Score	Grade
Chip Roy	TX	97%	A
Eric Burlison	MO	96%	A
Josh Brecheen	OK	96%	A
Marjorie Greene	GA	96%	A
Eli Crane	AZ	95%	A
Lauren Boebert	CO	95%	A
Paul Gosar	AZ	95%	A
Andy Biggs	AZ	94%	A
Ralph Norman	SC	94%	A
Keith Self	TX	94%	A
Tim Burchett	TN	94%	A
Scott Perry	PA	94%	A
Mike Johnson	LA	92%	A
Tom McClintock	CA	92%	A
Anna Paulina Luna	FL	92%	A
Glenn Grothman	WI	91%	A
Victoria Spartz	IN	91%	A
Andy Barr	KY	91%	A

# United States Senate

## Senate Key

Score	Grade	Comments
More than 78	A	Taxpayers' Friend
76 - 77	B+	Good
73 - 75	B	
70 - 72	B-	
67 - 69	C+	Satisfactory
63 - 66	C	
50 - 62	C-	
30 - 49	D	Poor
Less than 30	F	Big Spender
* Score based on less than 90% but at least 75% of total weighted votes cast.		
** Score based on less than 75% but more than 50% of total weighted votes cast.		
N/A Voted on 50% or less of total weighted votes cast; score and grade not issued.		
Based on every roll call vote affecting fiscal policy; see front pages for methodology.		

