



NATIONAL TAXPAYERS UNION

Rates Congress

118th Congress

Second Session

2024



About NTU Rates Congress

Every year, National Taxpayers Union (NTU) rates U.S. representatives and senators on their votes. Unlike most organizations that publish ratings, we refuse to play the “rating game” of focusing on only a handful of House or Senate votes on a few selected issues. Our scorecard includes every single vote that affects taxes, spending, debt, and major regulations. NTU’s voting analysis is the fairest, most accurate, and most comprehensive guide available on congressional members’ positions on issues important to taxpayers.

NTU has no partisan ax to grind. All members of Congress are treated the same regardless of political affiliation. Our only constituency is the overburdened American taxpayer. Grades are given impartially, based on the Taxpayer Score.

Taxpayer Score

The Taxpayer Score measures the strength of support for reducing wasteful government spending, opposing higher taxes, and often opposing burdensome regulations that can stifle U.S. economic growth. In general, a higher score is better because it means a member of Congress voted to spend less money.

The Taxpayer Score can range between 0 and 100. We do not expect anyone to score a 100, nor has any legislator ever scored a perfect 100—without missing a single vote—in the decades-long history of the comprehensive NTU scoring system. A high score does not mean that the member of Congress was opposed to all spending or all programs. High-scoring members have indicated that they would vote for many programs if the amount of spending were lower or if the spending were offset by cuts elsewhere in the budget. Indeed, a member who still wants to increase spending on some programs can achieve a high score if he or she votes for offsetting cuts. A 0 score would indicate that the member of Congress approved every spending increase and opposed every pro-taxpayer reform.

NTU believes a score qualifying for a grade of “A” indicates the member is one of the strongest supporters of responsible tax and spending policies. We are pleased to give these members of Congress our “Taxpayers’ Friend Award.” To receive a Taxpayers’ Friend Award, a member of Congress must have not only achieved a grade of an A, but also voted on a minimum percentage of weighted total votes in the House or in the Senate.

A score qualifying for a grade of “B” represents a “good” voting record on controlling spending and taxes. A “B” grade indicates that the member voted for taxpayers most of the time, but slightly less than those who attained the grade of “A.”

A score qualifying for a grade of “C” represents a minimally acceptable voting record on controlling taxes and spending. While such a score may be “satisfactory,” there is clearly room for improvement.

We also issue pluses and minuses for the grades of “B” and “C” in order to better recognize the differences in the voting records of members with these grades.

A score qualifying for a grade of “D” indicates the member has a “poor” voting record on controlling taxes and spending.

A score significantly below average qualifies for a grade of “F.”

Taxpayer Scores		
Senate		House
33%	Average	42%
25%	Median	43%
96%	High	88%
1%	Low	8%
Party Scores		
Senate Democrats Average		5%
House Democrats Average		19%
Senate Republicans Average		60%
House Republicans Average		64%
Senate Independents Average		18%

Our scores and grades are not meant to offer definitive value judgments on any particular member of Congress, nor are they calculated with any favoritism or malice in mind. Rather, NTU Rates Congress is designed to offer a quantitative value that demonstrates a member's voting record on fiscal and regulatory matters, large and small.

Computation

NTU's federal budget experts assigned a weight to each roll call vote in the House and Senate. A lower weight was assigned to votes that had relatively smaller effects on the size of the federal budget and federal deficits, the scale and scope of federal tax revenues, or the size and scope of the regulatory state. A higher weight, on the other hand, was assigned to votes with the most significant effect on federal spending, deficits, taxes, or the size and scope of the regulatory state.

Consideration was given to the longer-term effects of a vote on future fiscal policy, even if relatively few tax or deficit-financed dollars might be immediately at stake.

Scores were computed by dividing the weighted total of votes cast against higher spending, higher taxes, or more regulation (or for lower spending, lower taxes, or less regulation) by the weighted total number of spending, tax, and regulatory issues on which the member of Congress voted. Average scores for each state were also computed using the weighted total of votes cast by each state delegation.

In computing these scores, we included only those votes on which the member actually voted for or against a bill, resolution, or amendment. Announced positions and absences were excluded.

Additionally, because some members either frequently miss votes, cast certain votes to permit the usage of procedural tools, or otherwise abstain from voting yes or no, their scores may not accurately reflect their stance on fiscal policies. The members falling into this category are noted. This often includes the Speaker of the House and the Senate Majority Leader.

