



NATIONAL TAXPAYERS UNION

Rates Congress

115th Congress, Second Session

2018



Contact: Kevin Glass

KGlass@ntu.org

About NTU Rates Congress

Every year National Taxpayers Union (NTU) rates U.S. Representatives and Senators on their actual votes—every vote that affects taxes, spending, and debt. Unlike most organizations that publish ratings, we refuse to play the “rating game” of focusing on only a handful of congressional votes on selected issues. The NTU voting study is the fairest and most accurate guide available on congressional spending. It is a completely unbiased accounting of votes.

NTU has no partisan axe to grind. All members of Congress are treated the same regardless of political affiliation. Our only constituency is the overburdened American taxpayer. Grades are given impartially, based on the Taxpayer Score.

Taxpayer Score

The Taxpayer Score measures the strength of support for reducing spending and opposing higher taxes. In general, a higher score is better because it means a member of Congress voted to spend less money.

The Taxpayer Score can range between zero and 100. We do not expect anyone to score a 100, nor has any legislator ever scored a perfect 100 in the multi-year history of the comprehensive NTU scoring system. A high score does not mean that the member of Congress was opposed to all spending or all programs. High-scoring members have indicated that they would vote for many programs if the amount of spending were lower or if the budget were balanced. A member who wants to increase spending on some programs can achieve a high score if he or she votes for offsetting cuts in other programs. A zero score would indicate that the member of Congress approved every spending proposal and opposed every pro-taxpayer reform.

NTU believes a score qualifying for a grade of “A” indicates the member is one of the strongest supporters of responsible tax and spending policies. We are pleased to give these members of Congress our “Taxpayers’ Friend Award.”

A score qualifying for a grade of “B” represents a “good” voting record on controlling spending and taxes. A “B” grade indicates that the member voted for taxpayers most of the time, but slightly less than those who attained the grade of “A.”

A score qualifying for a grade of “C” represents a minimally acceptable voting record on controlling taxes and spending. To qualify for a grade of “C” a member must have a Taxpayer Score of at least 50 percent. While such score may be “satisfactory,” there is clearly room for improvement.

We also issue pluses and minuses for the grades of “B” and “C” in order to better recognize the differences in the voting records of members with these grades.

Taxpayer Scores		
Senate		House
38%	Average	49%
32%	Median	56%
97%	High	88%
14%	Low	23%
Party Scores		
Senate Democrats Avg.		21%
House Democrats Avg.		32%
Senate Republicans Avg.		55%
House Republicans Avg.		63%

A score qualifying for a grade of “D” indicates the member has a “poor” voting record on controlling taxes and spending.

A score significantly below average qualifies for a grade of “F.” This failing grade places the member into the “Big Spender” category.

Computation

NTU’s federal budget experts assigned a weight to each vote. A low weight was assigned to votes that had relatively little effect on the size of the federal budget, while a high weight was assigned to votes with the most significant effect on federal spending.

Weights were based solely on the relative effect of each vote on the total amount of federal spending. Consideration was given to the political effect of a vote on the future federal spending, even though relatively little spending might be immediately at issue.

Scores were computed by dividing the weighted total of votes cast against higher spending (or taxes or for lower spending or taxes), by the weighted total number of spending and tax issues on which the member of Congress voted. Average scores for each state were also computed using the weighted total of votes cast by each state delegation.

In computing these scores, we included only those votes on which the member actually voted for or against a bill, resolution, or amendment. Paired votes, announced positions, and absences were excluded. Because some members were absent frequently, cast certain votes to permit the usage of procedural tools, (or otherwise failed to vote yes or no), their scores, based on relatively few votes, may not accurately reflect spending attitudes. The members falling into this category are noted.

We analyzed every roll call vote taken in the Second Session of the 115th Congress and selected all votes that could significantly affect the amounts of federal taxes, spending, debt, or regulatory impact. A total of 37 Senate and 166 House votes were selected. We included votes cast on appropriations bills, authorization bills, budget target resolutions, tax bills, amendments, and certain procedural votes that could affect the burden on taxpayers. Votes that simply shifted equal amounts of spending from one area to another were excluded. Also excluded were votes where there was a significant difference of opinion on how to vote to reduce or control government and unanimous votes.