### Alabama

Britt	73%	B
Tuberville	74%	B
<i>State Average</i>	74%	

### California

Padilla	25%	F
Schiff	26%	F
<i>State Average</i>	26%	

### Florida

Moody	77%	B+
Scott, R.	78%	A
<i>State Average</i>	78%	

### Alaska

Murkowski	65%	C
Sullivan	63%	C-
<i>State Average</i>	64%	

### Colorado

Bennet	18%	F
Hickenlooper	21%	F
<i>State Average</i>	20%	

### Georgia

Ossoff	21%	F
Warnock	21%	F
<i>State Average</i>	21%	

### Arizona

Gallego*	19%	F
Kelly	17%	F
<i>State Average</i>	18%	

### Connecticut

Blumenthal	16%	F
Murphy	22%	F
<i>State Average</i>	19%	

### Hawaii

Hirono	16%	F
Schatz	24%	F
<i>State Average</i>	20%	

### Arkansas

Boozman	66%	C
Cotton	68%	C+
<i>State Average</i>	67%	

### Delaware

Blunt Rochester	16%	F
Coons	17%	F
<i>State Average</i>	17%	

### Idaho

Crapo	72%	B-
Risch	73%	B
<i>State Average</i>	73%	



# United States Senate

## Illinois

Duckworth	21%	F
Durbin	20%	F
<i>State Average</i>	21%	

## Indiana

Banks	76%	B+
Young	68%	C+
<i>State Average</i>	72%	

## Iowa

Ernst	76%	B+
Grassley	71%	B-
<i>State Average</i>	74%	

## Kansas

Marshall	72%	B-
Moran	67%	C+
<i>State Average</i>	70%	

## Kentucky

McConnell	82%	A
Paul	83%	A
<i>State Average</i>	83%	

## Louisiana

Cassidy*	71%	B-
Kennedy	79%	A
<i>State Average</i>	75%	

## Maine

Collins	53%	C-
King	20%	F
<i>State Average</i>	37%	

## Maryland

Alsobrooks	20%	F
Van Hollen	22%	F
<i>State Average</i>	21%	

## Massachusetts

Markey	22%	F
Warren	22%	F
<i>State Average</i>	22%	

## Michigan

Peters	16%	F
Slotkin	19%	F
<i>State Average</i>	18%	

## Minnesota

Klobuchar	17%	F
Smith	22%	F
<i>State Average</i>	20%	

## Mississippi

Hyde-Smith	70%	B-
Wicker	69%	C+
<i>State Average</i>	70%	

## Missouri

Hawley	61%	C-
Schmitt	79%	A
<i>State Average</i>	70%	

## Montana

Daines	72%	B-
Sheehy	72%	B-
<i>State Average</i>	72%	

## Nebraska

Fischer	68%	C+
Ricketts	69%	C+
<i>State Average</i>	69%	

## Nevada

Cortez Masto	22%	F
Rosen	21%	F
<i>State Average</i>	22%	

## New Hampshire

Hassan	17%	F
Shaheen	18%	F
<i>State Average</i>	18%	

## New Jersey

Booker	25%	F
Kim	24%	F
<i>State Average</i>	25%	

# United States Senate

## New Mexico

Heinrich	20%	F
Luján	20%	F
<i>State Average</i>	20%	

## Ohio

Husted	72%	B-
Moreno	75%	B
<i>State Average</i>	74%	

## Rhode Island

Reed	16%	F
Whitehouse	14%	F
<i>State Average</i>	15%	

## New York

Gillibrand	20%	F
Schumer	20%	F
<i>State Average</i>	20%	

## Oklahoma

Lankford	72%	B-
Mullin	65%	C
<i>State Average</i>	69%	

## South Carolina

Graham	67%	C+
Scott, T.	69%	C+
<i>State Average</i>	68%	

## North Carolina

Budd	75%	B
Tillis	66%	C
<i>State Average</i>	71%	

## Oregon

Merkley	21%	F
Wyden	21%	F
<i>State Average</i>	21%	

## South Dakota

Rounds	66%	C
Thune	N/A	N/A
<i>State Average</i>	66%	

## North Dakota

Cramer	67%	C+
Hoeven	70%	B-
<i>State Average</i>	69%	

## Pennsylvania

Fetterman	23%	F
McCormick	72%	B-
<i>State Average</i>	48%	

## Tennessee

Blackburn	71%	B-
Hagerty*	77%	B+
<i>State Average</i>	74%	

## Senate Key

Score	Grade	Comments
More than 78	A	Taxpayers' Friend
76 - 77	B+	Good
73 - 75	B	
70 - 72	B-	
67 - 69	C+	Satisfactory
63 - 66	C	
50 - 62	C-	
30 - 49	D	Poor
Less than 30	F	Big Spender
* Score based on less than 90% but at least 75% of total weighted votes cast.		
** Score based on less than 75% but more than 50% of total weighted votes cast.		
N/A Voted on 50% or less of total weighted votes cast; score and grade not issued.		
Based on every roll call vote affecting fiscal policy; see front pages for methodology.		



# United States Senate

## Texas

Cornyn	72%	B-
Cruz	77%	B+
<i>State Average</i>	75%	

## Washington

Cantwell	21%	F
Murray	22%	F
<i>State Average</i>	22%	

## Utah

Curtis	77%	B+
Lee	78%	A
<i>State Average</i>	78%	

## West Virginia

Capito	67%	C+
Justice	69%	C+
<i>State Average</i>	68%	

## Vermont

Sanders	24%	F
Welch	22%	F
<i>State Average</i>	23%	

## Wisconsin

Baldwin	19%	F
Johnson	77%	B+
<i>State Average</i>	48%	

## Virginia

Kaine	17%	F
Warner	20%	F
<i>State Average</i>	19%	

## Wyoming

Barrasso	76%	B+
Lummis	77%	B+
<i>State Average</i>	77%	

## Senate Key

Score	Grade	Comments
More than 78	A	Taxpayers' Friend
76 - 77	B+	Good
73 - 75	B	
70 - 72	B-	
67 - 69	C+	Satisfactory
63 - 66	C	
50 - 62	C-	
30 - 49	D	Poor
Less than 30	F	Big Spender
* Score based on less than 90% but at least 75% of total weighted votes cast.		
** Score based on less than 75% but more than 50% of total weighted votes cast.		
N/A Voted on 50% or less of total weighted votes cast; score and grade not issued.		
Based on every roll call vote affecting fiscal policy; see front pages for methodology.		

# United States House of Representatives

## House Key

Score	Grade	Comments
More than 90	A	Taxpayers' Friend
87 - 89	B+	Good
84 - 86	B	
80 - 83	B-	
77 - 79	C+	Satisfactory
74 - 76	C	
70 - 73	C-	
30 - 50	D	Poor
Less than 30	F	Big Spender
* Score based on less than 90%, but at least 75%, of weighted total votes cast.		
** Score based on less than 75%, but more than 50%, of weighted total votes.		
N/A Voted on 50% or less of weighted total votes cast; score and grade not issued.		
Based on every roll call vote affecting fiscal policy; see front pages for methodology.		