We analyzed every roll call vote taken in the Second Session of the 118th Congress and selected all votes that could significantly affect the amounts of federal taxes, spending, debt, or regulatory impact. A total of 39 Senate and 130 House votes were selected.

We included votes cast on appropriations bills, authorization bills, budget resolutions, tax bills, amendments, regulatory proposals, and certain procedural and policy votes that could affect the burden on taxpayers. Votes that simply shifted equal amounts of spending from one area to another were excluded. Also excluded were unanimous votes and certain votes where there was a significant difference of opinion on how to vote to reduce or control the size of government.



2024 Taxpayers' Friend Award Winners

Senate				
Name	State	Score	Grade	
Mike Lee	UT	96%	A	
Rand Paul	KY	96%	A	
Ron Johnson	WI	93%	A	
Cynthia Lummis	WY	92%	A	
Tommy Tuberville	AL	89%	A	
Ted Budd	NC	89%	A	
Ted Cruz	TX	87%	A	
John Barrasso	WY	87%	A	
Marco Rubio	FL	87%	A	

House				
Name	State	Score	Grade	
Chip Roy	TX	88%	A	
Tim Burchett	TN	87%	A	
Alex Mooney	WV	86%	A	
Bob Good	VA	86%	A	
Greg Steube	FL	86%	A	
Keith Self	TX	86%	A	
Marjorie Taylor Greene	GA	86%	A	
Matt Rosendale	MT	86%	A	
Andy Biggs	AZ	85%	A	
Eli Crane	AZ	85%	A	
Ralph Norman	SC	85%	A	



President Pete Sepp presented Sen. Rand Paul (R-KY) with the Taxpayers' Friend Award because of his "A" rating in the NTU Rates Congress annual scorecard.



Free Trade Initiative Director Bryan Riley presented Rep. Keith Self (R-TX) with the Taxpayers' Friend Award because of his "A" rating in the NTU Rates Congress annual scorecard.

United States Senate

Senate Key

Score	Grade	Comments
87 or more	A	Taxpayers' Friend
83 - 86	B+	Good
77 - 82	B	
73 - 76	B-	
65 - 72	C+	Satisfactory
53 - 64	C	
40 - 52	C-	
20 - 39	D	Poor
less than 20	F	Big Spender
* Score based on less than 90% but at least 75% of total weighted votes cast.		
** Score based on less than 75% but more than 50% of total weighted votes cast.		
N/A Voted on 50% or less of total weighted votes cast; score and grade not issued.		
Based on every roll call vote affecting fiscal policy; see front pages for methodology.		