United States Senate

SENATE KEY		
SCORE	GRADE	COMMENTS
70% or more	A	Taxpayers' Friend
65 - 69	B+	Good
60 - 64	B	
55 - 59	B-	
53 - 54	C+	
50 - 52	C	Satisfactory
40 - 49	C-	
26 - 39	D	Poor
≤ 25	F	Big Spender
* Score based on less than 90%, but more than 75%, of weighted total votes cast.		
N/A Voted on 50% or less of weighted total votes cast; score and grade not issued.		
Based on every roll call vote affecting fiscal policy; see front pages for methodology.		

Illinois

Duckworth*	16%	F
Durbin	19%	F
<i>State Average</i>	17%	

Indiana

Donnelly	18%	F
Young	49%	C-
<i>State Average</i>	33%	

Iowa

Ernst	60%	B
Grassley	70%	A
<i>State Average</i>	65%	

Kansas

Moran	45%	C-
Roberts	38%	D
<i>State Average</i>	42%	

Kentucky

McConnell	N/A	
Paul	97%	A
<i>State Average</i>	97%	

Louisiana

Cassidy	63%	B
Kennedy	69%	B+
<i>State Average</i>	66%	

Maine

Collins	15%	F
King	20%	F
<i>State Average</i>	18%	

Maryland

Cardin	20%	F
Van Hollen	20%	F
<i>State Average</i>	20%	

Massachusetts

Markey	31%	D
Warren	31%	D
<i>State Average</i>	31%	

Michigan

Peters	20%	F
Stabenow	20%	F
<i>State Average</i>	20%	

Alabama

Jones	23%	F
Shelby	35%	D
<i>State Average</i>	29%	

Alaska

Murkowski	34%	D
Sullivan	53%	C+
<i>State Average</i>	43%	

Arizona

Flake*	89%	A
Kyl	N/A	
<i>State Average</i>	89%	

Arkansas

Boozman	40%	C-
Cotton	63%	B
<i>State Average</i>	51%	

California

Feinstein	26%	D
Harris	31%	D
<i>State Average</i>	29%	

Colorado

Bennet	30%	D
Gardner	51%	C
<i>State Average</i>	41%	

Connecticut

Blumenthal	19%	F
Murphy	19%	F
<i>State Average</i>	19%	

Delaware

Carper	20%	F
Coons	20%	F
<i>State Average</i>	20%	

Florida

Nelson	15%	F
Rubio	58%	B-
<i>State Average</i>	36%	

Georgia

Isakson	46%	C-
Perdue	50%	C
<i>State Average</i>	48%	

Hawaii

Hirono	25%	F
Schatz	18%	F
<i>State Average</i>	22%	

Idaho

Crapo	63%	B
Risch	62%	B
<i>State Average</i>	62%	

United States Senate

Minnesota			North Carolina			Texas		
Klobuchar	16%	F	Burr	51%	C	Cornyn	50%	C
Smith	16%	F	Tillis	46%	C-	Cruz	69%	B+
<i>State Average</i>	16%		<i>State Average</i>	49%		<i>State Average</i>	59%	
Mississippi			North Dakota			Utah		
Hyde-Smith*	46%	C-	Heitkamp	18%	F	Hatch	40%	C-
Wicker	47%	C-	Hoeven	38%	D	Lee	91%	A
<i>State Average</i>	47%		<i>State Average</i>	28%		<i>State Average</i>	66%	
Missouri			Ohio			Vermont		
Blunt	45%	C-	Brown	19%	F	Leahy	16%	F
McCaskill	25%	F	Portman	40%	C-	Sanders	31%	D
<i>State Average</i>	35%		<i>State Average</i>	29%		<i>State Average</i>	24%	
Montana			Oklahoma			Virginia		
Daines	63%	B	Inhofe	51%	C	Kaine	20%	F
Tester	23%	F	Lankford	75%	A	Warner	20%	F
<i>State Average</i>	43%		<i>State Average</i>	63%		<i>State Average</i>	20%	
Nebraska			Oregon			Washington		
Fischer	54%	C+	Merkley	29%	D	Cantwell	22%	F
Sasse	71%	A	Wyden	25%	F	Murray	16%	F
<i>State Average</i>	62%		<i>State Average</i>	27%		<i>State Average</i>	19%	
Nevada			Pennsylvania			West Virginia		
Cortez Masto	14%	F	Casey	15%	F	Capito	45%	C-
Heller	48%	C-	Toomey	87%	A	Manchin	18%	F
<i>State Average</i>	31%		<i>State Average</i>	51%		<i>State Average</i>	32%	
New Hampshire			Rhode Island			Wisconsin		
Hassan	25%	F	Reed	18%	F	Baldwin	16%	F
Shaheen	24%	F	Whitehouse	18%	F	Johnson	79%	A
<i>State Average</i>	25%		<i>State Average</i>	18%		<i>State Average</i>	48%	
New Jersey			South Carolina			Wyoming		
Booker	32%	D	Graham	33%	D	Barrasso	65%	B+
Menendez	20%	F	Scott	52%	C	Enzi	68%	B+
<i>State Average</i>	26%		<i>State Average</i>	42%		<i>State Average</i>	66%	
New Mexico			South Dakota					
Heinrich	21%	F	Rounds	39%	D			
Udall	18%	F	Thune	49%	C-			
<i>State Average</i>	20%		<i>State Average</i>	44%				
New York			Tennessee					
Gillibrand	32%	D	Alexander	44%	C-			
Schumer	20%	F	Corker	63%	B			
<i>State Average</i>	26%		<i>State Average</i>	54%				