### Alabama

Aderholt	82%	B-
Figures	10%	F
Moore	89%	B+
Palmer	88%	B+
Rogers	83%	B-
Sewell	8%	F
Strong	82%	B-
State Average	63%	

Hamadeh	90%	A
Schweikert	89%	B+
Stanton	11%	F
State Average	71%	

Costa	27%	F
DeSaulnier	7%	F
Fong	83%	B-
Friedman	10%	F
Garamendi	7%	F
Garcia	10%	F
Gomez	9%	F
Gray	27%	F
Harder	11%	F
Huffman	7%	F
Issa	83%	B-
Jacobs	7%	F

### Alaska

Begich	88%	B+
State Average	88%	

### Arkansas

Crawford	85%	B
Hill	82%	B-
Westerman	83%	B-
Womack*	80%	B-
State Average	83%	

Kamlager-Dove	10%	F
Khanna	9%	F
Kiley	82%	B-
Kim	82%	B-
LaMalfa	90%	A
Levin	12%	F
Liccardo	12%	F
Lieu	9%	F
Lofgren	8%	F
Matsui	7%	F
McClintock	92%	A

### Arizona

Ansari	10%	F
Biggs	94%	A
Ciscomani	84%	B
Crane	95%	A
Gosar	95%	A
Grijalva, A.	N/A	N/A

### California

Aguilar	9%	F
Barragan	7%	F
Bera	7%	F
Brownley	7%	F
Calvert	82%	B-
Carbajal	10%	F
Chu	7%	F
Cisneros	6%	F
Correa	23%	F



# United States House of Representatives

Min	9%	F
Mullin*	8%	F
Obernolte	83%	B-
Panetta	10%	F
Pelosi	3%	F
Peters	10%	F
Rivas	7%	F
Ruiz	9%	F
Sanchez	6%	F
Sherman	8%	F
Simon	10%	F
Swalwell**	16%	F
Takano	7%	F
Thompson	6%	F
Torres	8%	F
Tran	12%	F
Valadao	82%	B-
Vargas	7%	F
Waters	6%	F
Whitesides	13%	F
<i>State Average</i>	23%	

## Colorado

Boebert	95%	A
Crank	88%	B+
Crow	7%	F
Degette	7%	F
Evans	81%	B-
Hurd	84%	B
Neguse	7%	F
Pettersen*	9%	F
<i>State Average</i>	47%	

## Connecticut

Courtney	9%	F
DeLauro	6%	F
Hayes	7%	F
Himes	9%	F
Larson	6%	F
<i>State Average</i>	7%	

## Delaware

McBride	12%	F
<i>State Average</i>	12%	

## Florida

Bean	91%	A
Bilirakis	86%	B
Buchanan	82%	B-
Cammack	90%	A
Castor	6%	F
Cherfilus-McCormick	6%	F
Diaz-Balart	82%	B-
Donalds*	89%	B+
Dunn	86%	B
Fine*	84%	B
Frankel	8%	F
Franklin	85%	B
Frost	6%	F
Gimenez*	83%	B-
Haridopolos	82%	B-
Lee	88%	B+
Luna	92%	A
Mast	84%	B
Mills	91%	A
Moskowitz	13%	F
Patronis*	84%	B
Rutherford*	84%	B
Salazar*	87%	B+
Soto	13%	F
Steube	91%	A
Wasserman Schultz	7%	F
Webster	86%	B
Wilson, F.	5%	F
<i>State Average</i>	64%	

## Georgia

Allen	87%	B+
Bishop, S.	17%	F
Carter, E.	87%	B+
Clyde	91%	A
Collins	90%	A
Greene	96%	A
Jack	84%	B
Johnson, H.	7%	F
Loudermilk	88%	B+
McBath	11%	F
McCormick	90%	A
Scott, A.	88%	B+
Scott, D.	7%	F
Williams, N.	7%	F
<i>State Average</i>	61%	