Alabama

Britt*	65%	C+
Tuberville	89%	A
State Average	77%	

California

Padilla	7%	F
Schiff	N/A	N/A
State Average	7%	

Florida

Rubio**	87%	A
Scott, R.*	79%	B
State Average	83%	

Alaska

Murkowski	17%	F
Sullivan	33%	D
State Average	25%	

Colorado

Bennet	6%	F
Hickenlooper	7%	F
State Average	7%	

Georgia

Ossoff	3%	F
Warnock	3%	F
State Average	3%	

Arizona

Kelly	4%	F
Sinema	18%	F
State Average	11%	

Connecticut

Blumenthal	3%	F
Murphy	4%	F
State Average	4%	

Hawaii

Hirono	3%	F
Schatz	3%	F
State Average	3%	

Arkansas

Boozman	34%	D
Cotton	45%	C-
State Average	40%	

Delaware

Carper	8%	F
Coons	3%	F
State Average	6%	

Idaho

Crapo	71%	C+
Risch*	67%	C+
State Average	69%	



United States Senate

Illinois

Duckworth	3%	F
Durbin	3%	F
<i>State Average</i>	3%	

Indiana

Braun	55%	C
Young, T.	44%	C-
<i>State Average</i>	50%	

Iowa

Ernst	60%	C
Grassley	64%	C
<i>State Average</i>	62%	

Kansas

Marshall	78%	B
Moran*	32%	D
<i>State Average</i>	55%	

Kentucky

McConnell	40%	C-
Paul*	96%	A
<i>State Average</i>	68%	

Louisiana

Cassidy*	41%	C-
Kennedy	53%	C
<i>State Average</i>	47%	

Maine

Collins	23%	D
King	10%	F
<i>State Average</i>	17%	

Maryland

Cardin	3%	F
Van Hollen	3%	F
<i>State Average</i>	3%	

Massachusetts

Markey	8%	F
Warren	3%	F
<i>State Average</i>	6%	

Michigan

Peters	4%	F
Stabenow	3%	F
<i>State Average</i>	4%	

Minnesota

Klobuchar	3%	F
Smith	3%	F
<i>State Average</i>	3%	

Mississippi

Hyde-Smith	46%	C-
Wicker	41%	C-
<i>State Average</i>	44%	

Missouri

Hawley	62%	C
Schmitt	68%	C+
<i>State Average</i>	65%	

Montana

Daines*	79%	B
Tester	15%	F
<i>State Average</i>	47%	

Nebraska

Fischer	47%	C-
Ricketts	49%	C-
<i>State Average</i>	48%	

Nevada

Cortez Masto	3%	F
Rosen	4%	F
<i>State Average</i>	4%	

New Hampshire

Hassan	3%	F
Shaheen	3%	F
<i>State Average</i>	3%	

New Jersey

Booker	8%	F
Kim	N/A	N/A
<i>State Average</i>	8%	

United States Senate

New Mexico

Heinrich	3%	F
Ray Luján	4%	F
State Average	4%	

Ohio

Brown	8%	F
Vance**	84%	B+
State Average	46%	

Rhode Island

Reed	3%	F
Whitehouse	3%	F
State Average	3%	

New York

Gillibrand	4%	F
Schumer	N/A	N/A
State Average	8%	

Oklahoma

Lankford	58%	C
Mullin	43%	C-
State Average	51%	

South Carolina

Graham	47%	C-
Scott, T.**	67%	C+
State Average	57%	

North Carolina

Budd	89%	A
Tillis	49%	C-
State Average	69%	

Oregon

Merkley	14%	F
Wyden	3%	F
State Average	9%	

South Dakota

Rounds	40%	C-
Thune	59%	C
State Average	50%	

North Dakota

Cramer	55%	C
Hoeven	56%	C
State Average	56%	

Pennsylvania

Casey	5%	F
Fetterman	1%	F
State Average	3%	

Tennessee

Blackburn	75%	B-
Hagerty*	63%	C
State Average	69%	

Senate Key

Score	Grade	Comments
87 or more	A	Taxpayers' Friend
83 - 86	B+	Good
77 - 82	B	
73 - 76	B-	
65 - 72	C+	Satisfactory
53 - 64	C	
40 - 52	C-	
20 - 39	D	Poor
less than 20	F	Big Spender
* Score based on less than 90% but at least 75% of total weighted votes cast.		
** Score based on less than 75% but more than 50% of total weighted votes cast.		
N/A Voted on 50% or less of total weighted votes cast; score and grade not issued.		
Based on every roll call vote affecting fiscal policy; see front pages for methodology.		



United States Senate

Texas

Cornyn	46%	C-
Cruz	87%	A
<i>State Average</i>	67%	

Washington

Cantwell	3%	F
Murray	3%	F
<i>State Average</i>	3%	

Utah

Lee	96%	A
Romney*	62%	C
<i>State Average</i>	75%	

West Virginia

Capito	29%	D
Manchin	N/A	N/A
<i>State Average</i>	29%	

Vermont

Sanders	27%	D
Welch	11%	F
<i>State Average</i>	19%	

Wisconsin

Baldwin	3%	F
Johnson	93%	A
<i>State Average</i>	48%	

Virginia

Kaine	3%	F
Warner	1%	F
<i>State Average</i>	2%	

Wyoming

Barrasso	87%	A
Lummis	92%	A
<i>State Average</i>	90%	

Senate Key

Score	Grade	Comments
87 or more	A	Taxpayers' Friend
83 - 86	B+	Good
77 - 82	B	
73 - 76	B-	
65 - 72	C+	Satisfactory
53 - 64	C	
40 - 52	C-	
20 - 39	D	Poor
less than 20	F	Big Spender
* Score based on less than 90% but at least 75% of total weighted votes cast.		
** Score based on less than 75% but more than 50% of total weighted votes cast.		
N/A Voted on 50% or less of total weighted votes cast; score and grade not issued.		
Based on every roll call vote affecting fiscal policy; see front pages for methodology.		