United States House of Representatives

HOUSE KEY		
SCORE	GRADE	COMMENTS
75% or more	A	Taxpayers' Friend
70 - 74	B+	Good
65 - 69	B	
60 - 64	B-	
55 - 59	C+	Satisfactory
50 - 54	C	
41 - 49	C-	
27 - 40	D	Poor
≤ 26	F	Big Spender
* Score based on less than 90%, but more than 75%, of weighted total votes cast.		
** Score based on less than 75%, but more than 50%, of weighted total votes.		
N/A Voted on 50% or less of weighted total votes cast; score and grade not issued.		
Based on every roll call vote affecting fiscal policy; see front pages for methodology.		

Lowenthal*	30%	D
Matsui	33%	D
McCarthy	60%	B-
McClintock	88%	A
McNerney	30%	D
Napolitano	31%	D
Nunes	58%	C+
Panetta	37%	D
Pelosi	27%	D
Peters	36%	D
Rohrabacher	74%	B+
Roybal-Allard	29%	D
Royce	63%	B-
Ruiz	26%	F
Sanchez	30%	D
Schiff	33%	D
Sherman	30%	D
Speier**	44%	C-
Swalwell*	34%	D
Takano	31%	D
Thompson	30%	D
Torres	33%	D
Valadao	58%	C+
Vargas	34%	D
Walters*	61%	B-
Waters	31%	D
State Average	41%	
Colorado		
Buck*	76%	A
Coffman	66%	B
DeGette	32%	D
Lamborn	66%	B
Perlmutter	36%	D
Polis**	49%	C-
Tipton	59%	C+
State Average	55%	
Connecticut		
Courtney	24%	F
DeLauro	23%	F
Esty	27%	D
Himes	36%	D
Larson	27%	D
State Average	27%	

Alabama		
Aderholt	61%	B-
Brooks	66%	B
Byrne	61%	B-
Palmer	68%	B
Roby	58%	C+
Rogers	57%	C+
Sewell*	37%	D
State Average	58%	

Alaska		
Young	56%	C+
State Average	56%	

Arizona		
Biggs	77%	A
Gallego	30%	D
Gosar	73%	B+
Grijalva	31%	D
Lesko**	72%	B+
McSally	63%	B-
O'Halleran	38%	D
Schweikert	75%	A
Sinema*	48%	C-
State Average	56%	

Arkansas		
Crawford	60%	B-
Hill	59%	C+
Westerman	66%	B
Womack	60%	B-
State Average	61%	

California		
Aguilar	39%	D
Barragan	35%	D
Bass*	33%	D
Bera	32%	D
Brownley	31%	D
Calvert	60%	B-
Carbajal	29%	D
Cardenas*	38%	D
Chu	30%	D
Cook	61%	B-
Correa	48%	C-
Costa*	40%	D
Davis	32%	D
Denham*	60%	B-
DeSaulnier	31%	D
Eshoo*	32%	D
Garamendi	23%	F
Gomez	32%	D
Huffman	29%	D
Hunter	61%	B-
Issa*	68%	B
Khanna	33%	D
Knight	60%	B-
LaMalfa	59%	C+
Lee	33%	D
Lieu	35%	D
Lofgren	33%	D