## Hawaii

Case	10%	F
Tokuda	6%	F
<i>State Average</i>	8%	

## Idaho

Fulcher	88%	B+
Simpson	82%	B-
<i>State Average</i>	85%	

## Illinois

Bost	85%	B
Budzinski	14%	F
Casten	6%	F
Davis	7%	F
Foster	9%	F
Garcia, J.	6%	F
Jackson, J.	9%	F

# United States House of Representatives

Kelly, R.	7%	F
Krishnamoorthi	10%	F
LaHood	87%	B+
Miller	91%	A
Quigley	9%	F
Ramirez	7%	F
Schakowsky	7%	F
Schneider	9%	F
Sorensen	12%	F
Underwood	6%	F
<i>State Average</i>	22%	

## Indiana

Baird	83%	B-
Carson	7%	F
Houchin	87%	B+
Messmer	86%	B
Mrvan	9%	F
Shreve	87%	B+
Spartz	91%	A
Stutzman	90%	A
Yakym	88%	B+

*State Average* 70%

## Iowa

Feenstra	82%	B-
Hinson	84%	B
Miller-Meeks	81%	B-
Nunn	83%	B-
<i>State Average</i>	83%	

## Kansas

Dauids	9%	F
Estes	85%	B
Mann	89%	B+
Schmidt	89%	B+
<i>State Average</i>	68%	

## Kentucky

Barr	91%	A
Comer	90%	A
Guthrie	82%	B-
Massie	71%	C-
McGarvey	7%	F

Rogers, H. 83% B-  
*State Average* 71%

## Louisiana

Carter, T.	6%	F
Fields	9%	F
Higgins, C.	91%	A
Johnson, M.*	92%	A
Letlow	84%	B
Scalise	86%	B
<i>State Average</i>	61%	

## Maine

Golden	27%	F
Pingree	6%	F
<i>State Average</i>	17%	

## Maryland

Elfreth	10%	F
Harris	85%	B
Hoyer	6%	F

House Key		
Score	Grade	Comments
More than 90	A	Taxpayers' Friend
87 - 89	B+	Good
84 - 86	B	
80 - 83	B-	
77 - 79	C+	Satisfactory
74 - 76	C	
70 - 73	C-	
30 - 50	D	Poor
Less than 30	F	Big Spender
* Score based on less than 90%, but at least 75%, of weighted total votes cast.		
** Score based on less than 75%, but more than 50%, of weighted total votes.		
N/A Voted on 50% or less of weighted total votes cast; score and grade not issued.		
Based on every roll call vote affecting fiscal policy; see front pages for methodology.		



# United States House of Representatives

Ivey	7%	F
McClain-Delaney	11%	F
Mfume	7%	F
Olszewski	10%	F
Raskin	7%	F
<i>State Average</i>	18%	

## Massachusetts

Auchincloss	14%	F
Clark	6%	F
Keating	7%	F
Lynch	9%	F
McGovern	7%	F
Moulton	8%	F
Neal	4%	F
Pressley	6%	F
Trahan	6%	F
<i>State Average</i>	7%	

## Michigan

Barrett	85%	B
Bergman	83%	B-
Dingell	6%	F
Huizenga	82%	B-
James	85%	B
McClain	83%	B-
McDonald Rivet	15%	F
Moolenaar	83%	B-
Scholten	13%	F
Stevens	11%	F
Thanedar	13%	F
Tlaib	6%	F
Walberg	84%	B
<i>State Average</i>	50%	

## Minnesota

Craig	12%	F
Emmer	85%	B

Finstad	85%	B
Fischbach	85%	B
McCollum	7%	F
Morrison	8%	F
Omar	6%	F
Stauber	83%	B-
<i>State Average</i>	46%	

## Mississippi

Ezell	84%	B
Guest	85%	B
Kelly, T.	86%	B
Thompson, B.	7%	F
<i>State Average</i>	66%	

## Missouri

Alford	82%	B-
Bell	6%	F
Burlison	96%	A
Cleaver	6%	F
Graves, S.	86%	B
Onder	90%	A
Smith, J.	88%	B+
Wagner	82%	B-
<i>State Average</i>	67%	

