



NATIONAL TAXPAYERS UNION

Rates Congress

117th Congress, First Session

2021



Contact: Kevin Glass
KGlass@NTU.org

About NTU Rates Congress

Every year National Taxpayers Union (NTU) rates U.S. Representatives and Senators on their actual votes—every vote that affects taxes, spending, and debt. Unlike most organizations that publish ratings, we refuse to play the “rating game” of focusing on only a handful of congressional votes on selected issues. The NTU voting study is the fairest and most accurate guide available on congressional spending.

NTU has no partisan ax to grind. All members of Congress are treated the same regardless of political affiliation. Our only constituency is the overburdened American taxpayer. Grades are given impartially, based on the Taxpayer Score.

Taxpayer Score

The Taxpayer Score measures the strength of support for reducing wasteful government spending, opposing higher taxes, and often opposing burdensome regulations that can stifle U.S. economic growth. In general, a higher score is better because it means a member of Congress voted to spend less money.

The Taxpayer Score can range between zero and 100. We do not expect anyone to score a 100, nor has any legislator ever scored a perfect 100—without missing a single vote—in the decades-long history of the comprehensive NTU scoring system. A high score does not mean that the member of Congress was opposed to all spending or all programs. High-scoring members have indicated that they would vote for many programs if the amount of spending were lower or if the spending were offset by cuts elsewhere in the budget. Indeed, a member who still wants to increase spending on some programs can achieve a high score if he or she votes for offsetting cuts. A zero score would indicate that the member of Congress approved every spending increase and opposed every pro-taxpayer reform.

NTU believes a score qualifying for a grade of “A” indicates the member is one of the strongest supporters of responsible tax and spending policies. We are pleased to give these members of Congress our “Taxpayers’ Friend Award.” To receive a Taxpayers’ Friend Award, a member of Congress must have not only achieved a grade of an A, but also voted on a minimum percentage of weighted total votes in the House or in the Senate.

A score qualifying for a grade of “B” represents a “good” voting record on controlling spending and taxes. A “B” grade indicates that the member voted for taxpayers most of the time, but slightly less than those who attained the grade of “A.”

A score qualifying for a grade of “C” represents a minimally acceptable voting record on controlling taxes and spending. While such a score may be “satisfactory,” there is clearly room for improvement.

Taxpayer Scores		
Senate		House
44%	Average	42%
61%	Median	18%
98%	High	91%
4%	Low	4%
Party Scores		
Senate Democrats Avg.		7%
House Democrats Avg.		9%
Senate Republicans Avg.		79%
House Republicans Avg.		76%

We also issue pluses and minuses for the grades of “B” and “C” in order to better recognize the differences in the voting records of members with these grades.

A score qualifying for a grade of “D” indicates the member has a “poor” voting record on controlling taxes and spending.

A score significantly below average qualifies for a grade of “F.”

Our scores and grades are not meant to offer definitive value judgments on any particular member of Congress, nor are they calculated with any favoritism or malice in mind. Rather, NTU Rates Congress is designed to offer a quantitative value that demonstrates a member’s voting record on fiscal and regulatory matters large and small.

Computation

NTU’s federal budget experts assigned a weight to each roll call vote in the House and Senate. A low weight was assigned to votes that had relatively smaller effects on the size of the federal budget and federal deficits, the scale and scope of federal tax revenues, or the size and scope of the regulatory state. A high weight, on the other hand, was assigned to votes with the most significant effect on federal spending, deficits, taxes, or the size and scope of the regulatory state.

Consideration was given to the longer-term effects of a vote on future fiscal policy, even if relatively few tax or deficit-financed dollars might be immediately at stake.

Scores were computed by dividing the weighted total of votes cast against higher spending or taxes (or for lower spending or taxes) by the weighted total number of spending and tax issues on which the member of Congress voted. Average scores for each state were also computed using the weighted total of votes cast by each state delegation.