United States House of Representatives

Delaware			Loudermilk	71%	B+	State Average	57%
Blunt Rochester	28%	D	Scott, A.	59%	C+	Iowa	
State Average	28%		Scott, D.*	36%	D	Blum	64%
Florida			Woodall	63%	B-	King	64%
Bilirakis	62%	B-	State Average	56%		Loeb sack	28%
Buchanan	56%	C+	Hawaii			Young	58%
Castor	24%	F	Gabbard	30%	D	State Average	53%
Crist	28%	D	Hanabusa**	32%	D	Kansas	
Curbelo	54%	C	State Average	31%		Estes	62%
Demings	31%	D	Idaho			Jenkins*	61%
DeSantis**	68%	B	Labrador**	79%	A	Marshall	56%
Deutch	24%	F	Simpson	53%	C	Yoder	60%
Diaz-Balart	54%	C	State Average	66%		State Average	60%
Dunn	60%	B-	Illinois			Kentucky	
Frankel	27%	D	Bost	57%	C+	Barr	62%
Gaetz	73%	B+	Bustos	30%	D	Comer	67%
Hastings*	24%	F	Davis, D.*	30%	D	Guthrie	60%
Lawson	31%	D	Davis, R.	58%	C+	Massie	83%
Mast	59%	C+	Foster	36%	D	Rogers*	58%
Murphy	39%	D	Gutierrez*	30%	D	Yarmuth	25%
Posey	70%	B+	Hultgren*	59%	C+	State Average	59%
Rooney, F.	69%	B	Kelly	28%	D	Louisiana	
Rooney, T.*	52%	C	Kinzinger	56%	C+	Abraham	64%
Ros-Lehtinen	61%	B-	Krishnamoorthi	31%	D	Graves	67%
Ross*	58%	C+	LaHood	63%	B-	Higgins	61%
Rutherford	57%	C+	Lipinski	40%	D	Johnson	65%
Soto	26%	F	Quigley	31%	D	Richmond	33%
Wasserman Schultz	27%	D	Roskam	56%	C+	Scalise	61%
Webster	68%	B	Rush*	32%	D	State Average	59%
Wilson*	29%	D	Schakowsky	30%	D	Maine	
Yoho	68%	B	Schneider	36%	D	Pingree	31%
State Average	48%		Shimkus	58%	C+	Poliquin	56%
Georgia			State Average	42%		State Average	44%
Allen	64%	B-	Indiana			Maryland	
Bishop	38%	D	Banks	67%	B	Brown*	32%
Carter	64%	B-	Brooks	60%	B-	Cummings*	25%
Collins	61%	B-	Bucshon	60%	B-	Delaney	40%
Ferguson	61%	B-	Carson	35%	D	Harris	76%
Graves	65%	B	Hollingsworth	68%	B	Hoyer	32%
Handel	63%	B-	Messer*	64%	B-	Raskin	34%
Hice	72%	B+	Rokita	68%	B	Ruppersberger	34%
Johnson	32%	D	Visclosky	28%	D	Sarbanes	30%
Lewis	30%	D	Walorski	59%	C+	State Average	38%