## Montana

Downing	88%	B+
Zinke	85%	B
<i>State Average</i>	87%	

## Nebraska

Bacon	82%	B-
Flood	83%	B-
Smith, A.	83%	B-
<i>State Average</i>	83%	

## Nevada

Amodei	79%	C+
Horsford	11%	F
Lee, S.	14%	F
Titus	4%	F
<i>State Average</i>	27%	

## New Hampshire

Goodlander	12%	F
Pappas	12%	F
<i>State Average</i>	12%	

## New Jersey

Conaway	8%	F
Gottheimer*	12%	F
Kean	80%	B-
McIver	7%	F
Menendez	10%	F
Norcross*	7%	F
Pallone	7%	F
Pou	11%	F
Sherrill**	11%	F
Smith	84%	B
Van Drew	84%	B
Watson Coleman	7%	F
<i>State Average</i>	27%	

## New Mexico

Leger Fernandez*	6%	F
Stansbury	8%	F
Vasquez	18%	F
<i>State Average</i>	11%	

# United States House of Representatives

## New York

Clarke	7%	F
Espaillet	10%	F
Garbarino	78%	C+
Gillen	16%	F
Goldman, D.	12%	F
Jeffries	6%	F
Kennedy	11%	F
Lalota	81%	B-
Langworthy	88%	B+
Latimer	10%	F
Lawler	80%	B-
Malliotakis	77%	C+
Mannion	12%	F
Meeks	9%	F
Meng	7%	F
Morelle	9%	F
Nadler*	6%	F
Ocasio-Cortez	5%	F
Riley	13%	F
Ryan	14%	F

Stefanik	84%	B
Suozi	14%	F
Tenney	85%	B
Tonko	7%	F
Torres	10%	F
Velazquez	7%	F
State Average	29%	

Ross	7%	F
Rouzer	88%	B+
State Average	65%	

## North Dakota

Fedorchak	90%	A
State Average	90%	

## North Carolina

Adams	6%	F
Davis	26%	F
Edwards	83%	B-
Foushee	7%	F
Foxx	87%	B+
Harrigan	89%	B+
Harris	88%	B+
Hudson	87%	B+
Knott	86%	B
McDowell	83%	B-
Moore	85%	B
Murphy	85%	B

## Ohio

Balderson	84%	B
Beatty	7%	F
Brown	6%	F
Carey	83%	B-
Davidson	86%	B
Jordan	90%	A
Joyce	81%	B-
Kaptur	12%	F
Landsman	16%	F
Latta	84%	B
Miller	85%	B
Rulli	82%	B-

## House Key

Score	Grade	Comments
More than 90	A	Taxpayers' Friend
87 - 89	B+	Good
84 - 86	B	
80 - 83	B-	
77 - 79	C+	Satisfactory
74 - 76	C	
70 - 73	C-	
30 - 50	D	Poor
Less than 30	F	Big Spender
* Score based on less than 90%, but at least 75%, of weighted total votes cast.		
** Score based on less than 75%, but more than 50%, of weighted total votes.		
N/A Voted on 50% or less of weighted total votes cast; score and grade not issued.		
Based on every roll call vote affecting fiscal policy; see front pages for methodology.		



# United States House of Representatives

Sykes	10%	F
Taylor	84%	B
Turner	74%	C
<i>State Average</i>	59%	

## Oklahoma

Bice	83%	B-
Brecheen	96%	A
Cole	83%	B-
Hern	90%	A
Lucas	82%	B-
<i>State Average</i>	87%	

## Oregon

Bentz	82%	B-
Bonamici	7%	F
Bynum	14%	F
Dexter	6%	F
Hoyle	7%	F
Salinas	7%	F
<i>State Average</i>	21%	

## Pennsylvania

Boyle	10%	F
Bresnahan	81%	B-
Dean	6%	F
Deluzio	9%	F
Evans	5%	F
Fitzpatrick	50%	D
Houlahan	11%	F
Joyce	90%	A
Kelly	83%	B-
Lee	6%	F
Mackenzie	78%	C+
Meuser	87%	B+
Perry	94%	A
Reschenthaler	85%	B
Scanlon	6%	F