In computing these scores, we included only those votes on which the member actually voted for or against a bill, resolution, or amendment. Announced positions and absences were excluded.

Additionally, because some members either missed votes frequently, cast certain votes to permit the usage of procedural tools, or otherwise abstained from voting yes or no, their scores may not accurately reflect spending attitudes. The members falling into this category are noted. We analyzed every roll call vote taken in the First Session of the 117th Congress and selected all votes that could significantly affect the amounts of federal taxes, spending, debt, or regulatory impact. A total of 85 Senate and 121 House votes were selected.

We included votes cast on appropriations bills, authorization bills, budget resolutions, tax bills, amendments, and certain procedural and policy votes that could affect the burden on taxpayers. Votes that simply shifted equal amounts of spending from one area to another were excluded. Also excluded were unanimous votes and votes where there was a significant difference of opinion on how to vote to reduce or control the size of government.

United States Senate

SENATE KEY		
SCORE	GRADE	COMMENTS
90 or more	A	Taxpayers' Friend
85 - 89	B+	Good
80 - 84	B	
75 - 79	B-	
65 - 74	C+	Satisfactory
50 - 64	C	
40 - 49	C-	
22 - 39	D	Poor
less than 22	F	Big Spender
* Score based on less than 90% but more than 75% of total weighted votes cast.		
** Score based on less than 75% but more than 50% of total weighted votes cast.		
N/A Voted on 50% or less of total weighted votes cast; score and grade not issued.		
Based on every roll call vote affecting fiscal policy; see front pages for methodology.		