United States House of Representatives

Massachusetts			Missouri			New Mexico		
Capuano*	27%	D	Clay*	29%	D	Lujan	32%	D
Clark	32%	D	Cleaver	32%	D	Lujan Grisham**	36%	D
Keating*	26%	F	Graves	57%	C+	Pearce*	62%	B-
Kennedy	32%	D	Hartzler	60%	B-	<i>State Average</i>	43%	
Lynch	29%	D	Long	64%	B-	New York		
McGovern	30%	D	Luetkemeyer	60%	B-	Clarke	31%	D
Moulton	37%	D	Smith	65%	B	Collins	59%	C+
Neal	31%	D	Wagner	65%	B	Crowley*	26%	F
Tsongas	29%	D	<i>State Average</i>	54%		Donovan	53%	C
<i>State Average</i>	30%		Montana			Engel	31%	D
Michigan			Gianforte	64%	B-	Espaillet	30%	D
Amash	85%	A	<i>State Average</i>	64%		Faso	49%	C-
Bergman	59%	C+	Nebraska			Higgins	25%	F
Bishop	61%	B-	Bacon	59%	C+	Jeffries	26%	F
Dingell	32%	D	Fortenberry	56%	C+	Katko	53%	C
Huizenga	62%	B-	Smith	63%	B-	King	58%	C+
Jones	N/A		<i>State Average</i>	59%		Lowey	28%	D
Kildee	29%	D	Nevada			Maloney, C.	30%	D
Lawrence	27%	D	Amodei	58%	C+	Maloney, S.	40%	D
Levin	28%	D	Kihuen	32%	D	Meeks	35%	D
Mitchell	57%	C+	Rosen*	34%	D	Meng	29%	D
Moolenaar	58%	C+	Titus	31%	D	Morell	N/A	
Trott*	58%	C+	<i>State Average</i>	39%		Nadler	28%	D
Upton	62%	B-	New Hampshire			Reed	59%	C+
Walberg	63%	B-	Kuster	37%	D	Rice	36%	D
<i>State Average</i>	52%		Shea-Porter*	26%	F	Serrano	30%	D
Minnesota			<i>State Average</i>	32%		Stefanik	46%	C-
Ellison**	33%	D	New Jersey			Suozzi	44%	C-
Emmer	67%	B	Frelinghuysen	63%	B-	Tenney	57%	C+
Lewis	69%	B	Gottheimer	42%	C-	Tonko	29%	D
McCollum	24%	F	Lance	58%	C+	Velazquez	30%	D
Nolan*	41%	C-	LoBiondo	55%	C+	Zeldin	63%	B-
Paulsen	60%	B-	MacArthur	53%	C	<i>State Average</i>	39%	
Peterson	50%	C	Norcross	32%	D	North Carolina		
Walz	N/A		Pallone	33%	D	Adams	28%	D
<i>State Average</i>	49%		Pascrell	28%	D	Budd	75%	A
Mississippi			Payne	29%	D	Butterfield	29%	D
Harper*	55%	C+	Sires	33%	D	Foxx	73%	B+
Kelly	63%	B-	Smith	52%	C	Holding	70%	B+
Palazzo*	57%	C+	Watson Coleman	31%	D	Hudson	64%	B-
Thompson*	25%	F	<i>State Average</i>	42%		Jones**	64%	B-
<i>State Average</i>	50%					McHenry	61%	B-

Meadows	73%	B+	Brady	28%	D	Rice	66%	B
Pittenger	59%	C+	Cartwright	29%	D	Sanford	81%	A
Price	28%	D	Costello	55%	C+	Wilson	58%	C+
Rouzer	65%	B	Dent	N/A		<i>State Average</i>	64%	
Walker	70%	B+	Doyle	31%	D			
<i>State Average</i>	58%		Evans	26%	F			

South Dakota

Noem**	66%	B
<i>State Average</i>	66%	

Tennessee

Black**	59%	C+
Blackburn**	63%	B-
Cohen	30%	D
Cooper	38%	D
DesJarlais	67%	B
Duncan	83%	A
Fleischmann	61%	B-
Kustoff	62%	B-
Roe	63%	B-
<i>State Average</i>	58%	

North Dakota

Cramer*	57%	C+
<i>State Average</i>	57%	

Ohio

Balderson	N/A	
Beatty	29%	D
Chabot	72%	B+
Davidson	78%	A
Fudge	26%	F
Gibbs	59%	C+
Johnson	60%	B-
Jordan	77%	A
Joyce	58%	C+
Kaptur	27%	D
Latta	66%	B
Renacci	66%	B
Ryan	27%	D
Stivers*	56%	C+
Turner	54%	C
Wenstrup	62%	B-
<i>State Average</i>	54%	

Rhode Island

Fitzpatrick	51%	C
Kelly	58%	C+
Lamb*	41%	C-
Marino	59%	C+
Meehan	N/A	
Perry	76%	A
Rothfus	74%	B+
Scanlon	N/A	
Shuster*	54%	C
Smucker	67%	B
Thompson	58%	C+
Wild	N/A	
<i>State Average</i>	50%	

Rhode Island

Cicilline	31%	D
Langevin	28%	D
<i>State Average</i>	30%	

South Carolina

Clyburn	29%	D
Duncan*	76%	A
Gowdy*	63%	B-
Norman	74%	B+

Oklahoma

Cole	57%	C+
Hern	N/A	
Lucas	57%	C+
Mullin	62%	B-
Russell	66%	B
<i>State Average</i>	60%	

Oregon

Blumenauer	33%	D
Bonamici	29%	D
DeFazio	34%	D
Schrader	41%	C-
Walden	57%	C+
<i>State Average</i>	39%	