Smucker	89%	B+
Thompson	82%	B-
<i>State Average</i>	51%	

## Rhode Island

Amo	10%	F
Magaziner	8%	F
<i>State Average</i>	9%	

## South Carolina

Biggs, S.	91%	A
Clyburn	6%	F
Fry	88%	B+
Mace	87%	B+
Norman	94%	A
Timmons	90%	A
Wilson, J.	85%	B
<i>State Average</i>	77%	

## South Dakota

Johnson, D.	86%	B
<i>State Average</i>	86%	

## Tennessee

Burchett	94%	A
Cohen	10%	F
Desjarlais	89%	B+
Fleischmann	83%	B-
Harshbarger	90%	A
Kustoff	84%	B
Ogles	91%	A
Rose	87%	B+
Van Epps	N/A	N/A
<i>State Average</i>	81%	

## Texas

Arrington	88%	B+
Babin	85%	B
Carter	86%	B
Casar*	7%	F
Castro	8%	F
Cloud	88%	B+
Crenshaw	85%	B
Crockett	7%	F
Cuellar	39%	D
De La Cruz	85%	B
Doggett	7%	F
Ellzey	82%	B-
Escobar	8%	F
Fallon	90%	A
Fletcher	13%	F
Garcia	7%	F
Gill	91%	A
Goldman, C.	90%	A
Gonzales	85%	B
Gonzalez	27%	F
Gooden	90%	A
Green	5%	F
Hunt*	91%	A
Jackson	91%	A
Johnson	19%	F
Luttrell	87%	B+
McCaul	85%	B
Moran	86%	B
Nehls	89%	B+
Pfluger	86%	B
Roy	97%	A
Self	94%	A
Sessions	89%	B+
Van Duyne	90%	A
Veasey	19%	F
Weber	89%	B+
Williams	86%	B
<i>State Average</i>	64%	

# United States House of Representatives

## Utah

Kennedy	89%	B+
Maloy	82%	B-
Moore	86%	B
Owens	84%	B
<i>State Average</i>	85%	

## Vermont

Balint	7%	F
<i>State Average</i>	7%	

## Virginia

Beyer	6%	F
Cline	91%	A
Griffith	85%	B
Kiggans	83%	B-
McClellan	6%	F
McGuire	89%	B+

Scott	6%	F
Subramanyam	12%	F
Vindman	15%	F
Walkinshaw	N/A	N/A
Wittman	84%	B
<i>State Average</i>	48%	

## Washington

Baumgartner	84%	B
Delbene	6%	F
Gluesenkamp Perez	27%	F
Jayapal	7%	F
Larsen	8%	F
Newhouse	83%	B-
Randall	7%	F
Schrier	9%	F
Smith	6%	F
Strickland	9%	F
<i>State Average</i>	25%	

## West Virginia

Miller, C.	89%	B+
Moore	85%	B
<i>State Average</i>	87%	

## Wisconsin

Fitzgerald	86%	B
Grothman	91%	A
Moore	7%	F
Pocan	5%	F
Steil	84%	B
Tiffany	88%	B+
Van Orden	87%	B+
Wied	87%	B+
<i>State Average</i>	67%	

## Wyoming

Hageman	90%	A
<i>State Average</i>	90%	

## House Key

Score	Grade	Comments
More than 90	A	Taxpayers' Friend
87 - 89	B+	Good
84 - 86	B	
80 - 83	B-	
77 - 79	C+	Satisfactory
74 - 76	C	
70 - 73	C-	
30 - 50	D	Poor
Less than 30	F	Big Spender
* Score based on less than 90%, but at least 75%, of weighted total votes cast.		
** Score based on less than 75%, but more than 50%, of weighted total votes.		
N/A Voted on 50% or less of weighted total votes cast; score and grade not issued.		
Based on every roll call vote affecting fiscal policy; see front pages for methodology.		





**We are proud to be the only free-market organization for taxpayers that unites effective advocacy with useful research about how to limit taxes, spending, and regulation at every level and branch of government—state, federal, administrative, and judicial.**

**NTU is a nonpartisan 501(c)(4) nonprofit, advocating on behalf of taxpayers, not political parties.**

Contact: Rachel Harden, [Rharden@NTU.org](mailto:Rharden@NTU.org), (703) 299-8671  
122 C St NW Ste. 700, Washington, DC 20001

[NTU.org](http://NTU.org)