Alabama		
Shelby	81%	B
Tuberville	89%	B+
State Average	85%	

Delaware		
Carper	9%	F
Coons	9%	F
State Average	9%	

Alaska		
Murkowski	61%	C
Sullivan*	63%	C
State Average	62%	

Florida		
Rubio	80%	B
Scott, R.	85%	B+
State Average	83%	

Arizona		
Kelly	8%	F
Sinema	12%	F
State Average	10%	

Georgia		
Ossoff	6%	F
Warnock	6%	F
State Average	6%	

Arkansas		
Boozman	83%	B
Cotton	83%	B
State Average	83%	

Hawaii		
Hirono	6%	F
Schatz	4%	F
State Average	5%	

California		
Feinstein	7%	F
Padilla	9%	F
State Average	8%	

Idaho		
Crapo	77%	B-
Risch	77%	B-
State Average	77%	

Colorado		
Bennet	6%	F
Hickenlooper	7%	F
State Average	7%	

Illinois		
Duckworth	7%	F
Durbin	6%	F
State Average	7%	

Connecticut		
Blumenthal	6%	F
Murphy, C.	6%	F
State Average	6%	

Indiana		
Braun	94%	A
Young, T.	71%	C+
State Average	83%	

Iowa		
Ernst	86%	B+
Grassley	79%	B-
State Average	83%	

Kansas		
Marshall	86%	B+
Moran	85%	B+
State Average	86%	

Kentucky		
McConnell	66%	C+
Paul	97%	A
State Average	82%	

Louisiana		
Cassidy	77%	B-
Kennedy	84%	B
State Average	81%	

Maine		
Collins	62%	C
King	9%	F
State Average	36%	

Maryland		
Cardin	6%	F
Van Hollen	4%	F
State Average	5%	

Massachusetts		
Markey	8%	F
Warren	7%	F
State Average	8%	

Michigan		
Peters	6%	F
Stabenow	6%	F
State Average	6%	

Minnesota		
Klobuchar	7%	F
Smith, T.	7%	F
State Average	7%	

Mississippi		
Hyde-Smith	83%	B
Wicker	69%	C+
State Average	76%	

United States Senate

Missouri

Blunt	62%	C
Hawley	82%	B
<i>State Average</i>	72%	

Montana

Daines	82%	B
Tester	11%	F
<i>State Average</i>	47%	

Nebraska

Fischer	81%	B
Sasse	80%	B
<i>State Average</i>	81%	

Nevada

Cortez Masto	7%	F
Rosen	7%	F
<i>State Average</i>	7%	

New Hampshire

Hassan	11%	F
Shaheen	10%	F
<i>State Average</i>	11%	

New Jersey

Booker	6%	F
Menendez	6%	F
<i>State Average</i>	6%	

New Mexico

Heinrich	5%	F
Ray Luján	7%	F
<i>State Average</i>	6%	

New York

Gillibrand	9%	F
Schumer	N/A	N/A
<i>State Average</i>	9%	

North Carolina

Burr	71%	C+
Tillis	67%	C+
<i>State Average</i>	69%	

North Dakota

Cramer	76%	B-
Hoeven	77%	B-
<i>State Average</i>	77%	

Ohio

Brown	7%	F
Portman	67%	C+
<i>State Average</i>	37%	

Oklahoma

Inhofe	80%	B
Lankford	89%	B+
<i>State Average</i>	85%	

Oregon

Merkley	10%	F
Wyden	10%	F
<i>State Average</i>	10%	

Pennsylvania

Casey	6%	F
Toomey	89%	B+
<i>State Average</i>	48%	

Rhode Island

Reed	5%	F
Whitehouse	4%	F
<i>State Average</i>	5%	

South Carolina

Graham	67%	C+
Scott, T.	85%	B+
<i>State Average</i>	76%	

South Dakota

Rounds**	66%	C+
Thune	81%	B
<i>State Average</i>	74%	

Tennessee

Blackburn	86%	B+
Hagerty	87%	B+
<i>State Average</i>	87%	

Texas

Cornyn	78%	B-
Cruz	92%	A
<i>State Average</i>	85%	

Utah

Lee	98%	A
Romney	64%	C
<i>State Average</i>	81%	

Vermont

Leahy	6%	F
Sanders	14%	F
<i>State Average</i>	10%	

Virginia

Kaine	6%	F
Warner	6%	F
<i>State Average</i>	6%	

Washington

Cantwell	5%	F
Murray	5%	F
<i>State Average</i>	5%	

West Virginia

Capito	64%	C
Manchin	14%	F
<i>State Average</i>	39%	

Wisconsin

Baldwin	7%	F
Johnson	90%	A
<i>State Average</i>	49%	

Wyoming

Barrasso	86%	B+
Lummis	91%	A
<i>State Average</i>	89%	

United States House of Representatives

HOUSE KEY		
SCORE	GRADE	COMMENTS
90 or more	A	Taxpayers' Friend
85 - 89	B+	Good
80 - 84	B	
75 - 79	B-	
65 - 74	C+	Satisfactory
50 - 64	C	
40 - 49	C-	
22 - 39	D	Poor
less than 22	F	Big Spender
* Score based on less than 90%, but more than 75%, of weighted total votes cast.		
** Score based on less than 75%, but more than 50%, of weighted total votes.		
N/A Voted on 50% or less of weighted total votes cast; score and grade not issued.		
Based on every roll call vote affecting fiscal policy; see front pages for methodology.		

McCarthy	74%	C+
McClintock	89%	B+
McNerney	10%	F
Napolitano	9%	F
Nunes	73%	C+
Obernalte	73%	C+
Panetta	7%	F
Pelosi	N/A	N/A
Peters	6%	F
Porter	11%	F
Roybal-Allard	8%	F
Ruiz	7%	F
Sánchez	10%	F
Schiff	10%	F
Sherman	8%	F
Speier	8%	F
Steel	74%	C+
Swalwell	7%	F
Takano	11%	F
Thompson, M.	9%	F
Torres, N.	6%	F
Valadao	68%	C+
Vargas	10%	F
Waters	10%	F
<i>State Average</i>	<i>23%</i>	

Alabama		
Aderholt	78%	B-
Brooks	84%	B
Carl	78%	B-
Moore, B.	82%	B
Palmer	81%	B
Rogers	75%	B-
Sewell	9%	F
<i>State Average</i>	<i>70%</i>	