United States House of Representatives

House Key

Score	Grade	Comments
85 or more	A	Taxpayers' Friend
81 - 84	B+	Good
76 - 80	B	
73 - 75	B-	
65 - 72	C+	Satisfactory
53 - 64	C	
40 - 52	C-	
20 - 39	D	Poor
less than 20	F	Big Spender
* Score based on less than 90%, but at least 75%, of weighted total votes cast.		
** Score based on less than 75%, but more than 50%, of weighted total votes.		
N/A Voted on 50% or less of weighted total votes cast; score and grade not issued.		
Based on every roll call vote affecting fiscal policy; see front pages for methodology.		

Alabama

Aderholt	48%	C-
Carl	56%	C
Moore, F.	67%	C+
Palmer	65%	C+
Rogers, M.	48%	C-
Sewell	17%	F
Strong	63%	C
State Average	52%	

Lesko	67%	C+
Schweikert	69%	C+
Stanton	18%	F
State Average	59%	

Costa	26%	D
DeSaulnier	22%	D
Duarte	55%	C
Eshoo	20%	D
Fong	N/A	N/A

Arkansas

Crawford	66%	C+
Hill	58%	C
Westerman	66%	C+
Womack	57%	C
State Average	62%	

Garamendi*	22%	D
Garcia, M.	54%	C
Garcia, R.	20%	D
Gomez*	22%	D
Harder	22%	D
Huffman	17%	F
Issa	57%	C

Alaska

Peltola	25%	D
State Average	25%	

California

Aguilar	18%	F
Barragan	19%	F
Bera	25%	D
Brownley	17%	F
Calvert	52%	C-
Carbajal	18%	F
Cardenas*	18%	F
Chu	21%	D
Correa	21%	D

Jacobs	17%	F
Kamlager-Dove	14%	F
Khanna	24%	D
Kiley	50%	C-
Kim	51%	C-
LaMalfa*	70%	C+
Lee	23%	D
Levin	17%	F
Lieu	16%	F
Lofgren	17%	F
Matsui	18%	F

Arizona

Biggs	85%	A
Ciscomani	52%	C-
Crane	85%	A
Gallego*	21%	D
Gosar*	74%	B-
Grijalva	N/A	N/A



United States House of Representatives

McClintock	74%	B-
Mullin	22%	D
Napolitano	9%	F
Obernolte	59%	C
Panetta	20%	D
Pelosi	20%	D
Peters	25%	D
Porter*	16%	F
Ruiz	18%	F
Sanchez	10%	F
Schiff	16%	F
Sherman	16%	F
Steel	59%	C
Swalwell*	22%	D
Takano	12%	F
Thompson	19%	F
Torres	15%	F
Valadao	51%	C-
Vargas	16%	F
Waters	15%	F
State Average	27%	

Colorado

Boebert	74%	B-
Caraveo	31%	D
Crow*	19%	F
Degette	17%	F
Lamborn	56%	C
Lopez	N/A	N/A
Neguse	17%	F
Pettersen	20%	D
State Average	33%	

Connecticut

Courtney	19%	F
DeLauro	9%	F
Hayes	18%	F
Himes	19%	F
Larson	24%	D

State Average 18%

Delaware

Blunt Rochester	17%	F
State Average	17%	

Florida

Bean	77%	B
Bilirakis	63%	C
Buchanan	64%	C
Cammack	76%	B
Castor	14%	F
Cherfilus-McCormick	15%	F
Diaz-Balart	52%	C-
Donalds	80%	B
Dunn	66%	C+
Frankel*	17%	F
Franklin	65%	C+
Frost	16%	F
Gaetz*	78%	B
Gimenez	54%	C
Lee, L.	63%	C
Luna	69%	C+
Mast	61%	C
Mills	69%	C+
Moskowitz	20%	D
Posey	64%	C
Rutherford	60%	C
Salazar	53%	C
Soto	17%	F
Steube	86%	A
Waltz*	66%	C+
Wasserman Schultz	15%	F
Webster	66%	C+
Wilson, F.*	18%	F
State Average	52%	

