

Issue Brief

NOVEMBER 18, 2021
BY: DEMIAN BRADY

Taxpayers Are Fleeing from High-Tax States, Shifting \$43 Billion in Wealth

Introduction

New [tax migration data](#) from the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) shows that thousands of taxpayers have fled high-tax states for states with more hospitable rates.¹ While taxes are not the only factor in location decisions, the IRS data shows a strong relationship between tax burdens and migration patterns.

The ten states with the highest state and local effective tax rates saw over 232,000 taxpayers move to other states in 2019, taking with them a net Adjusted Gross Income (AGI) of \$31.2 billion. The top ten destination states of taxpayer migration had effective tax rates lower than the national average, four of which have no state income tax at all, including the two states topping the list, Florida and Texas. All told, these ten states saw an influx of 283,000 taxpayers with a total AGI of \$37.8 billion.

The data also calls into question the state and local tax deduction expansion contemplated in the “Build Back Better” (BBB) reconciliation package. The counties that benefited most from the state and local tax deduction prior to enactment of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (which capped it at \$10,000) saw a net loss of nearly 36,000 taxpayers and \$10.4 billion in wealth. The BBB would increase the cap, thereby allowing these localities to maintain their high taxes and effectively shifting the cost onto federal taxes.

High-tax states and counties risk driving away taxpayers and considerable wealth. Given the technological developments that enable a more mobile workforce and greater employment opportunities, this trend is likely to continue going forward.

¹ Internal Revenue Service. (2021). *Statistics of Income Tax Stats: Migration Data 2018-2019*. Retrieved from <https://www.irs.gov/statistics/soi-tax-stats-migration-data-2018-2019>.

Key Facts:



While taxes are not the only factor in location decisions, new IRS data shows a strong relationship between tax burdens and migration patterns as taxpayers relocated from states with higher tax rates.



The ten states that lost the most net taxpayers tended to have higher state & local tax burdens. These states lost 270,000 taxpayers with a net AGI of \$35 billion.



The top destination states had tax rates lower than the national average (including several states without an income tax) and saw an influx of 283,000 taxpayers with \$38 billion in wealth.



States and localities with high tax burdens would be wise to reform their codes to attract and retain businesses and individuals, instead of driving them out.

Methodology & Findings

The IRS data used below shows the net change in residence from one state to another (excluding international migration) reported by filers from 2018 to 2019. This information was matched up with each state's (and Washington, D.C.'s) top income tax rate and also the combined state and local effective tax rate for 2019 as [compiled](#) by the Tax Foundation.²

23 states had a net influx of nearly 324,000 taxpayers bringing with them \$42.6 billion in wealth. On average, these states had a top income tax rate of 4.3 percent and combined effective state and local tax burdens of 9.2 percent. The 28 states (including D.C.) that saw a net outflow of taxpayers had an average top income tax rate of 6.5 percent and an effective tax rate of 10.6 percent.

Table 1 below compares the average tax rates of the 10 states that saw the biggest departure of taxpayers versus the 10 states that had the largest inflow. States that grew their tax base because of migration typically had lower tax rates than the states with out-migration.

Table 1. Comparing the Top 10 States by Net Taxpayer Outflow and Inflow

	Average Top Income Tax Rate	Average State-Local Effective Tax Rate	Net Change in the Number of taxpayers	Net Change in AGI (in billions)
Top Ten States by Net Taxpayer Outflow	6.7%	11.1%	-270,141	-\$34.9
Top Ten States by Net Taxpayer Inflow	2.9%	8.9%	283,353	\$37.8

Table 2. States with the Largest Net Outflow of Taxpayers

State	Top Income Tax Rate (2019)	State-Local Effective Tax Rate	Net Change in # of Taxpayers	Net Change in AGI (in Millions)
New York	8.82%	14.1%	-73,837	-\$8,720
California	13.3%	11.5%	-71,547	-\$8,809
Illinois	4.95%	11.1%	-42,681	-\$5,939
New Jersey	10.75%	11.7%	-16,947	-\$3,104
Massachusetts	5.05%	10.5%	-14,925	-\$1,453
Michigan	4.25%	10%	-11,607	-\$1,129
Maryland	5.75%	11.8%	-9,913	-\$1,853
Ohio	4.797%	10.3%	-9,730	-\$1,627
Pennsylvania	3.07%	10.4%	-9,546	-\$1,711
Louisiana	6%	9.20%	-9,408	-\$501

Table 2 breaks out the top ten states by net outflow of taxpayers.

² York, Erica and Walczak, Jared. *State and Local Tax Burdens, Calendar Year 2019*. Tax Foundation. Retrieved from <https://taxfoundation.org/publications/state-local-tax-burden-rankings/>.