California		
Aguilar	8%	F
Barragán	10%	F
Bass	9%	F
Bera	8%	F
Brownley	5%	F
Calvert	73%	C+
Carbajal	8%	F
Cárdenas	9%	F
Chu	11%	F
Correa	8%	F
Costa	6%	F
DeSaulnier	10%	F
Eshoo	10%	F
Garamendi	10%	F
Garcia, M.	72%	C+
Gomez	12%	F
Harder	8%	F
Huffman	11%	F
Issa	74%	C+
Jacobs, S.	11%	F
Khanna	12%	F
Kim, Y.	69%	C+
LaMalfa	75%	B-
Lee, B.	13%	F
Levin, M.	6%	F
Lieu	9%	F
Lofgren	10%	F
Lowenthal	11%	F
Matsui	10%	F

Alaska		
Young	63%	C
<i>State Average</i>	<i>63%</i>	

Arizona		
Biggs	87%	B+
Gallego	8%	F
Gosar	87%	B+
Grijalva	10%	F
Kirkpatrick	6%	F
Lesko*	86%	B+
O'Halleran	5%	F
Schweikert	83%	B
Stanton	5%	F
<i>State Average</i>	<i>42%</i>	

Arkansas		
Crawford	77%	B-
Hill	74%	C+
Westerman	76%	B-
Womack	73%	C+
<i>State Average</i>	<i>75%</i>	

Colorado		
Boebert	89%	B+
Buck	87%	B+
Crow	7%	F
DeGette	10%	F
Lamborn	77%	B-
Neguse	11%	F
Perlmutter	6%	F
<i>State Average</i>	<i>41%</i>	

Connecticut		
Courtney	6%	F
DeLauro	8%	F
Hayes	10%	F
Himes	8%	F
Larson, J.	5%	F
<i>State Average</i>	<i>7%</i>	

Delaware		
Blunt Rochester	9%	F
<i>State Average</i>	<i>9%</i>	

United States House of Representatives

Florida		
Bilirakis	72%	C+
Buchanan	72%	C+
Cammack	83%	B
Castor	9%	F
Crist	6%	F
Demings	6%	F
Deutch	8%	F
Diaz-Balart	67%	C+
Donalds	87%	B+
Dunn	74%	C+
Frankel	9%	F
Franklin	76%	B-
Gaetz	85%	B+
Gimenez	66%	C+
Hastings	N/A	N/A
Lawson	9%	F
Mast	81%	B
Murphy, S.	6%	F
Posey	82%	B
Rutherford	77%	B-
Salazar	65%	C+
Soto	6%	F
Steube	85%	B+
Waltz	76%	B-
Wasserman Schultz	6%	F
Webster	78%	B-
Wilson, F.	10%	F
State Average	50%	

Georgia		
Allen	81%	B
Bishop, S.	6%	F
Bourdeaux	6%	F
Carter, B.	76%	B-
Clyde	87%	B+
Ferguson	79%	B-
Greene	89%	B+
Hice	87%	B+
Johnson, H.	11%	F
Loudermilk	85%	B+
McBath	7%	F
Scott, A.	76%	B-
Scott, D.	6%	F

Williams, N.	11%	F
State Average	51%	

Hawaii		
Case	7%	F
Kahele	5%	F
State Average	6%	

Idaho		
Fulcher*	81%	B
Simpson	70%	C+
State Average	76%	

Illinois		
Bost	67%	C+
Bustos	5%	F
Casten	9%	F
Davis, D.	12%	F
Davis, R.	66%	C+
Foster	8%	F
García, C.	13%	F
Kelly, R.	9%	F
Kinzinger	62%	C
Krishnamoorthi	8%	F
LaHood	74%	C+
Miller, M.	88%	B+
Newman	10%	F
Quigley	8%	F
Rush	10%	F
Schakowsky	12%	F
Schneider	6%	F
Underwood	7%	F
State Average	26%	