Georgia

Allen	74%	B-
Bishop, S.	21%	D
Carter, E.	64%	C
Clyde	82%	B+
Collins	77%	B
Ferguson*	58%	C
Greene	86%	A
Johnson, H.	18%	F
Loudermilk	70%	C+
McBath	15%	F
McCormick	76%	B
Scott, A.	68%	C+
Scott, D.	16%	F
Williams, N.	20%	D
State Average	53%	

Hawaii

Case	21%	D
Tokuda	19%	F
State Average	20%	

Idaho

Fulcher	74%	B-
Simpson*	49%	C-
State Average	62%	

Illinois

Bost	65%	C+
Budzinski	20%	D
Casten	18%	F
Davis	20%	D
Foster	18%	F
Garcia, J.	23%	D
Jackson, J.	17%	F
Kelly, R.	17%	F

United States House of Representatives

Krishnamoorthi	19%	F
LaHood	66%	C+
Miller	78%	B
Quigley	20%	D
Ramirez	23%	D
Schakowsky	9%	F
Schneider	17%	F
Sorensen	16%	F
Underwood	20%	D
State Average	27%	

Indiana

Baird	67%	C+
Banks	63%	C
Bucshon	54%	C
Carson	24%	D
Houchin	60%	C
Mrvan	15%	F
Pence	54%	C
Spartz	70%	C+
Yakym	59%	C
State Average	52%	

Iowa

Feenstra	60%	C
Hinson	51%	C-
Miller-Meeks	52%	C-
Nunn	49%	C-
State Average	53%	

Kansas

Dauids	18%	F
Estes	67%	C+
Laturner	55%	C
Mann	74%	B-
State Average	54%	

Kentucky

Barr	59%	C
Comer	71%	C+
Guthrie	57%	C
Massie*	79%	B
McGarvey	19%	F
Rogers, H.*	43%	C-
State Average	55%	

Louisiana

Carter, T.	18%	F
Graves, G.	60%	C
Higgins, C.*	70%	C+
Johnson, M.	N/A	N/A
Letlow	58%	C
Scalise*	55%	C
State Average	52%	

Maine

Golden	24%	D
Pingree	20%	D
State Average	22%	

Maryland

Harris	79%	B
Hoyer	25%	D
Ivey	17%	F

House Key

Score	Grade	Comments
85 or more	A	Taxpayers' Friend
81 - 84	B+	Good
76 - 80	B	
73 - 75	B-	
65 - 72	C+	Satisfactory
53 - 64	C	
40 - 52	C-	
20 - 39	D	Poor
less than 20	F	Big Spender
* Score based on less than 90%, but at least 75%, of weighted total votes cast.		
** Score based on less than 75%, but more than 50%, of weighted total votes.		
N/A Voted on 50% or less of weighted total votes cast; score and grade not issued.		
Based on every roll call vote affecting fiscal policy; see front pages for methodology.		



United States House of Representatives

Mfume	18%	F
Raskin	21%	D
Ruppersberger*	18%	F
Sarbanes	20%	D
Trone*	21%	D
<i>State Average</i>	27%	

Massachusetts

Auchincloss	20%	D
Clark	19%	F
Keating	17%	F
Lynch	16%	F
McGovern	20%	D
Moulton	21%	D
Neal	16%	F
Pressley	23%	D
Trahan	17%	F
<i>State Average</i>	19%	

Michigan

Bergman	58%	C
Dingell*	20%	D
Huizenga	62%	C
James	54%	C
Kildee	22%	D
McClain	64%	C
Moolenaar	60%	C
Scholten	21%	D
Slotkin	19%	F
Stevens	17%	F
Thanedar	17%	F
Tlaib	15%	F
Walberg	59%	C
<i>State Average</i>	38%	

Minnesota

Craig	18%	F
Emmer	61%	C

Finstad	64%	C
Fischbach	65%	C+
McCollum	9%	F
Omar	23%	D
Phillips**	12%	F
Stauber	60%	C
<i>State Average</i>	39%	

Mississippi

Ezell	63%	C
Guest	61%	C
Kelly, T.	63%	C
Thompson, B.	23%	D
<i>State Average</i>	53%	

Missouri

Alford	69%	C+
Burlison	76%	B
Bush*	16%	F
Cleaver*	20%	D
Graves, S.	58%	C
Luetkemeyer*	61%	C
Smith, J.	64%	C
Wagner	45%	C-
<i>State Average</i>	51%	