- New York had the highest state-local effective tax rate, and it also had the largest outflow of taxpayers who fled, taking with them nearly \$9 billion in wealth.
- California saw a net loss of nearly 72,000 taxpayers and \$8.8 billion in wealth. The Golden State had the highest top income tax rate and was 8th on the Tax Foundation’s ranking of state-local effective tax rates.
- Pennsylvania rounded out the top ten states experiencing taxpayer flight. Though it has a low income tax of a flat 3.07 percent, that is outweighed by other levies, leaving it in the top third of states ranked by state-local effective tax rates.

Table 3. States with the Largest Net Inflow of Taxpayers

State	Top Income Tax Rate (2019)	State-Local Effective Tax Rate	Net Change in # of Taxpayers	Net Change in AGI (in Millions)
Florida	0	8.8%	61,873	\$17,488
Texas	0	8%	51,359	\$3,906
Arizona	4.5%	8.7%	35,654	\$3,679
North Carolina	5.25%	9.5%	24,712	\$2,752
Washington	0	9.8%	20,742	\$1,080
South Carolina	7%	8.9%	19,456	\$2,561
Nevada	0	9.7%	18,538	\$2,031
Tennessee	2%	7%	17,849	\$1,888
Georgia	5.75%	8.9%	16,722	\$409
Colorado	4.63%	9.4%	16,448	\$1,988

Table 3 shows the states with the largest influx of taxpayers from other states. The top two destination states (Florida and Texas) have no income tax. Neither do Washington and Nevada. Also included was Tennessee which has no broad-based tax for wage income but at the time was in the process of phasing out its tax on income from certain investments, reducing it 1 percent per year until its elimination this year. South Carolina had a top income tax rate higher than the national average, but a combined state-local effective tax rate of just 8.9 percent, which places it 40th in the U.S.

The State and Local Tax Deduction

The IRS data also captures migration in and out of counties across the country. Table 5 shows the top ten counties that benefited from the state and local tax (SALT) deduction, as [compiled](#) by the Tax Foundation in 2018. Through this tax provision, filers can deduct certain taxes at the state and local level from their federal income tax bill. The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 capped the amount that could be deducted at \$10,000, a tradeoff for lowering federal tax rates across-the-board and nearly doubling the standard deduction.

One of the concerns about the deduction is that the benefits primarily flow to wealthy individuals. For example, [in 2015](#), over 84 percent of the benefit went towards those with incomes above \$100,000.³ The deduction also primarily aids residents in high tax areas, which are able to maintain higher state and local tax rates due to the federal deduction’s offsetting impact.

³ Moylan, Andrew and Wilford, Andrew. *What’s the Deal with the State and Local Tax Deduction?* National Taxpayers Union Foundation. October 24, 2021. Retrieved from <https://www.ntu.org/foundation/detail/issue-brief-whats-the-deal-with-the-state-and-local-tax-deduction>.

Table 4. Top Ten Counties That Benefited from the State and Local Tax Deduction

County/State	Net Change in # of Taxpayers	Net Change in AGI (in Millions)
New York County, NY	-8,411	-\$3,333
Marin County, CA	-366	-\$205
San Mateo County, CA	-2,974	\$666
Westchester County, NY	-2,039	-\$512
Santa Clara County, CA	-8,140	-\$3,075
Fairfield County, CT	-3,100	-\$579
San Francisco County, CA	-4,470	-\$2,600
Nassau County, NY	-4,212	\$203
Morris County, NJ	-825	-\$432
Somerset County, NJ	-1,020	-\$536
Total	-35,557	-\$10.401

Source: Tax Foundation and IRS data.

The ten counties come from just four states with high tax burdens. Collectively, these counties saw a net loss of nearly 36,000 taxpayers, taking \$91.4 billion in wealth to greener pastures.

Through the reconciliation package, Democrats hope to increase the SALT deduction to \$80,000 through 2030, after which it would reset to \$10,000. As before, the benefits would mostly flow to the well-to-do in high tax jurisdictions, easing pressure in those areas to implement needed reform in their taxing and spending policies. As remote work options continue to proliferate and housing costs continue to shut many out of major metropolitan areas, the trend of outflow from high-tax areas is likely to continue.

Conclusion

Many taxpayers are effectively voting with their feet and resettling from high-tax states to states with lower taxes. This contributes to shrinking tax bases in high-tax jurisdictions and significant shifts in political power that are reshaping Congress and the nation. States and localities with high tax burdens would be wise to reform their codes to attract and retain businesses and individuals, instead of pushing them out.

About the Author

Demian Brady is the Vice President of Research for National Taxpayers Union Foundation, where he runs the organization's Taxpayers' Budget Office.



2021 National Taxpayers Union Foundation
 122 C Street NW, Suite 650, Washington, DC 20001
ntuf@ntu.org