Indiana		
Baird	74%	C+
Banks	81%	B
Bucshon	74%	C+
Carson	8%	F
Hollingsworth	74%	C+
Mrvan	8%	F
Pence	78%	B-
Spartz	76%	B-
Walorski	74%	C+
State Average	61%	

Iowa		
Axne	6%	F
Feenstra	74%	C+
Hinson	74%	C+
Miller-Meeks	71%	C+
State Average	56%	

Kansas		
Dauids	6%	F
Estes	85%	B+
LaTurner	76%	B-
Mann	82%	B
State Average	62%	

Kentucky		
Barr	75%	B-
Comer	79%	B-
Guthrie	74%	C+
Massie	91%	A
Rogers, H.	70%	C+
Yarmuth	9%	F
State Average	66%	

Louisiana		
Carter, T.**	7%	F
Graves, G.	73%	C+
Higgins, C.*	83%	B
Johnson, M.	79%	B-
Letlow**	64%	C
Scalise	75%	B-
State Average	64%	

Maine		
Golden	33%	D
Pingree	8%	F
State Average	21%	

Maryland		
Brown, A.	7%	F
Harris	85%	B+
Hoyer	8%	F
Mfume	10%	F
Raskin	11%	F
Ruppersberger	7%	F
Sarbanes	9%	F
Trone	4%	F
State Average	18%	

United States House of Representatives

Massachusetts			Missouri			New Mexico		
Auchincloss	12%	F	Bush	19%	F	Herrell	86%	B+
Clark	11%	F	Cleaver	8%	F	Leger Fernandez	10%	F
Keating	5%	F	Graves, S.	74%	C+	Stansbury**	11%	F
Lynch	6%	F	Hartzler	77%	B-	<i>State Average</i>	36%	
McGovern	12%	F	Long	75%	B-	New York		
Moulton	6%	F	Luetkemeyer	75%	B-	Bowman	16%	F
Neal	6%	F	Smith, J.	78%	B-	Clarke	11%	F
Pressley	17%	F	Wagner	73%	C+	Delgado	6%	F
Trahan	9%	F	<i>State Average</i>	60%		Espaillet	11%	F
<i>State Average</i>	9%		Montana			Garbarino	63%	C
Michigan			Rosendale	88%	B+	Higgins, B.	6%	F
Bergman	74%	C+	<i>State Average</i>	88%		Jacobs, C.	70%	C+
Dingell	10%	F	Nebraska			Jeffries	9%	F
Huizenga	78%	B-	Bacon	65%	C+	Jones	13%	F
Kildee	11%	F	Fortenberry	69%	C+	Katko	56%	C
Lawrence	10%	F	Smith, A.	75%	B-	Malliotakis	65%	C+
Levin, A.	12%	F	<i>State Average</i>	70%		Maloney, C.	12%	F
McClain	75%	B-	Nevada			Maloney, S.	6%	F
Meijer	69%	C+	Amodei	73%	C+	Meeks	8%	F
Moolenaar	74%	C+	Horsford	6%	F	Meng	12%	F
Slotkin	6%	F	Lee, S.	7%	F	Morelle	8%	F
Stevens	7%	F	Titus	9%	F	Nadler	10%	F
Tlaib	18%	F	<i>State Average</i>	24%		Ocasio-Cortez	17%	F
Upton	61%	C	New Hampshire			Reed	67%	C+
Walberg	78%	B-	Kuster	8%	F	Rice, K.	7%	F
<i>State Average</i>	42%		Pappas	6%	F	Stefanik	72%	C+
Minnesota			<i>State Average</i>	7%		Suoizzi	6%	F
Craig	6%	F	New Jersey			Tenney	70%	C+
Emmer	74%	C+	Gottheimer	6%	F	Tonko	10%	F
Fischbach	76%	B-	Kim, A.	6%	F	Torres	12%	F
Hagedorn	76%	B-	Malinowski	10%	F	Velázquez	11%	F
McCollum	8%	F	Norcross	5%	F	Zeldin	72%	C+
Omar	17%	F	Pallone	12%	F	<i>State Average</i>	27%	
Phillips	8%	F	Pascrell	8%	F	North Carolina		
Stauber	68%	C+	Payne	11%	F	Adams	8%	F
<i>State Average</i>	42%		Sherrill	6%	F	Bishop, D.	87%	B+
Mississippi			Sires	6%	F	Budd	84%	B
Guest	81%	B	Smith, C.	53%	C	Butterfield	6%	F
Kelly, T.	79%	B-	Van Drew	59%	C	Cawthorn	77%	B-
Palazzo	79%	B-	Watson Coleman	12%	F	Foxx	81%	B
Thompson, B.	9%	F	<i>State Average</i>	16%		Hudson	75%	B-
<i>State Average</i>	62%					Manning	7%	F

