

May 7, 2012

An Open Letter to Public Officials: Beware of Overzealous Antitrust Policies

We, the undersigned economists, write to express concern regarding the government's approach toward antitrust and competition policy, embodied most recently in the Federal Trade Commission's (FTC's) ongoing investigation of Google. We urge public officials to be wary of antitrust activities that do not focus on real harm to consumers.

America's business landscape is scarred with numerous antitrust enforcement actions that have: deprived consumers of choices, stripped entrepreneurs of their freedom to innovate, denied workers and shareholders opportunities to build wealth, enriched competitors whose key attribute is political clout, drained "defendant" companies of capital due to legal expenses, and thwarted potential growth in the economy. Indeed, many firms probed under the government's criteria for "concentration" and other perceived ills have been relegated to much less dominant market players before antitrust proceedings against them were completed.

Whether they have afflicted traditional manufacturers or high-tech firms, antitrust policies have too often failed to recognize the proper limits of government's role in overseeing competition. The result is an arbitrary, conflicted message to the risk-takers who are crucial to our nation's economic dynamism at home and abroad: be productive and creative, but not so productive and creative that competitors and bureaucrats find it in their interest to undermine you. As former FTC Chairman Tim Muris noted in *The Wall Street Journal* in 2010:

Competitor complaints are driving recent EU investigations into companies that include Qualcomm, Google, Oracle and IBM. Competitors can provide valuable information about marketplace realities, but they have every incentive to misuse the government to obtain an advantage that is otherwise unattainable.

Google, with its popular online search engine, is the latest target of regulators claiming to be acting in consumers' interests, even though barriers to entry into the search market are exceedingly low and Google's competition is but one click away for online users.

Furthermore, such competition can come from many different directions, not just among similarly structured companies in a given sector. Any search engine's business model faces competitive challenges not only from other search engines, but also from social networks such as Facebook, mobile-phone applications, and a variety of other advertising platforms.

Perhaps most alarming is the notion that Washington can engineer a "remedy" in this case that is superior to the equilibrium markets will find. There is even discussion over rules dictating how search results "should" appear to a user. Micromanagement to this degree deserves neither a place nor a precedent in our economy.

Regardless of the particular firm under the government's scrutiny, the harm and uncertainty posed to businesses from excessively broad interpretations of antitrust laws are significant. These destructive and ill-fated actions imperil the economy at an especially delicate and pivotal point in its progress toward recovery. Policymakers should instead deploy their talents on restructuring our broken, burdensome regulatory and fiscal management systems in order to foster strong competition and economic growth into the future.

Sincerely,

The Undersigned*

Donald L. Alexander

Western Michigan University

Howard Baetjer, Jr. Towson University

Atin Basu

Virginia Military Institute

James T. Bennett

George Mason University

Bruce L. Benson

Florida State University

G. Geoffrey Booth

Michigan State University

Donald J. Boudreaux

George Mason University

Phillip J. Bryson

Brigham Young University

William N. Butos

Trinity College

Bryan Caplan

George Mason University

Barry Chiswick

University of Illinois at Chicago

Lawrence R. Cima

John Carroll University

Philip R.P. Coelho

Ball State University

Robert Collinge

University of Texas at San Antonio

Nicole V. Crain

Lafayette College

Wayne Crews

Competitive Enterprise Institute

Kirby R. Cundiff

Northeastern State University

Antony Davies

Duquesne University

Ronnie H. Davis

Florida Institute of Technology

Clarence R. Deitsch Ball State University

Jeffrey H. Dorfman University of Georgia

James R. Edwards

Montana State University - Northern

Frank Egan Trinity College

Richard E. Ericson East Carolina University

Frank Falero, Jr.

California State University, Bakersfield

William F. Ford

Middle Tennessee State University

Dave Garthoff

University of Akron

David E.R. Gay

University of Arkansas

Paul J. Gessing

Rio Grande Foundation

Otis W. Gilley

Louisiana Tech University

Micha Gisser

University of New Mexico

Richard Grant

Lipscomb University

Dennis Halcoussis

California State University, Northridge

David L. Hammes

University of Hawaii at Hilo

Stephen Happel

Arizona State University

Ronald M. Harstad

University of Missouri - Columbia

David R. Henderson

Hoover Institution, Stanford

University

Bradley K. Hobbs

Florida Gulf Coast University

C. Thomas Howard

University of Denver

James L. Huffman

Lewis & Clark Law School

Jeffrey Rogers Hummel

San Jose State University

Brian J. Jacobsen

Wisconsin Lutheran College

Barry Keating

University of Notre Dame

Daniel Klein

George Mason University

Roger Koppl

Fairleigh Dickinson University

Kishore G. Kulkarni

Metropolitan State College of Denver

Tom Lehman

Indiana Wesleyan University

Stanford L. Levin

Southern Illinois University

Edwardsville

Tony Lima

California State University, East Bay

Jody W. Lipford

Presbyterian College

Alan Lockard

St. Lawrence University

Herbert London

Hudson Institute

Edward J. Lopez

San Jose State University

Lawrence W. Lovik

Alabama Policy Institute

Donald L. Luskin

Trend Macrolytics, LLC

R. Ashley Lyman

University of Idaho

Glenn MacDonald

Washington University in St. Louis

D.W. MacKenzie

Carroll College

Yuri N. Maltsev

Carthage College

Henry G. Manne

George Mason University

Michael L. Marlow

California Polytechnic State University

Deryl W. Martin

Tennessee Technological University

Scott E. Masten

University of Michigan

Timothy Mathews

Kennesaw State University

John McArthur

Wofford College

Deirdre McCloskey

University of Illinois at Chicago

Roger E. Meiners

University of Texas at Arlington

J. Wilson Mixon, Jr.

Berry College

Carlisle E. Moody

College of William & Mary

Michael C. Munger

Duke University

George R. Neumann

University of Iowa

Craig Newmark

North Carolina State University

Walton Padelford

Union University

E.C. Pasour, Ir.

North Carolina State University

Mark J. Perry

University of Michigan – Flint

Keith T. Poole

University of California, San Diego

R. David Ranson

H. C. Wainwright & Co. Economics, Inc.

John Rapp

University of Dayton

Nancy Roberts

Arizona State University

Charles K. Rowley

George Mason University

Paul H. Rubin

Emory University

Thomas Rustici

George Mason University

John Rutledge

Rutledge Capital, LLC

Joseph Salerno

Pace University

Richard T. Selden

University of Virginia

Barry J. Seldon

University of Texas at Dallas

Stephen Shmanske

California State University, East Bay

Vlad Signorelli

Bretton Woods Research, LLC

Neil T. Skaggs

Illinois State University

Alan O. Sykes

Stanford University

John A. Tatom

Indiana State University

Richard K. Vedder

Ohio University

Alan Rufus Waters

California State University, Fresno

Christopher Westley

Jacksonville State University

Peter Williams

University of North Alabama

Gary Wolfram Hillsdale College

Mokhlis Y. Zaki

Northern Michigan University

Richard Zecher

P/E Investments, LLC and Investor

Analytics, LLC

Kate Zhou

University of Hawaii at Manoa

Joseph Zoric

Franciscan University of Steubenville

Benjamin Zycher

Manhattan Institute for Policy

Research

* Affiliations listed for identification purposes only.