Montana

Rosendale	86%	A
Zinke	73%	B-
<i>State Average</i>	80%	

Nebraska

Bacon	52%	C-
Flood	55%	C
Smith, A.	63%	C
<i>State Average</i>	57%	

Nevada

Amodei	54%	C
Horsford	19%	F
Lee, Susie	18%	F
Titus	19%	F
<i>State Average</i>	28%	

New Hampshire

Kuster*	21%	D
Pappas	18%	F
<i>State Average</i>	20%	

New Jersey

Gottheimer	16%	F
Kean	43%	C-
Kim*	20%	D
McIver	N/A	N/A
Menendez	15%	F
Norcross	8%	F
Pallone	8%	F
Sherrill*	22%	D
Smith	56%	C
Van Drew	64%	C
Watson Coleman*	19%	F
<i>State Average</i>	27%	

New Mexico

Leger Fernandez	17%	F
Stansbury	17%	F
Vasquez	19%	F
<i>State Average</i>	18%	

United States House of Representatives

New York

Bowman**	14%	F
Clarke	16%	F
D'Esposito	44%	C-
Espallat	13%	F
Garbarino	50%	C-
Goldman	18%	F
Jeffries	18%	F
Kennedy**	14%	F
Lalota	42%	C-
Langworthy*	60%	C
Lawler	50%	C-
Malliotakis	64%	C
Meeks	18%	F
Meng	14%	F
Molinaro	51%	C-
Morelle	16%	F
Nadler	15%	F
Ocasio-Cortez	17%	F
Ryan	18%	F
Stefanik	66%	C+

Suozzi*	12%	F
Tenney	63%	C
Tonko	17%	F
Torres	17%	F
Velazquez	21%	D
Williams	54%	C
State Average	31%	

Ross	17%	F
Rouzer	66%	C+
State Average	41%	

North Dakota

Armstrong*	72%	C+
State Average	72%	

North Carolina

Adams	17%	F
Bishop, D.	77%	B
Davis, Donald	25%	D
Edwards	58%	C
Foushee	17%	F
Foxx	68%	C+
Hudson	59%	C
Jackson, Jeff	19%	F
Manning	17%	F
McHenry**	56%	C
Murphy*	65%	C+
Nickel	18%	F

Ohio

Balderson	61%	C
Beatty	18%	F
Brown	17%	F
Carey	55%	C
Davidson	70%	C+
Jordan	71%	C+
Joyce	50%	C-
Kaptur	18%	F
Landsman	17%	F
Latta	57%	C
Miller	57%	C
Rulli	N/A	N/A

House Key

Score	Grade	Comments
85 or more	A	Taxpayers' Friend
81 - 84	B+	Good
76 - 80	B	
73 - 75	B-	
65 - 72	C+	Satisfactory
53 - 64	C	
40 - 52	C-	
20 - 39	D	Poor
less than 20	F	Big Spender
* Score based on less than 90%, but at least 75%, of weighted total votes cast.		
** Score based on less than 75%, but more than 50%, of weighted total votes.		
N/A Voted on 50% or less of weighted total votes cast; score and grade not issued.		
Based on every roll call vote affecting fiscal policy; see front pages for methodology.		



United States House of Representatives

Sykes	18%	F
Turner*	54%	C
Wenstrup	60%	C
<i>State Average</i>	45%	

Oklahoma

Bice	54%	C
Brecheen	83%	B+
Cole	50%	C-
Hern	72%	C+
Lucas	53%	C
<i>State Average</i>	62%	

Oregon

Bentz	62%	C
Blumenauer*	22%	D
Bonamici	16%	F
Chavez-Deremer	48%	C-
Hoyle	18%	F
Salinas	19%	F
<i>State Average</i>	31%	

Pennsylvania

Boyle	20%	D
Cartwright	17%	F
Dean	17%	F
Deluzio	17%	F
Evans	N/A	N/A
Fitzpatrick	37%	D
Houlahan	24%	D
Joyce, J.	61%	C
Kelly, M.	57%	C
Lee, Summer	23%	D
Meuser	59%	C
Perry	82%	B+
Reschenthaler	61%	C
Scanlon	17%	F
Smucker	63%	C