McHenry	72%	C+
Murphy, G.	74%	C+
Price	8%	F
Ross	6%	F
Rouzer	75%	B-
<i>State Average</i>	51%	

North Dakota		
Armstrong	78%	B-
<i>State Average</i>	78%	

Ohio		
Balderson	75%	B-
Beatty	9%	F
Brown, S.	N/A	N/A
Carey	N/A	N/A
Chabot	76%	B-
Davidson	82%	B
Gibbs	77%	B-
Gonzalez, A.	64%	C
Johnson, B.	72%	C+
Jordan	88%	B+
Joyce, D.	68%	C+
Kaptur	6%	F
Latta	75%	B-
Ryan	6%	F
Turner	72%	C+
Wenstrup	75%	B-
<i>State Average</i>	60%	

Oklahoma		
Bice	75%	B-
Cole	71%	C+
Hern	85%	B+
Lucas	72%	C+
Mullin	76%	B-
<i>State Average</i>	76%	

Oregon		
Bentz	72%	C+
Blumenauer	11%	F
Bonamici	12%	F
DeFazio	13%	F
Schrader	18%	F
<i>State Average</i>	25%	

Pennsylvania		
Boyle	7%	F
Cartwright	7%	F
Dean	8%	F
Doyle	13%	F
Evans	9%	F
Fitzpatrick	49%	C-
Houlahan	7%	F
Joyce, J.	75%	B-
Keller	76%	B-
Kelly, M.	75%	B-
Lamb	6%	F
Meuser	75%	B-
Perry*	86%	B+
Reschenthaler	71%	C+
Scanlon	7%	F
Smucker	74%	C+
Thompson, G.	71%	C+
Wild	6%	F
<i>State Average</i>	40%	

Rhode Island		
Cicilline	9%	F
Langevin	5%	F
<i>State Average</i>	7%	

South Carolina		
Clyburn	8%	F
Duncan	84%	B
Mace	77%	B-
Norman	90%	A
Rice, T.	82%	B
Timmons	79%	B-
Wilson, J.	75%	B-
<i>State Average</i>	71%	

South Dakota		
Johnson	75%	B-
<i>State Average</i>	75%	

Tennessee		
Burchett	84%	B
Cohen	12%	F
Cooper	8%	F
DesJarlais	79%	B-
Fleischmann	73%	C+
Green, M.	79%	B-
Harshbarger	80%	B
Kustoff	75%	B-
Rose	81%	B
<i>State Average</i>	63%	

HOUSE KEY		
SCORE	GRADE	COMMENTS
90 or more	A	Taxpayers' Friend
85 - 89	B+	Good
80 - 84	B	
75 - 79	B-	
65 - 74	C+	Satisfactory
50 - 64	C	
40 - 49	C-	
22 - 39	D	Poor
less than 22	F	Big Spender
* Score based on less than 90%, but more than 75%, of weighted total votes cast.		
** Score based on less than 75%, but more than 50%, of weighted total votes.		
N/A Voted on 50% or less of weighted total votes cast; score and grade not issued.		
Based on every roll call vote affecting fiscal policy; see front pages for methodology.		