Pennsylvania

Barletta	61%	B-
Boyle*	33%	D

HOUSE KEY		
SCORE	GRADE	COMMENTS
75% or more	A	Taxpayers' Friend
70 - 74	B+	Good
65 - 69	B	
60 - 64	B-	
55 - 59	C+	
50 - 54	C	Satisfactory
41 - 49	C-	
27 - 40	D	Poor
≤ 26	F	Big Spender
* Score based on less than 90%, but more than 75%, of weighted total votes cast.		
** Score based on less than 75%, but more than 50%, of weighted total votes.		
N/A Voted on 50% or less of weighted total votes cast; score and grade not issued.		
Based on every roll call vote affecting fiscal policy; see front pages for methodology.		



United States House of Representatives

Texas		
Arrington	62%	B-
Babin	63%	B-
Barton	66%	B
Brady	58%	C+
Burgess	60%	B-
Carter	58%	C+
Castro	32%	D
Cloud	N/A	
Conaway	60%	B-
Cuellar	52%	C
Culberson	61%	B-
Doggett	36%	D
Flores	62%	B-
Gohmert	73%	B+
Gonzalez	43%	C-
Granger	59%	C+
Green, A.	26%	F
Green, G.	31%	D
Hensarling	73%	B+
Hurd	57%	C+
Jackson Lee	29%	D
Johnson, E.	25%	F
Johnson, S.	70%	B+
Marchant	59%	C+
McCaul	59%	C+
Olson	61%	B-
O'Rourke	28%	D
Poe*	65%	B
Ratcliffe*	73%	B+
Sessions	62%	B-
Smith	60%	B-
Thornberry	58%	C+
Veasey	39%	D
Vela	35%	D
Weber	64%	B-
Williams	66%	B
<i>State Average</i>	54%	

Vermont		
Welch	29%	D
<i>State Average</i>	29%	

Virginia		
Beyer	31%	D
Brat	73%	B+
Comstock*	59%	C+
Connolly	28%	D
Garrett	80%	A
Goodlatte	61%	B-
Griffith	68%	B
McEachin	32%	D
Scott	26%	F
Taylor	59%	C+
Wittman	64%	B-
<i>State Average</i>	53%	

Washington		
DelBene	30%	D
Heck	33%	D
Herrera Beutler	63%	B-
Jayapal	30%	D
Kilmer	32%	D
Larsen	30%	D
McMorris Rodgers	59%	C+
Newhouse	60%	B-
Reichert	58%	C+
Smith	32%	D
<i>State Average</i>	43%	

West Virginia		
Jenkins**	59%	C+
McKinley	53%	C
Mooney	73%	B+
<i>State Average</i>	62%	

Wisconsin		
Duffy	59%	C+
Gallagher	66%	B
Grothman	66%	B
Kind*	46%	C-
Moore	38%	D
Pocan	30%	D
Ryan	N/A	
Sensenbrenner	76%	A
<i>State Average</i>	55%	

Wyoming		
Cheney	59%	C+
<i>State Average</i>	59%	

Utah		
Bishop	61%	B-
Curtis	68%	B
Love*	60%	B-
Stewart	65%	B
<i>State Average</i>	64%	

HOUSE KEY		
SCORE	GRADE	COMMENTS
75% or more	A	Taxpayers' Friend
70 - 74	B+	Good
65 - 69	B	
60 - 64	B-	
55 - 59	C+	
50 - 54	C	Satisfactory
41 - 49	C-	
27 - 40	D	
≤ 26	F	Big Spender
* Score based on less than 90%, but more than 75%, of weighted total votes cast.		
** Score based on less than 75%, but more than 50%, of weighted total votes.		
N/A Voted on 50% or less of weighted total votes cast; score and grade not issued.		
Based on every roll call vote affecting fiscal policy; see front pages for methodology.		





Since 1969, National Taxpayers Union (NTU), has been the “Voice of America’s Taxpayers.” The nation’s oldest taxpayer advocacy organization, NTU fights for lower taxes, smaller budgets, and economic freedom at all levels of government. As taxpayers’ lobbyists, we work to ensure that all Americans are able to pursue their dreams without the heavy hand of government holding them back.

NTU is a nonpartisan 501(c)(4) nonprofit, advocating on behalf of taxpayers, not political parties.

Contact Kevin Glass, KGlass@ntu.org, (703) 299-8670 122 C St NW ste. 650, 20001

ntu.org