Thompson, G.	52%	C-
Wild	20%	D
<i>State Average</i>	39%	

Rhode Island

Amo	17%	F
Magaziner*	21%	D
<i>State Average</i>	19%	

South Carolina

Clyburn	21%	D
Duncan	69%	C+
Fry	72%	C+
Mace	74%	B-
Norman	85%	A
Timmons	73%	B-
Wilson, J.	64%	C
<i>State Average</i>	65%	

South Dakota

Johnson, D.	60%	C
<i>State Average</i>	60%	

Tennessee

Burchett	87%	A
Cohen	16%	F
Desjarlais	66%	C+
Fleischmann	52%	C-
Green, M.	64%	C
Harshbarger	78%	B
Kustoff	63%	C
Ogles	73%	B-
Rose	71%	C+
<i>State Average</i>	63%	

Texas

Allred	21%	D
Arrington	73%	B-
Babin	69%	C+
Burgess	55%	C
Carter	56%	C
Casar	16%	F
Castro*	24%	D
Cloud	73%	B-
Crenshaw*	61%	C
Crockett	21%	D
Cuellar	38%	D
De La Cruz	63%	C
Doggett	22%	D
Ellzey	54%	C
Escobar	18%	F
Fallon	68%	C+
Fletcher	17%	F
Garcia	11%	F
Gonzales	58%	C
Gonzalez	29%	D
Gooden	64%	C
Granger**	63%	C
Green	20%	D
Hunt*	81%	B+
Jackson	67%	C+
Lee Carter	N/A	N/A
Luttrell	64%	C
McCaul	52%	C-
Moran	69%	C+
Nehls*	73%	B-
Pfluger	63%	C
Roy	88%	A
Self	86%	A
Sessions*	57%	C
Van Duyne	74%	B-
Veasey	23%	D
Weber	69%	C+
Williams*	65%	C+
<i>State Average</i>	52%	

United States House of Representatives

Utah

Curtis	63%	C
Maloy	59%	C
Moore, B.	61%	C
Owens	61%	C
State Average	61%	

Vermont

Balint	23%	D
State Average	23%	

Virginia

Beyer	22%	D
Cline	78%	B
Connolly	19%	F
Good	86%	A
Griffith	67%	C+
Kiggans	53%	C

McClellan	16%	F
Scott, R.	9%	F
Spanberger*	20%	D
Wexton	18%	F
Wittman	59%	C
State Average	41%	

Washington

Delbene	19%	F
Gluesenkamp Perez	32%	D
Jayapal	23%	D
Kilmer	18%	F
Larsen	21%	D
McMorris Rodgers	63%	C
Newhouse	57%	C
Schrier	18%	F
Smith, Adam	17%	F
Strickland	19%	F
State Average	29%	

West Virginia

Miller, C.	69%	C+
Mooney*	86%	A
State Average	78%	

Wisconsin

Fitzgerald	70%	C+
Grothman	73%	B-
Moore	12%	F
Pocan	15%	F
Steil	67%	C+
Tiffany	78%	B
Van Orden	60%	C
Wied	N/A	N/A
State Average	54%	

Wyoming

Hageman	66%	C+
State Average	66%	

House Key

Score	Grade	Comments
85 or more	A	Taxpayers' Friend
81 - 84	B+	Good
76 - 80	B	
73 - 75	B-	
65 - 72	C+	Satisfactory
53 - 64	C	
40 - 52	C-	
20 - 39	D	Poor
less than 20	F	Big Spender
* Score based on less than 90%, but at least 75%, of weighted total votes cast.		
** Score based on less than 75%, but more than 50%, of weighted total votes.		
N/A Voted on 50% or less of weighted total votes cast; score and grade not issued.		
Based on every roll call vote affecting fiscal policy; see front pages for methodology.		





We are proud to be the only free-market organization for taxpayers that unites effective advocacy with useful research about how to limit taxes, spending, and regulation at every level and branch of government—state, federal, administrative, and judicial.

NTU is a nonpartisan 501(c)(4) nonprofit, advocating on behalf of taxpayers, not political parties.

Contact: Rachel Harden, Rharden@NTU.org, (703) 299-8671
122 C St NW Ste. 700, Washington, DC 20001

NTU.org