United States House of Representatives

Texas		
Allred	6%	F
Arrington	85%	B+
Babin	81%	B
Brady*	78%	B-
Burgess	81%	B
Carter, J.	74%	C+
Castro	9%	F
Cloud	85%	B+
Crenshaw	76%	B-
Cuellar	12%	F
Doggett	10%	F
Ellzey	N/A	N/A
Escobar	10%	F
Fallon	80%	B
Fletcher	6%	F
Garcia	8%	F
Gohmert*	84%	B
Gonzales	70%	C+
Gonzalez, V.	6%	F
Gooden	85%	B+
Granger	73%	C+
Green	9%	F
Jackson	81%	B
Jackson Lee	9%	F
Johnson, E.	9%	F
McCaul	72%	C+
Nehls	79%	B-
Pfluger	79%	B-
Roy	89%	B+
Sessions	81%	B
Taylor	86%	B+
Van Duyne	77%	B-
Veasey	5%	F
Vela	6%	F
Weber	86%	B+
Williams, R.	76%	B-
<i>State Average</i>	53%	

Vermont		
Welch	12%	F
<i>State Average</i>	12%	

Virginia		
Beyer	9%	F
Cline	84%	B
Connolly	9%	F
Good	89%	B+
Griffith	81%	B
Luria	7%	F
McEachin	5%	F
Scott, B.	7%	F
Spanberger	6%	F
Wexton	7%	F
Wittman	75%	B-
<i>State Average</i>	34%	

Washington		
DelBene	8%	F
Herrera Beutler	69%	C+
Jayapal	12%	F
Kilmer	8%	F
Larsen	8%	F
McMorris Rodgers	73%	C+
Newhouse	66%	C+
Schrier	6%	F
Smith, Adam	9%	F
Strickland	7%	F
<i>State Average</i>	27%	

West Virginia		
McKinley	65%	C+
Miller, C.	76%	B-
Mooney	80%	B
<i>State Average</i>	74%	

Wisconsin		
Fitzgerald	79%	B-
Gallagher	75%	B-
Grothman	83%	B
Kind	8%	F
Moore, G.	12%	F
Pocan	12%	F
Steil	74%	C+
Tiffany*	81%	B
<i>State Average</i>	53%	

Wyoming		
Cheney	72%	C+
<i>State Average</i>	72%	

Utah		
Curtis	77%	B-
Moore, Blake	75%	B-
Owens	76%	B-
Stewart	79%	B-
<i>State Average</i>	77%	

HOUSE KEY		
SCORE	GRADE	COMMENTS
90 or more	A	Taxpayers' Friend
85 - 89	B+	Good
80 - 84	B	
75 - 79	B-	
65 - 74	C+	
50 - 64	C	Satisfactory
40 - 49	C-	
22 - 39	D	
less than 22	F	Big Spender
* Score based on less than 90%, but more than 75%, of weighted total votes cast.		
** Score based on less than 75%, but more than 50%, of weighted total votes.		
N/A Voted on 50% or less of weighted total votes cast; score and grade not issued.		
Based on every roll call vote affecting fiscal policy; see front pages for methodology.		





Since 1969, National Taxpayers Union (NTU) has been the “Voice of America’s Taxpayers.” The nation’s oldest taxpayer advocacy organization, NTU fights for lower taxes, smaller budgets, and economic freedom at all levels of government. As taxpayers’ lobbyists, we work to ensure that all Americans are able to pursue their dreams without the heavy hand of government holding them back.

NTU is a nonpartisan 501(c)(4) nonprofit, advocating on behalf of taxpayers, not political parties.

Contact Kevin Glass, KGlass@NTU.org, (703) 299-8670 122 C St NW Ste. 650, Washington, DC 20001

NTU.org